NUMERICAL ANALYSIS QUALIFIER

August, 2021

Problem 1. Consider the C^0 Hermite cubic finite element $(T, \mathbb{P}^3, \Sigma)$, where

 $T \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is a triangle with vertices v_1, v_2, v_3 and barycenter b, \mathbb{P}^3 is the set of polynomials of degree 3 or less on T, $\Sigma = \{p(v_i), p(b), \nabla p(v_i), i = 1, 2, 3\}.$

The diagram for the degrees of freedom is below (dots are function evaluations, circles are gradient evaluations). Show that $(T, \mathbb{P}^3, \Sigma)$ is a finite element.



Hints:

- (1) You may assume without loss of generality that T is the unit triangle if you wish.
- (2) Each gradient evaluation $\nabla p(v_i)$ yields *two* degrees of freedom which may be taken to be any two directional derivatives in independent directions.
- (3) You may use the following elementary factorization result without proof:

If $p \in \mathbb{P}^k$ and p = 0 on the line L(x, y) = 0, then $p = Lp_{k-1}$ with $p_{k-1} \in \mathbb{P}^{k-1}$.

Problem 2. Consider the boundary value problem

(2.1)
$$\begin{aligned} -u''(x) - \alpha u(x) &= f(x), \quad 0 < x < 1, \\ u(0) &= 0, \quad u'(1) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where f(x) is a given function on (0,1) and $\alpha > 0$ is a given constant. *Note:* Below you may assume:

- (1) The validity of an appropriate Poincaré inequality.
- (2) Approximation (interpolation) error bounds for the finite element spaces you define below.

However, be sure to correctly and clearly state these results with appropriate hypotheses before using them.

- (a) Give a weak formulation of this problem. As part of deriving the weak formulation, be sure to define an appropriate variational space V.
- (b) Prove that the corresponding bilinear form is coercive on V. This result is only valid for a restricted range of values of the parameter α . Clearly state for which α your result holds, and explicitly include dependence on α and the Poincaré constant in your coercivity constant.
- (c) Set up a finite dimensional space $V_h \subset V$ of piece-wise polynomial functions of degree k over a uniform partition of (0, 1). Introduce the Galerkin finite element method for the problem (2.1) for V_h . State (but do not prove) an error estimate in the V-norm assuming that $u \in H^{k+1}(0, 1)$.

(d) Assuming "full regularity" and using a duality argument **prove** the following estimate for the error of the Galerkin solution u_h :

(2.2)
$$\|u - u_h\|_{L^2} \le Ch^{k+1} \|u^{(k+1)}\|_{L^2}.$$

Problem 3. Let Ω be a bounded domain and T > 0 be a given final time. For $f \in$ $C^{0}([0,T]; L_{2}(\Omega))$ and $u_{0} \in H^{1}_{0}(\Omega)$ given, we consider the parabolic problem consisting in finding $u: \Omega \times [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}u(x,t) - \Delta u(x,t) = f(x,t) & \quad \text{for } (x,t) \in \Omega \times (0,T], \\ u(x,t) = 0 & \quad \text{for } (x,t) \in \partial \Omega \times [0,T], \\ u(x,0) = u_0(x) & \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega. \end{cases}$$

We assume that the solution u to the above problem is sufficiently smooth.

Let N be a strictly positive integer and let $\tau := T/N$, $t_n := n\tau$ and $t^{n+\frac{1}{2}} := \frac{1}{2}(t^{n+1} + t^n)$ for n = 0, ..., N. We consider the following semi-discretization in time: Set $U^0 := u_0$ and define $U^n \in H^1_0(\Omega)$ recursively by

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{\tau}(U^{n+1}(x) - U^n(x)) - \frac{1}{2}\Delta(U^{n+1}(x) + U^n(x)) = f(x, t^{n+\frac{1}{2}}) & \text{for } x \in \Omega, \\ U^{n+1}(x) = 0 & \text{for } x \in \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

(a) (Stability) Show that for $n = 0, ..., N, U^n$ satisfies

(3.1)
$$\|U^{n+1}\|_{L_2(\Omega)} \le \|U^0\|_{L_2(\Omega)} + \tau \sum_{j=0}^n \|f(t^{j+\frac{1}{2}})\|_{L_2(\Omega)}.$$

Hint: Write the time-discretized problem in weak form before multiplying by a suitable test function.

(b) (Consistency I) Show either (but not both) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\frac{1}{\tau}(u(t^{n+1}) - u(t^n)) - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}u(t^{n+\frac{1}{2}})\|_{L_2(\Omega)} \le C\tau \|\frac{\partial^3}{\partial t^3}u\|_{L_1(t^n, t^{n+1}; L_2(\Omega))} \\ &\|\frac{1}{2}\Delta\left(u(t^{n+1}) + u(t^n)\right) - \Delta u(t^{n+\frac{1}{2}})\|_{L_2(\Omega)} \le C\tau \|\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\Delta u\|_{L_1(t^n, t^{n+1}; L_2(\Omega))}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\|\frac{1}{2}\Delta\left(u(t^{n+1}) + u(t^n)\right) - \Delta u(t^{n+\frac{1}{2}})\|_{H^{1}}$$

Here C is a constant independent of τ , T and u.

Hint: You can use without proof the following Taylor expansion formula

$$g(b) = g(a) + g'(a)(b-a) + \dots + \frac{1}{n!}g^{(n)}(a)(b-a)^n + \frac{1}{n!}\int_a^b (b-t)^n g^{(n+1)}(t)dt.$$

(c) (Consistency II) Deduce from the previous item that for a constant C independent of τ , T and u we have

(3.2)
$$\|\frac{1}{\tau}(u^{n+1}(x) - u^n(x)) - \frac{1}{2}\Delta(u^{n+1}(x) + u^n(x)) - f(t^{n+\frac{1}{2}})\|_{L_2(\Omega)} \\ \leq C\tau \left(\|\frac{\partial^3}{\partial t^3}u\|_{L_1(t^n, t^{n+1}; L_2(\Omega))} + \|\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\Delta u\|_{L_1(t^n, t^{n+1}; L_2(\Omega))} \right)$$

(d) From (3.1) and (3.2), conclude the following estimate for the error $e^n := u(t^n) - U^n$:

$$\|e^{N}\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)} \leq C\tau^{2} \left(\|\frac{\partial^{3}}{\partial t^{3}}u\|_{L_{1}(0,T;L_{2}(\Omega))} + \|\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}}\Delta u\|_{L_{1}(0,T;L_{2}(\Omega))} \right),$$

where C is a constant independent of τ , T and u.

or