Numerical Methods for Modern Traffic Flow Models

Alexander Kurganov

Tulane University Mathematics Department

www.math.tulane.edu/~kurganov

joint work with

Pierre Degond, Université Paul Sabatier, Toulouse Anthony Polizzi, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor

Traffic Flow Models with Arrhenius Look-Ahead Dynamics

[M.J. Lighthill, G.B. Whitham; 1955] proposed the simplest deterministic continuum traffic flow model:

 $u_t + f(u)_x = 0, \quad f(u) = uV(u), \quad V(u) = V_{\mathsf{m}}(1-u)$

u(x,t): a relative car density ($0 \le u \le 1$)

 $V_{\rm m} \equiv {\rm const:}$ the maximum possible speed

V(u): dimensionless velocity function that depends only on u

[A. Sopasakis, M.A. Katsoulakis; 2006] extended the Lighthill-Whitham model to a more realistic one by taking into account interactions with other vehicles ahead ("look-ahead" rule).

The resulting scalar conservation law with a global flux is:

$$u_t + F(u)_x = 0, \qquad F(u) = V_{\mathsf{m}}u(1-u)\exp(-J \circ u),$$

where the contribution of short range interactions to the flux is:

$$J \circ w(x) := \int_{x}^{x+\gamma} J(y-x)w(y) \, dy$$

 $\gamma \equiv \text{const} > 0$: look-ahead distance

J: interaction potential

The simplest interaction potential is the constant one:

$$J(r) = \begin{cases} 1/\gamma, & 0 < r < \gamma, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then

$$J \circ u(x) := \frac{1}{\gamma} \int_{x}^{x+\gamma} u(y) \, dy$$

Introducing the anti-derivative, $U := \int_{-\infty}^{x} u(\xi, t) d\xi$, we rewrite the global flux equation as:

$$u_t + F(u, U)_x = 0$$

$$F(u, U) = V_{\mathsf{m}}u(1 - u) \exp\left(-\frac{U(x + \gamma) - U(x)}{\gamma}\right)$$

4

Finite-Volume Schemes

1-D System: $u_t + f(u)_x = 0$

$$\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{j}^{n} \approx \frac{1}{\Delta x} \int_{C_{j}} \mathbf{u}(x, t^{n}) dx : \text{ cell averages over } C_{j} := (x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{j+\frac{1}{2}})$$

This solution is approximated by a piecewise linear (conservative, second-order accurate, non-oscillatory) reconstruction:

 $\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}^n(x) = \overline{\mathbf{u}}_j^n + \mathbf{s}_j^n(x - x_j)$ for $x \in C_j$



For example,

$$\mathbf{s}_{j}^{n} = \operatorname{minmod}\left(\theta \frac{\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{j}^{n} - \bar{\mathbf{u}}_{j-1}^{n}}{\Delta x}, \frac{\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{j+1}^{n} - \bar{\mathbf{u}}_{j-1}^{n}}{2\Delta x}, \theta \frac{\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{j+1}^{n} - \bar{\mathbf{u}}_{j}^{n}}{\Delta x}\right) \quad \theta \in [1, 2],$$

where the minmod function is defined as:

$$\min(z_1, z_2, ...) := \begin{cases} \min_j \{z_j\}, & \text{ if } z_j > 0 \quad \forall j, \\ \max_j \{z_j\}, & \text{ if } z_j < 0 \quad \forall j, \\ 0, & \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Godunov-type upwind schemes are designed by integrating

 $\mathbf{u}_t + \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u})_x = \mathbf{0}$

over the space-time control volumes $[x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}] \times [t^n, t^{n+1}]$



7



In order to evaluate the flux integrals on the RHS, one has to (approximately) solve the generalized Riemann problem.

This may be hard or even impossible...

Nessyahu-Tadmor Scheme

The Nessyahu-Tadmor [H. Nessyahu, E. Tadmor; 1990] scheme is a central Godunov-type scheme. It is designed by integrating

 $\mathbf{u}_t + \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u})_x = \mathbf{0}$

over the different set of staggered space-time control volumes $[x_j, x_{j+1}] \times [t^n, t^{n+1}]$ containing the Riemann fans





$$\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{n+1} = \frac{1}{\Delta x} \int_{x_j}^{x_{j+1}} \tilde{\mathbf{u}}^n(x) \, dx - \frac{1}{\Delta x} \int_{t^n}^{t^{n+1}} \left[\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}(x_{j+1},t)) - \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}(x_j,t)) \right] dt$$

Due to the finite speed of propagation, this can be reduced to:

$$\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{n+1} = \frac{\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{j}^{n} + \bar{\mathbf{u}}_{j+1}^{n}}{2} + \frac{\Delta x}{8} (\mathbf{s}_{j}^{n} - \mathbf{s}_{j+1}^{n}) - \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x} \Big[\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{j+1}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}) - \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{j}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}) \Big]$$
10

Values of **u** at $t = t^{n+\frac{1}{2}}$ are approximated using the Taylor expansion:

$$\mathbf{u}_j^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \approx \widetilde{\mathbf{u}}^n(x_j) + \frac{\Delta t}{2} \mathbf{u}_t(x_j, t^n)$$

•
$$\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^n(x) = \bar{\mathbf{u}}_j^n + \mathbf{s}_j^n(x - x_j) \Longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathbf{u}}^n(x_j) = \bar{\mathbf{u}}_j^n$$

•
$$\mathbf{u}_t(x_j, t^n) = -\mathbf{f}_x(\bar{\mathbf{u}}_j^n)$$

The space derivatives f_x are computed using the (minmod) limiter:

$$\mathbf{f}_{x}(\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{j}^{n}) = \operatorname{minmod}\left(\theta \frac{\mathbf{f}(\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{j}^{n}) - \mathbf{f}(\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{j-1}^{n})}{\Delta x}, \frac{\mathbf{f}(\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{j+1}^{n}) - \mathbf{f}(\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{j-1}^{n})}{2\Delta x}, \\ \theta \frac{\mathbf{f}(\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{j+1}^{n}) - \mathbf{f}(\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{j}^{n})}{\Delta x}\right)$$

Nessyahu-Tadmor Scheme for a Traffic Flow Model with Arrhenius Look-Ahead Dynamics

$$\overline{u}_{j}^{n} \approx \frac{1}{\Delta x} \int_{C_{j}} u(x, t^{n}) dx$$
: cell averages over $C_{j} := (x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{j+\frac{1}{2}})$

This solution is approximated by a piecewise linear (conservative, second-order accurate, non-oscillatory) reconstruction:

$$\widetilde{u}^n(x) = \overline{u}_j^n + s_j^n(x - x_j)$$
 for $x \in C_j$

For example,

$$s_j^n = \operatorname{minmod}\left(\theta \frac{\bar{u}_j^n - \bar{u}_{j-1}^n}{\Delta x}, \frac{\bar{u}_{j+1}^n - \bar{u}_{j-1}^n}{2\Delta x}, \theta \frac{\bar{u}_{j+1}^n - \bar{u}_j^n}{\Delta x}\right) \quad \theta \in [1, 2]$$

The Nessyahu-Tadmor (NT) scheme is designed by integrating

 $u_t + F(u, U)_x = 0$

over the space-time control volumes $[x_j, x_{j+1}] \times [t^n, t^{n+1}]$:

$$\bar{u}_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{n+1} = \frac{1}{\Delta x} \int_{x_j}^{x_{j+1}} \tilde{u}^n(x) \, dx - \frac{1}{\Delta x} \int_{t^n}^{t^{n+1}} \left[F(u(x_{j+1},t), U(x_{j+1},t)) - F(u(x_j,t), U(x_j,t)) \right] dt$$

Due to the finite speed of propagation, this can be reduced to:

$$\bar{u}_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{n+1} = \frac{\bar{u}_j + \bar{u}_{j+1}}{2} + \frac{\Delta x}{8}(s_j^n - s_{j+1}^n) - \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x} \Big[F(u_{j+1}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}, U_{j+1}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}) - F(u_j^{n+\frac{1}{2}}, U_j^{n+\frac{1}{2}}) \Big]$$

u and U at $t = t^{n+\frac{1}{2}}$ are approximated using the Taylor expansion:

$$u_j^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \approx \tilde{u}^n(x_j) + \frac{\Delta t}{2} u_t(x_j, t^n) \qquad U_j^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \approx \tilde{U}^n(x_j) + \frac{\Delta t}{2} U_t(x_j, t^n)$$

•
$$\tilde{u}^n(x) = \bar{u}^n_j + s^n_j(x - x_j) \Longrightarrow u^n_j := \tilde{u}^n(x_j) = \bar{u}^n_j$$

• By integrating \tilde{u}^n from x_{\min} to x we obtain the piecewise quadratic approximation of the antiderivative U:

$$\widetilde{U}^n(x) = \int\limits_{x_{\min}}^x \widetilde{u}^n(\xi) d\xi = \Delta x \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} \overline{u}_i^n + \int\limits_{x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}}^x \widetilde{u}^n(\xi) d\xi$$

$$= \Delta x \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} \bar{u}_i^n + \bar{u}_j^n (x - x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}) + \frac{s_j^n}{2} (x - x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}) (x - x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}), \ x \in C_j$$

In particular,

$$U_{j}^{n} := \tilde{U}^{n}(x_{j}) = \Delta x \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} \bar{u}_{i}^{n} + \frac{\Delta x}{2} \bar{u}_{j}^{n} - \frac{(\Delta x)^{2}}{8} s_{j}^{n}$$

$$u_j^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \approx \widetilde{u}^n(x_j) + \frac{\Delta t}{2} u_t(x_j, t^n)$$

$$U_j^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \approx \widetilde{U}^n(x_j) + \frac{\Delta t}{2} U_t(x_j, t^n)$$

$$u_t(x_j, t^n) = -F_x(u_j^n, U_j^n)$$
(1)

The space derivative F_x is computed using the (minmod) limiter:

$$F_{x}(u_{j}^{n}, U_{j}^{n}) = \operatorname{minmod}\left(\theta \frac{F(\bar{u}_{j}^{n}, U_{j}^{n}) - F(\bar{u}_{j-1}^{n}, U_{j-1}^{n})}{\Delta x}, \frac{F(\bar{u}_{j+1}^{n}, U_{j+1}^{n}) - F(\bar{u}_{j-1}^{n}, U_{j-1}^{n})}{2\Delta x}, \theta \frac{F(\bar{u}_{j+1}^{n}, U_{j+1}^{n}) - F(\bar{u}_{j}^{n}, U_{j}^{n})}{\Delta x}\right)$$

Finally, we integrate equation (1) with respect to x to obtain:

$$U_t(x_j, t^n) = -F(u_j^n, U_j^n)$$

Numerical Examples

Example 1 — Red Light Traffic

$$u(x,0) = \begin{cases} 1, & 4 < x < 6 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

This corresponds to a situation in which the traffic light is located at x = 6 and it is turned from red to green at t = 0.

First, fix $\gamma = 1$



Impact of the look-ahead dynamics: global vs. non-global fluxes



We finally demonstrate the dependence of the computed solution on the look-ahead distance γ :

 \bullet as γ decreases, the drivers can see less and the original traffic jam dissipates more slowly

• when γ is large, the effect of the global flux is small



Formal limits of the global flux as $\gamma \to \infty$ and $\gamma \to 0$

The global factor $\exp\left(-\frac{U(x+\gamma)-U(x)}{\gamma}\right) \to 1$ as $\gamma \to \infty$ assuming the total number of cars on the freeway is bounded. Therefore,

$$F(u,U) \longrightarrow f(u) = V_{\mathsf{m}}u(1-u) \text{ as } \gamma \to \infty$$

that is, the global model reduces to the original, non-global one.

$$\begin{pmatrix} U(x+\gamma) - U(x)\\ \gamma \end{pmatrix} \to U_x(x) = u(x) \text{ as } \gamma \to 0. \text{ Therefore,}$$
$$F(u,U) \longrightarrow f(u)e^{-u} = V_{\mathsf{m}}u(1-u)e^{-u} \text{ as } \gamma \to 0$$

 e^{-u} : "slow down" factor in the limiting low visibility case.

Example 2 — Traffic Jam on a Busy Freeway

$$u(x,0) = \begin{cases} 1, & 16 < x < 18 \\ 0.75, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Dispersive effect is much more prominent here since the waves are moving in the direction opposite to the vehicles' velocity. Even in the case of a large $\gamma = 10$, the global and non-global flux solutions are significantly different:



For a smaller $\gamma = 5$, the dispersive waves are getting together by time t = 10:



For $\gamma = 3$, the larger waves catch the smaller ones much faster now and a dispersive "wave package" is formed at about t = 3:



When $\gamma = 1$, a dispersive "wave package" develops right away and is later transformed into one wave:



When $\gamma = 0.5$, the dispersive effect can be observed at small times only:





A certain smoothing effect can be observed though the solution seems to contain a sub-shock at the left edge of the wave: $\Delta x=1/200$ $\Delta x=1/400$



- Large and intermediate values of $\gamma \Longrightarrow$ dispersive effect
- Small values of $\gamma \Longrightarrow$ smoothing effect starts dominating

The nature of these phenomena can be heuristically explained by expanding $U(x + \gamma)$ in

$$u_t + F(u, U)_x = 0, \quad F(u, U) = V_{\mathsf{m}}u(1-u)\exp\left(-\frac{U(x+\gamma) - U(x)}{\gamma}\right)$$

into the Taylor series about x:

$$u_t + \left[V_{\mathsf{m}}(u - u^2) \underline{\underline{e}^{\mathsf{TS}}} \right]_x = 0, \quad \mathsf{TS} := -\left(u + \frac{\gamma}{2} u_x + \frac{\gamma^2}{6} u_{xx} + \ldots \right)$$

$$\updownarrow$$

$$u_t + V_{\mathsf{m}}(1 - 3u + u^2) e^{\mathsf{TS}} u_x = V_{\mathsf{m}}(u - u^2) e^{\mathsf{TS}} \left(\frac{\gamma}{2} u_{xx} + \frac{\gamma^2}{6} u_{xxx} + \ldots \right)$$

Our conjecture: for small γ initially smooth solutions may remain smooth for all t. However, the viscosity coefficient in this case is small so that smooth solutions may develop sharp gradients. Example 3 — Numerical Breakdown Study

To check our conjecture, we consider the smooth initial data:



For small $\gamma = 0.1$, the solution does not seem to break down at any stage of its evolution:



For large $\gamma = 10$, the solution of the global model clearly breaks down in finite time like the solution of the non-global model:



Linear Interaction Potential

A more realistic interaction potential is a linear one:

$$J(r) = \frac{1}{\gamma} \varphi\left(\frac{r}{\gamma}\right), \quad \varphi(r) = \begin{cases} 2 - 2r, & 0 < r < 1\\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

that is,

$$J(r) = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{\gamma} \left(1 - \frac{r}{\gamma} \right), & 0 < r < \gamma \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

In this case,

$$J \circ u(x,t) = \int_{x}^{\infty} J(y-x)u(y,t) \, dy = \frac{2}{\gamma} \int_{x}^{x+\gamma} \left(1 - \frac{y-x}{\gamma}\right) u(y,t) \, dy.$$

or after the integration by parts,

$$J \circ u(x,t) = \frac{2}{\gamma} \left[\frac{1}{\gamma} \int_{x}^{x+\gamma} U(y,t) \, dy - U(x,t) \right]$$

It is easy to show that

$$J \circ u(x,t) \longrightarrow u(x,t)$$
 as $\gamma \to 0$

This suggests that for small γ , the modified model is not expected to be much different from the original one.

• Indeed, when the visibility is very bad, all vehicles located within the interval $(x, x + \gamma]$ are expected to have almost the same influence on the driver of the car located at x.

• However, when γ is not too small, the use of the linear interaction potential is motivated by the fact that the vehicles located further away from x (but still visible to the driver there) typically have smaller influence on the driver's behavior then the vehicles that are nearby.

Formula

$$J \circ u(x,t) = \frac{2}{\gamma} \left[\frac{1}{\gamma} \int_{x}^{x+\gamma} U(y,t) \, dy - U(x,t) \right]$$

can be rewritten as

$$J \circ u(x,t) = \frac{2}{\gamma} \left[\frac{\mathcal{U}(x+\gamma,t) - \mathcal{U}(x,t)}{\gamma} - U(x,t) \right]$$

where ${\cal U}$ denotes the antiderivative of U:

$$\mathcal{U}(x,t) := \int_{-\infty}^{x} U(\xi,t) \, d\xi$$

The modified model is:

$$u_t + F(u, U, \mathcal{U})_x = 0$$

$$F(u, U, \mathcal{U}) = V_{\mathsf{m}} u(1 - u) \exp\left(-\frac{2}{\gamma} \left[\frac{\mathcal{U}(x + \gamma, t) - \mathcal{U}(x, t)}{\gamma} - U(x, t)\right]\right)$$

Compare with

$$u_t + F(u, U)_x = 0$$
$$F(u, U) = V_{\mathsf{m}}u(1-u) \exp\left(-\frac{U(x+\gamma) - U(x)}{\gamma}\right)$$

Nessyahu-Tadmor Scheme for a Traffic Flow Model with Linear Interaction Potential

 $u_t + F(u, U, \mathcal{U})_x = 0$

$$\bar{u}_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{n+1} = \frac{\bar{u}_j + \bar{u}_{j+1}}{2} + \frac{\Delta x}{8} (s_j^n - s_{j+1}^n) \\ -\lambda \left[F(u, U, U) \Big|_{(x_{j+1}, t^{n+\frac{1}{2}})} - F(u, U, U) \Big|_{(x_j, t^{n+\frac{1}{2}})} \right]$$

Therefore, to complete the description of the NT scheme we need to provide a recipe for the calculation of the intermediate point values $\{\mathcal{U}(x_j, t^{n+\frac{1}{2}})\}$.

From the Taylor expansion

$$\mathcal{U}(x_j, t^{n+\frac{1}{2}}) \approx \mathcal{U}(x_j, t^n) + \frac{\Delta t}{2} \mathcal{U}_t(x_j, t^n)$$

The approximate values of $\{\mathcal{U}(x_j, t^n)\}$ can be obtained by integrating the piecewise quadratic function

$$\tilde{U}^n = \Delta x \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} \bar{u}^n_i + \bar{u}^n_j (x - x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}) + \frac{s^n_j}{2} (x - x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}) (x - x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}), \ x \in C_j$$

which results in a piecewise cubic approximation of $\mathcal{U}(x, t^n)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\mathcal{U}}^{n}(x) &= \int_{x_{\min}}^{x} \widetilde{U}^{n}(\xi) \, d\xi = \left[\Delta x \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} w_{i}^{n} + w_{j}^{n} (x - x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}) \right] \\ &+ \frac{\widetilde{u}_{j}^{n}}{2} (x - x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}) (x - x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}) + \frac{s_{j}^{n}}{6} (x - x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}) (x - x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}), \ x \in C_{j} \end{aligned}$$

Finally, integrating equation

$$U_t(x_j, t^n) = -F(u_j^n, U_j^n)$$

we obtain

$$\mathcal{U}_t(x_j, t^n) = -\int_{x_{\min}}^x F(u(\xi, t^n), U(\xi, t^n), \mathcal{U}(\xi, t^n)) d\xi$$

which can be calculated by exact integration of the piecewise linear approximation of F.

Back to Example 2 — Traffic Jam on a Busy Freeway

$$u(x,0) = \begin{cases} 1, & 16 < x < 18 \\ 0.75, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\gamma = 10$$

Constant interaction potential



 $\gamma = 5$

Constant interaction potential



 $\gamma = 3$

Constant interaction potential



 $\gamma = 1$

Constant interaction potential



 $\gamma = 0.5$

Constant interaction potential



 $\gamma = 0.1$

Constant interaction potential



Models of Formation and Evolution of Traffic Jams

[H.J. Payne; 1971,1979] \rightarrow first ''second-order'' fluid dynamics models of traffic flow were proposed

[C. Daganzo; 1995] \rightarrow These models admit absurd results:

- negative car density
- backward car movement

since cars in traffic have properties usual fluids do not have

[A. Aw, M. Rascle; 2000, 2002] \rightarrow A new "second-order" model was derived from the microscopic Follow-the-Leader model

Aw-Rascle Model

$$\begin{cases} \rho_t + (\rho u)_x = 0\\ (\rho w)_t + (\rho w u)_x = 0, \quad w = u + p(\rho) \end{cases}$$

 $\rho(x,t)$: a relative car density ($0 \le \rho \le 1$)

u > 0: dimensionless velocity

w: drivers "preferred velocity"

 $p(\rho)$: velocity offset $(0 \le p(\rho) \le \infty)$

Example ([A. Aw, M. Rascle; 2000, 2002])

$$p(\rho) = \rho^{\gamma}$$

Not the best choice since the region $[0, \rho^*] \times [0, u^*]$ is not invariant

Compare with the pressureless gas dynamics (PGD) system:

$$\begin{cases} \rho_t + (\rho u)_x = 0\\ u_t + \left(\frac{u^2}{2}\right)_x = 0 \end{cases} \iff \begin{cases} \rho_t + (\rho u)_x = 0\\ (\rho u)_t + (\rho u^2)_x = 0 \end{cases}$$

Example [F. Berthelin, P. Degond, M. Delitala, M. Rascle; 2008]

$$p(\rho) = \left(\frac{1}{\rho} - \frac{1}{\rho^*}\right)^{-\gamma}$$
 for $\rho \le \rho^*$, $\gamma > 0$

 ρ^* : maximal density

Notice that

$$p(
ho)
ightarrow \infty$$
 as $ho
ightarrow
ho^*$

For the modified Aw-Rascle model the region $[0, \rho^*] \times [0, u^*]$ is invariant

Modeling Traffic Jams

[F. Berthelin, P. Degond, M. Delitala, M. Rascle; 2008]



No velocity offset unless $ho \sim
ho^*$

This is a very stiff problem for small arepsilon

$\varepsilon \to 0$

For a nonsingular $p(\rho)$ (e.g., $p(\rho) = \rho^{\gamma}$), $\varepsilon p(\rho^{\varepsilon}) \to 0$ and

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho^{\varepsilon} + \partial_x (\rho^{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon}) = 0\\ (\partial_t + u^{\varepsilon} \partial_x) (u^{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon p(\rho^{\varepsilon})) = 0 \end{cases} \longrightarrow \begin{cases} \rho_t + (\rho u)_x = 0\\ u_t + \left(\frac{u^2}{2}\right)_x = 0 \end{cases} (PGD) \end{cases}$$
For $p(\rho) = \left(\frac{1}{\rho} - \frac{1}{\rho^*}\right)^{-\gamma}, \quad \varepsilon p(\rho^{\varepsilon}) \to \hat{p}(x,t) \ge 0$

$$\hat{p}(x,t) \text{ may become positive and finite}$$

The formal limit of the Rescaled Modified Aw-Rascle Model is the Constrained PGD system:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \partial_t \rho^{\varepsilon} + \partial_x (\rho^{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon}) = 0 \\ (\partial_t + u^{\varepsilon} \partial_x) (u^{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon p(\rho^{\varepsilon})) = 0 \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \partial_x (\rho u) = 0 \\ (\partial_t + u \partial_x) (u + \hat{p}) = 0 \\ 0 \le \rho \le \rho^*, \ \hat{p} \ge 0, \ (\rho^* - \rho) \hat{p} = 0 \end{cases}$$

Constrained PGD System

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \partial_x (\rho u) = 0\\ (\partial_t + u \partial_x) (u + \hat{p}) = 0\\ 0 \le \rho \le \rho^*, \ \hat{p} \ge 0, \ (\rho^* - \rho) \hat{p} = 0 \end{cases}$$

This system is still ill posed since the velocity offset \hat{p} is undefined inside the clusters, that is, in the regions $\rho = \rho^*$

- Rigorous passage to the $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ limit shows that
 - $u_x = 0$ inside the clusters
 - When two cluster collide, they form a new cluster moving with the velocity of the cluster on the right.

Numerical Methods for the Constrained PGD System

Method 1: Finite-Volume Upwind Scheme

The idea: at each time step solve the PGD system and correct the velocities at the edges of clusters

Assume that at some time level t the computed solution $\{\overline{\rho}_j(t), \overline{u}_j(t)\}$ is available. Then it is evolved to $t + \Delta t$:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \overline{\rho}_{j}(t) \\ \overline{u}_{j}(t) \end{array} \right\} \rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \widetilde{\rho}^{n}(\cdot,t) \\ \widetilde{u}^{n}(\cdot,t) \end{array} \right\} \rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} H^{\rho}_{j+\frac{1}{2}}(t) \\ H^{u}_{j+\frac{1}{2}}(t) \end{array} \right\} \rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \overline{\rho}_{j}(t+\Delta t) \\ \overline{u}_{j}(t+\Delta t) \end{array} \right\}$$
$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\begin{array}{l} \overline{\rho}_{j}(t) \\ \overline{u}_{j}(t) \end{array} \right) = -\frac{\mathbf{H}_{j+\frac{1}{2}}(t) - \mathbf{H}_{j-\frac{1}{2}}(t)}{\Delta x}, \quad \mathbf{H}_{j+\frac{1}{2}}(t) \coloneqq \left(\begin{array}{l} H^{\rho}_{j+\frac{1}{2}}(t) \\ H^{u}_{j+\frac{1}{2}}(t) \end{array} \right)$$

50

To incorporate the constraint, a special treatment of clusters is proposed.

First, clusters are marked using I_j



 I_{j} 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 0

Piecewise Linear Reconstruction

$$\widetilde{\rho}(t) = \overline{\rho}_j(t) + (\rho_x)_j(x - x_j)$$

$$\widetilde{u}(t) = \overline{u}_j(t) + (u_x)_j(x - x_j)$$
 for $x \in C_j$

Since the eigenvalues of the PGD are equal to u, they are nonnegative and thus we only need to compute the left-sided point values at each cell interface:

$$\rho_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{-}(t) := \overline{\rho}_{j}(t) + \frac{\Delta x}{2}(\rho_{x})_{j}$$
$$u_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{-}(t) := \overline{u}_{j}(t) + \frac{\Delta x}{2}(u_{x})_{j}$$

Velocity Correction <u>behind</u> the Cluster:

If $I_j(t) = 0$ and $I_{j+1}(t) = 1$ and $\rho_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^-(t)u_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^-(t) > \rho_{j+\frac{3}{2}}^-(t)u_{j+\frac{3}{2}}^-(t)$ then correct the velocity

$$u_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{-}(t) := \frac{\rho_{j+\frac{3}{2}}^{-}(t)u_{j+\frac{3}{2}}^{-}(t)}{\rho_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{-}(t)}$$

CFL Condition

$$\frac{d}{dt}\overline{\rho}_{j}(t) = -\frac{H_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{\rho}(t) - H_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{\rho}(t)}{\Delta x}, \quad H_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{\rho}(t) = \rho_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{-}(t)u_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{-}(t)$$

For the Forward Euler method,

$$\overline{\rho}_{j}^{n+1} = \overline{\rho}_{j}^{n} - \lambda \left(\rho_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{-} u_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{-} - \rho_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{-} u_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{-} \right) \le \rho^{*}$$

provided either

$$\rho_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{-}u_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{-} - \rho_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{-}u_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{-} \ge 0$$

or

$$\lambda \leq \frac{\rho^* - \rho_j^n}{\rho_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^- u_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^- - \rho_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^- u_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^-}$$

Question: Will the time steps be too small?

Cluster Velocity Correction

After the evolution step, the new solution $\{\overline{\rho}_j(t+\Delta t), \overline{u}_j(t+\Delta t)\}\$ and cluster markers $\{I_j(t+\Delta t)\}\$ are available

Assume that
$$I_{j-q} = 0$$
, $I_{j-q+1} = \dots = I_j = 1$, $I_{j+1} = 0$. Then
If $\overline{u}_j(t + \Delta t) > \overline{u}_{j+1}(t + \Delta t)$ and $\overline{\rho}_{j+1}(t + \Delta t) > 0$ set
 $\overline{u}_j(t + \Delta t) := \overline{u}_{j+1}(t + \Delta t)$
If $\overline{u}_j(t + \Delta t) > \overline{u}_{j+1}(t + \Delta t)$ and $\overline{\rho}_{j+1}(t + \Delta t) = 0$ set
 $\overline{u}_j(t + \Delta t) := w$

w: preferred velocity

Example 1 — Riemann Initial Data



Velocity

Example 2 — Random Initial Velocity



Initial density (left) and velocity (right)



Method 2: Finite-Volume Cluster Propagation

The approximate solution at $t = t^n$ is represented as a piecewise constant function, interpreted as a set of clusters of density ρ_j^n moving with the velocities u_j^n (CFL condition: $\lambda \max u_j^n \leq 1$)



The evolved clusters are then projected onto the original grid



59



Velocity

Backward Mass Transfer



61

Example 1 — Riemann Initial Data



Velocity

Example 2 — Random Initial Velocity



Initial density (left) and velocity (right)



Future Plans

- Second-order extension of the Finite-Volume Cluster Propagation method
- Extension to the case $\rho^* = \rho^*(u)$
- 2-D extension to the models of crowd dynamics