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Instructions: Do any 3 of the 4 problems in this part of the exam. Show all of your work clearly. Please indicate which of the 4 problems you are skipping.

Problem 1. Recall that the DFT and inverse DFT are given by $\hat{y}_{k}=\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} y_{j} \bar{w}^{j k}$ and $y_{j}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \hat{y}_{k} w^{j k}$, where $w=e^{2 \pi i / n}$.
(a) State and prove the Convolution Theorem for the DFT.
(b) Let $a, x, y$ be column vectors with entries $a_{0}, \ldots, a_{n-1}, x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n-1}, y_{0}, \ldots, y_{n-1}$. In addition, let $\alpha, \xi$ and $\eta$ be n-periodic sequences, the entries for one period, $k=0, \ldots, n-1$, being those of $a, x$, and $y$, respectively. Consider the circulant matrix

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
a_{0} & a_{n-1} & a_{n-2} & \cdots & a_{1} \\
a_{1} & a_{0} & a_{n-1} & \cdots & a_{2} \\
a_{2} & a_{1} & a_{0} & \cdots & a_{3} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\
a_{n-1} & a_{n-2} & a_{n-3} & \cdots & a_{0}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Show that the matrix equation $A x=y$ is equivalent to convolution $\eta=\alpha * \xi$.
(c) Use parts (a) and (b) above to find the eigenvalues of

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
2 & -1 & 0 & -1 \\
-1 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\
-1 & 0 & -1 & 2
\end{array}\right)
$$

Problem 2. Let $L u=-\left(e^{x} u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}, u(0)=0, u^{\prime}(1)=0$.
(a) Find the Green's function $G(x, y)$ for $L u=-\left(e^{x} u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=f, u(0)=0, u^{\prime}(1)=0$.
(b) Why is $K f(x)=\int_{0}^{1} G(x, y) f(y) d y$ compact? (One sentence will do.)
(c) Consider the eigenvalue problem $L u=\lambda u, u(0)=0, u^{\prime}(1)=0$. Show that the (orthonormal) set of eigenfunctions for $L$ form a complete set in $L^{2}[0,1]$.

Problem 3. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a (separable) Hilbert space and let $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{H})$ be the set of compact operators on $\mathcal{H}$.
(a) State and prove the Closed Range Theorem.
(b) Let $\mathcal{H}=L^{2}[0,1]$. Define the kernel $k(x, y):=x^{2} y^{9}$ and let $K u(x)=\int_{0}^{1} k(x, y) u(y) d y$. Show the $K$ is in $\mathcal{C}\left(L^{2}[0,1]\right)$.
(c) Let $L=I-\lambda K, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, with $K$ as defined in part (b) above. Find all $\lambda$ for which $L u=f$ can be solved for all $f \in L^{2}[0,1]$. For these values of $\lambda$, find the resolvent $(I-\lambda K)^{-1}$.


Problem 4. Recall that a geodesic on a surface provides the path of shortest distance between two points on a surface. Let $S$ be the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. In the coordinates shown above, the differential arc length is given by $d s=\sqrt{d \theta^{2}+\sin ^{2}(\theta) d \varphi^{2}}$. If $P_{0}=\left(\theta_{0}, 0\right)$ and $P_{1}=\left(\theta_{1}, 0\right), 0<\theta_{0}<\theta_{1}<\pi$, show that the geodesic is the arc of the great circle given by $\theta_{0} \leq \theta \leq \theta_{1}, \varphi=0$. Hint: describe curves joining the two points by $\varphi=u(\theta)$, where $u \in C^{2}\left[\theta_{0}, \theta_{1}\right]$ and satisfies $u\left(\theta_{0}\right)=u\left(\theta_{1}\right)=0$. Minimize the arc-length functional.
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Problem 1. Let $b$ be a strictly positive constant and consider the problem: find $u(x, t)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}+b \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}=0, \quad 0<x<1, \quad 0<t \\
& u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), \quad 0<x<1, \\
& u(0, t)=u(1, t), \quad t>0
\end{aligned}
$$

where $u_{0}$ is a smooth function. Let $J$ and $N$ be positive integers, $x_{i}=i h$ where $h=1 / J$ and $t_{n}=n \tau$ where $\tau=1 / N$. Also denote by $u_{j}^{n}$ the approximation of $u\left(x_{j}, t_{n}\right)$.

Set $u_{j}^{0}=u_{0}\left(x_{j}\right)$ and define reccursively $u_{j}^{n}$ by the following Lax-Friedrichs scheme

$$
u_{j}^{n+1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(u_{j+1}^{n}+u_{j-1}^{n}\right)-\frac{\tau b}{2 h}\left(u_{j+1}^{n}-u_{j-1}^{n}\right), \quad j=1, \ldots, J .
$$

Show that for all $j=1, \ldots, J$ and $n \geq 0$

$$
\min _{i}\left(u_{i}^{0}\right) \leq u_{j}^{n} \leq \max _{i}\left(u_{i}^{0}\right)
$$

provided $\frac{\tau b}{h} \leq 1$.
Problem 2. Below, $C_{i}$, for $i=1,2,3$ denote positive constants. For $f \in L^{2}(\Omega)$, we consider solutions $u \in H^{1}(\Omega)$ to

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(u, \phi)=\int f \phi, \text { for all } \phi \in H^{1}(\Omega) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\Omega$ is a polyhedral domain in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $A(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a (non-coercive) bounded bilinear form on $H^{1}(\Omega)$. It is assumed that $A$ satisfies a Gärding inequality, i.e., there are positive constants $K$ and $\alpha$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha\|v\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2} \leq A(v, v)+K\|v\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}, \quad \text { for all } v \in H^{1}(\Omega) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We assume that solutions of (2.1) and those of the adjoint problem: $u \in H^{1}(\Omega)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(\phi, u)=\int_{\Omega} f \phi, \text { for all } \phi \in H^{1}(\Omega) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

exist, are unique and satisfy

$$
\|u\|_{H^{2}(\Omega)} \leq C_{1}\|f\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}
$$

We finally assume that $\left\{V_{h}\right\}, h \in(0,1]$ is collection of conforming finite element subspaces satisfying the standard approximation properties and consider the finite element approximation: $u_{h} \in V_{h}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left(u_{h}, \theta\right)=\int_{\Omega} f \theta, \text { for all } \theta \in V_{h} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(a) Suppose that $u$ solves (2.1) and $u_{h} \in V_{h}$ satisfies (2.4) (we do not assume that $u_{h}$ is unique). Show that

$$
\left\|u-u_{h}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq C_{2} h\left\|u-u_{h}\right\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}
$$

(b) Use (2.2) and Part (a) to show that there is an $h_{0}>0$ such that if $h \leq h_{0}$,

$$
\frac{\alpha}{2}\left\|u-u_{h}\right\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2} \leq A\left(u-u_{h}, u-u_{h}\right)
$$

(c) Use Part (b) to show that the solutions of (2.4) are unique when $h \leq h_{0}$. This also implies existence.
(d) Prove that the unique solution (when $h \leq h_{0}$ ) of (2.4) satisfies

$$
\left\|u-u_{h}\right\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)} \leq C_{3} \inf _{v_{h} \in V_{h}}\left\|u-v_{h}\right\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)} .
$$

Problem 3. For this problem, for $M \geq 1, S_{M}$ is a finite dimensional subspace of $H^{2}(\Omega)$ with $\Omega=(0,1)$. Also, we are given linear operators, $P_{c}: H^{2}(\Omega) \rightarrow S_{M}$ and $P_{M}: L^{2}(\Omega) \rightarrow$ $S_{M}$. We further assume that there is a constant $C_{1}$ not depending on $M, u$ or $s$ and satisfying

$$
\left|\left(I-P_{M}\right) u\right|_{H^{s}(\Omega)} \leq C_{1} M^{s-2}|u|_{H^{2}(\Omega)}, \quad \text { for all } u \in H^{2}(\Omega), s=\{0,1,2\}
$$

Here $|\cdot|_{H^{s}(\Omega)}$ denotes the $H^{s}(\Omega)$ semi-norm. We set $\Omega_{M}=(0, M)$. For $u$ defined on $\Omega$, we define $\hat{u}(x)$ for $x \in \Omega_{M}$ by $\hat{u}(x)=u(x / M)$ and define

$$
\widehat{P}_{M}(\hat{u})=\widehat{P_{M} u} \quad \text { and } \quad \widehat{P_{c}}(\hat{u})=\widehat{P_{c} u} .
$$

We finally assume there is a constant $C_{2}$ (not depending on $M$ ) satisfying

$$
\left\|\widehat{P}_{c} \hat{u}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{M}\right)} \leq C_{2}\|\hat{u}\|_{H^{2}\left(\Omega_{M}\right)}, \quad \text { for all } \hat{u} \in H^{2}\left(\Omega_{M}\right)
$$

and that $\widehat{P}_{c} \widehat{P}_{M}=\widehat{P}_{M}$.
(a) Derive a relationship between $|u|_{H^{s}(\Omega)}$ and $|\hat{u}|_{H^{s}\left(\Omega_{M}\right)}$.
(b) Show that there is a constant $C_{3}$ not depending on $M$ satisfying

$$
\left\|\left(I-\widehat{P}_{M}\right) \hat{u}\right\|_{H^{2}\left(\Omega_{M}\right)} \leq C|\hat{u}|_{H^{2}\left(\Omega_{M}\right)} .
$$

(c) Show that there is a constant $C_{3}$ not depending on $M$ satisfying

$$
\left\|\left(I-P_{c}\right) u\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq C_{3} M^{-2}|u|_{H^{2}(\Omega)}, \quad \text { for all } u \in H^{2}(\Omega)
$$

