

MATH 304
Linear Algebra
Lecture 10:
Linear independence.
Wronskian.

Spanning set

Let S be a subset of a vector space V .

Definition. The **span** of the set S is the smallest subspace $W \subset V$ that contains S . If S is not empty then $W = \text{Span}(S)$ consists of all linear combinations $r_1\mathbf{v}_1 + r_2\mathbf{v}_2 + \cdots + r_k\mathbf{v}_k$ such that $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k \in S$ and $r_1, \dots, r_k \in \mathbb{R}$.

We say that the set S **spans** the subspace W or that S is a **spanning set** for W .

Remark. If S_1 is a spanning set for a vector space V and $S_1 \subset S_2 \subset V$, then S_2 is also a spanning set for V .

Linear independence

Definition. Let V be a vector space. Vectors $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k \in V$ are called **linearly dependent** if they satisfy a relation

$$r_1\mathbf{v}_1 + r_2\mathbf{v}_2 + \cdots + r_k\mathbf{v}_k = \mathbf{0},$$

where the coefficients $r_1, \dots, r_k \in \mathbb{R}$ are not all equal to zero. Otherwise vectors $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k$ are called **linearly independent**. That is, if

$$r_1\mathbf{v}_1 + r_2\mathbf{v}_2 + \cdots + r_k\mathbf{v}_k = \mathbf{0} \implies r_1 = \cdots = r_k = 0.$$

An infinite set $S \subset V$ is **linearly dependent** if there are some linearly dependent vectors $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k \in S$. Otherwise S is **linearly independent**.

Examples of linear independence

- Vectors $\mathbf{e}_1 = (1, 0, 0)$, $\mathbf{e}_2 = (0, 1, 0)$, and $\mathbf{e}_3 = (0, 0, 1)$ in \mathbb{R}^3 .

$$\begin{aligned}x\mathbf{e}_1 + y\mathbf{e}_2 + z\mathbf{e}_3 &= \mathbf{0} \implies (x, y, z) = \mathbf{0} \\&\implies x = y = z = 0\end{aligned}$$

- Matrices $E_{11} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $E_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$,
 $E_{21} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, and $E_{22} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

$$\begin{aligned}aE_{11} + bE_{12} + cE_{21} + dE_{22} &= O \implies \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = O \\&\implies a = b = c = d = 0\end{aligned}$$

Examples of linear independence

- Polynomials $1, x, x^2, \dots, x^n$.

$$a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \cdots + a_nx^n = 0 \text{ identically}$$
$$\implies a_i = 0 \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq n$$

- The infinite set $\{1, x, x^2, \dots, x^n, \dots\}$.
- Polynomials $p_1(x) = 1$, $p_2(x) = x - 1$, and $p_3(x) = (x - 1)^2$.

$$a_1p_1(x) + a_2p_2(x) + a_3p_3(x) = a_1 + a_2(x - 1) + a_3(x - 1)^2 =$$
$$= (a_1 - a_2 + a_3) + (a_2 - 2a_3)x + a_3x^2.$$

$$\text{Hence } a_1p_1(x) + a_2p_2(x) + a_3p_3(x) = 0 \text{ identically}$$
$$\implies a_1 - a_2 + a_3 = a_2 - 2a_3 = a_3 = 0$$
$$\implies a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = 0$$

Problem Let $\mathbf{v}_1 = (1, 2, 0)$, $\mathbf{v}_2 = (3, 1, 1)$, and $\mathbf{v}_3 = (4, -7, 3)$. Determine whether vectors $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3$ are linearly independent.

We have to check if there exist $r_1, r_2, r_3 \in \mathbb{R}$ not all zero such that $r_1\mathbf{v}_1 + r_2\mathbf{v}_2 + r_3\mathbf{v}_3 = \mathbf{0}$.

This vector equation is equivalent to a system

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} r_1 + 3r_2 + 4r_3 = 0 \\ 2r_1 + r_2 - 7r_3 = 0 \\ 0r_1 + r_2 + 3r_3 = 0 \end{array} \right. \quad \left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 3 & 4 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & -7 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

The vectors $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3$ are linearly dependent if and only if the matrix $A = (\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3)$ is singular.

We obtain that $\det A = 0$.

Theorem The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) vectors $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k$ are linearly dependent;
- (ii) one of vectors $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k$ is a linear combination of the other $k - 1$ vectors.

Proof: (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Suppose that

$$r_1\mathbf{v}_1 + r_2\mathbf{v}_2 + \cdots + r_k\mathbf{v}_k = \mathbf{0},$$

where $r_i \neq 0$ for some $1 \leq i \leq k$. Then

$$\mathbf{v}_i = -\frac{r_1}{r_i}\mathbf{v}_1 - \cdots - \frac{r_{i-1}}{r_i}\mathbf{v}_{i-1} - \frac{r_{i+1}}{r_i}\mathbf{v}_{i+1} - \cdots - \frac{r_k}{r_i}\mathbf{v}_k.$$

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) Suppose that

$$\mathbf{v}_i = s_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \cdots + s_{i-1}\mathbf{v}_{i-1} + s_{i+1}\mathbf{v}_{i+1} + \cdots + s_k\mathbf{v}_k$$

for some scalars s_j . Then

$$s_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \cdots + s_{i-1}\mathbf{v}_{i-1} - \mathbf{v}_i + s_{i+1}\mathbf{v}_{i+1} + \cdots + s_k\mathbf{v}_k = \mathbf{0}.$$

Theorem Vectors $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m \in \mathbb{R}^n$ are linearly dependent whenever $m > n$ (i.e., the number of coordinates is less than the number of vectors).

Proof: Let $\mathbf{v}_j = (a_{1j}, a_{2j}, \dots, a_{nj})$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Then the vector equality $t_1\mathbf{v}_1 + t_2\mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + t_m\mathbf{v}_m = \mathbf{0}$ is equivalent to the system

$$\begin{cases} a_{11}t_1 + a_{12}t_2 + \dots + a_{1m}t_m = 0, \\ a_{21}t_1 + a_{22}t_2 + \dots + a_{2m}t_m = 0, \\ \dots\dots\dots \\ a_{n1}t_1 + a_{n2}t_2 + \dots + a_{nm}t_m = 0. \end{cases}$$

Note that vectors $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m$ are columns of the matrix (a_{ij}) . The number of leading entries in the row echelon form is at most n . If $m > n$ then there are free variables, therefore the zero solution is not unique.

Example. Consider vectors $\mathbf{v}_1 = (1, -1, 1)$, $\mathbf{v}_2 = (1, 0, 0)$, $\mathbf{v}_3 = (1, 1, 1)$, and $\mathbf{v}_4 = (1, 2, 4)$ in \mathbb{R}^3 .

Two vectors are linearly dependent if and only if they are parallel. Hence \mathbf{v}_1 and \mathbf{v}_2 are linearly independent.

Vectors $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3$ are linearly independent if and only if the matrix $A = (\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3)$ is invertible.

$$\det A = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = - \begin{vmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = 2 \neq 0.$$

Therefore $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3$ are linearly independent.

Four vectors in \mathbb{R}^3 are always linearly dependent.
Thus $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3, \mathbf{v}_4$ are linearly dependent.

Problem. Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Determine whether matrices A , A^2 , and A^3 are linearly independent.

We have $A = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $A^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$, $A^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

The task is to check if there exist $r_1, r_2, r_3 \in \mathbb{R}$ not all zero such that $r_1A + r_2A^2 + r_3A^3 = O$.

This matrix equation is equivalent to a system

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} -r_1 + 0r_2 + r_3 = 0 \\ r_1 - r_2 + 0r_3 = 0 \\ -r_1 + r_2 + 0r_3 = 0 \\ 0r_1 - r_2 + r_3 = 0 \end{array} \right. \quad \left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

The row echelon form of the augmented matrix shows there is a free variable. Hence the system has a nonzero solution so that the matrices are linearly dependent (one relation is $A + A^2 + A^3 = O$).

Problem. Show that functions e^x , e^{2x} , and e^{3x} are linearly independent in $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$.

Suppose that $ae^x + be^{2x} + ce^{3x} = 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, where a, b, c are constants. We have to show that $a = b = c = 0$.

Differentiate this identity twice:

$$ae^x + be^{2x} + ce^{3x} = 0,$$

$$ae^x + 2be^{2x} + 3ce^{3x} = 0,$$

$$ae^x + 4be^{2x} + 9ce^{3x} = 0.$$

It follows that $A(x)\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$, where

$$A(x) = \begin{pmatrix} e^x & e^{2x} & e^{3x} \\ e^x & 2e^{2x} & 3e^{3x} \\ e^x & 4e^{2x} & 9e^{3x} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{v} = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$A(x) = \begin{pmatrix} e^x & e^{2x} & e^{3x} \\ e^x & 2e^{2x} & 3e^{3x} \\ e^x & 4e^{2x} & 9e^{3x} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{v} = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \det A(x) &= e^x \begin{vmatrix} 1 & e^{2x} & e^{3x} \\ 1 & 2e^{2x} & 3e^{3x} \\ 1 & 4e^{2x} & 9e^{3x} \end{vmatrix} = e^x e^{2x} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & e^{3x} \\ 1 & 2 & 3e^{3x} \\ 1 & 4 & 9e^{3x} \end{vmatrix} \\ &= e^x e^{2x} e^{3x} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 4 & 9 \end{vmatrix} = e^{6x} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 4 & 9 \end{vmatrix} = e^{6x} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 4 & 9 \end{vmatrix} \\ &= e^{6x} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 3 & 8 \end{vmatrix} = e^{6x} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 8 \end{vmatrix} = 2e^{6x} \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since the matrix $A(x)$ is invertible, we obtain

$$A(x)\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0} \implies \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0} \implies a = b = c = 0$$

Wronskian

Let f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n be smooth functions on an interval $[a, b]$. The **Wronskian** $W[f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n]$ is a function on $[a, b]$ defined by

$$W[f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n](x) = \begin{vmatrix} f_1(x) & f_2(x) & \cdots & f_n(x) \\ f'_1(x) & f'_2(x) & \cdots & f'_n(x) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_1^{(n-1)}(x) & f_2^{(n-1)}(x) & \cdots & f_n^{(n-1)}(x) \end{vmatrix}.$$

Theorem If $W[f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n](x_0) \neq 0$ for some $x_0 \in [a, b]$ then the functions f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n are linearly independent in $C[a, b]$.

Theorem Let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k$ be distinct real numbers. Then the functions $e^{\lambda_1 x}, e^{\lambda_2 x}, \dots, e^{\lambda_k x}$ are linearly independent.

$$W[e^{\lambda_1 x}, e^{\lambda_2 x}, \dots, e^{\lambda_k x}](x) = \begin{vmatrix} e^{\lambda_1 x} & e^{\lambda_2 x} & \cdots & e^{\lambda_k x} \\ \lambda_1 e^{\lambda_1 x} & \lambda_2 e^{\lambda_2 x} & \cdots & \lambda_k e^{\lambda_k x} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \lambda_1^{k-1} e^{\lambda_1 x} & \lambda_2^{k-1} e^{\lambda_2 x} & \cdots & \lambda_k^{k-1} e^{\lambda_k x} \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= e^{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \cdots + \lambda_k)x} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \lambda_1 & \lambda_2 & \cdots & \lambda_k \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \lambda_1^{k-1} & \lambda_2^{k-1} & \cdots & \lambda_k^{k-1} \end{vmatrix} \neq 0$$

since the latter determinant is the transpose of the Vandermonde determinant.