

## Sample problems for Test 1: Solutions

**Any problem may be altered or replaced by a different one!**

**Problem 1 (15 pts.)** Prove that for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$1^3 + 2^3 + 3^3 + \cdots + n^3 = \frac{n^2(n+1)^2}{4}.$$

The proof is by induction on  $n$ . First we consider the case  $n = 1$ . In this case the formula reduces to  $1^3 = 1^2 \cdot 2^2/4$ , which is a true equality. Now assume that the formula holds for  $n = k$ , that is,

$$1^3 + 2^3 + \cdots + k^3 = \frac{k^2(k+1)^2}{4}.$$

Adding  $(k+1)^3$  to both sides of this equality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 1^3 + 2^3 + \cdots + k^3 + (k+1)^3 &= \frac{k^2(k+1)^2}{4} + (k+1)^3 = (k+1)^2 \left( \frac{k^2}{4} + (k+1) \right) \\ &= (k+1)^2 \frac{k^2 + 4k + 4}{4} = \frac{(k+1)^2(k+2)^2}{4}, \end{aligned}$$

which means that the formula holds for  $n = k+1$  as well. By induction, the formula holds for every natural number  $n$ .

**Problem 2 (30 pts.)** Let  $\{F_n\}$  be the sequence of Fibonacci numbers:  $F_1 = F_2 = 1$  and  $F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}$  for  $n \geq 2$ .

(i) Show that the sequence  $\{F_{2k}/F_{2k-1}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is increasing while the sequence  $\{F_{2k+1}/F_{2k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is decreasing.

Let  $x_n = F_{n+1}/F_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{F_{n+2}}{F_{n+1}} = \frac{F_n + F_{n+1}}{F_{n+1}} = 1 + \frac{F_n}{F_{n+1}} = 1 + \frac{1}{x_n}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We obtain that  $x_1 = 1$ ,  $x_2 = 1 + 1/x_1 = 2$ ,  $x_3 = 1 + 1/x_2 = 3/2$ ,  $x_4 = 1 + 1/x_3 = 5/3$ . Note that the function  $f(x) = 1 + 1/x$  is strictly decreasing on the interval  $(0, \infty)$  and maps this interval to itself. Therefore its second iteration  $g = f \circ f$  is strictly increasing on  $(0, \infty)$  and also maps this interval to itself. Since  $x_{n+1} = f(x_n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , it follows that  $x_{n+2} = g(x_n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The above computations show that  $x_1 < x_3 < x_4 < x_2$ . Since  $g$  is strictly increasing, it follows by induction on  $k$  that  $x_{2k-1} < x_{2k+1} < x_{2k+2} < x_{2k}$ . In particular, the sequence  $\{x_{2k-1}\}$  is strictly increasing while the sequence  $\{x_{2k}\}$  is strictly decreasing.

(ii) Prove that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F_{n+1}}{F_n} = \frac{\sqrt{5} + 1}{2}$ .

By the above the sequence  $\{x_{2k-1}\}$  is strictly increasing while the sequence  $\{x_{2k}\}$  is strictly decreasing. Moreover,  $x_{2k-1} < x_{2k}$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , which implies that both sequences are bounded. It follows that both sequences are converging to positive limits  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ , respectively. To prove that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{n+1}/F_n = (\sqrt{5} + 1)/2$ , it is enough to show that  $c_1 = c_2 = (\sqrt{5} + 1)/2$ . For any  $x > 0$  we obtain

$$g(x) = f(f(x)) = f\left(1 + \frac{1}{x}\right) = 1 + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{x}} = 1 + \frac{1}{\frac{x+1}{x}} = 1 + \frac{x}{x+1} = \frac{2x+1}{x+1}.$$

It follows that  $g(x_{2k-1}) \rightarrow g(c_1)$  and  $g(x_{2k}) \rightarrow g(c_2)$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . However  $g(x_{2k-1}) = x_{2k+1}$  and  $g(x_{2k}) = x_{2k+2}$ , which implies that  $g(c_1) = c_1$  and  $g(c_2) = c_2$ . Since

$$x - g(x) = \frac{x(x+1)}{x+1} - \frac{2x+1}{x+1} = \frac{x^2 - x - 1}{x+1},$$

$c_1$  and  $c_2$  are roots of the equation  $x^2 - x - 1 = 0$ . This equation has two roots,  $(1 - \sqrt{5})/2$  and  $(\sqrt{5} + 1)/2$ . One of the roots is negative. Thus both  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are equal to the other root,  $(\sqrt{5} + 1)/2$ .

**Problem 3 (25 pts.)** Prove the Extreme Value Theorem: if  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous function on a closed bounded interval  $[a, b]$ , then  $f$  is bounded and attains its extreme values (maximum and minimum) on  $[a, b]$ .

First let us prove that the function  $f$  is bounded. Assume the contrary. Then for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists a point  $x_n \in [a, b]$  such that  $|f(x_n)| > n$ . We obtain a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  of elements of  $[a, b]$  such that the sequence  $\{f(x_n)\}$  diverges to infinity. Since the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded, it has a convergent subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  due to the Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem. Let  $c$  be the limit of  $x_{n_k}$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $a \leq x_{n_k} \leq b$  for all  $k$ , the Comparison Theorem implies that  $a \leq c \leq b$ , i.e.,  $c \in [a, b]$ . Then the function  $f$  is continuous at  $c$ . As a consequence,  $f(x_{n_k}) \rightarrow f(c)$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . However the sequence  $\{f(x_{n_k})\}$  is a subsequence of  $\{f(x_n)\}$  and hence diverges to infinity. This contradiction shows that the assumption was wrong: the function  $f$  is bounded.

Since the function  $f$  is bounded, the image  $f([a, b])$  is a bounded subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $m = \inf f([a, b])$ ,  $M = \sup f([a, b])$ . For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the number  $M - 1/n$  is not an upper bound of the set  $f([a, b])$  while  $m + 1/n$  is not a lower bound of  $f([a, b])$ . Hence we can find points  $y_n, z_n \in [a, b]$  such that  $f(y_n) > M - 1/n$  and  $f(z_n) < m + 1/n$ . At the same time,  $m \leq f(x) \leq M$  for all  $x \in [a, b]$ . It follows that  $f(y_n) \rightarrow M$  and  $f(z_n) \rightarrow m$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . By the Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem, the sequence  $\{y_n\}$  has a subsequence  $\{y_{n_k}\}$  converging to some  $c_1$ . The sequence  $\{z_n\}$  also has a subsequence  $\{z_{m_k}\}$  converging to some  $c_2$ . Moreover,  $c_1, c_2 \in [a, b]$ . The continuity of  $f$  implies that  $f(y_{n_k}) \rightarrow f(c_1)$  and  $f(z_{m_k}) \rightarrow f(c_2)$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $\{f(y_{n_k})\}$  is a subsequence of  $\{f(y_n)\}$  and  $\{f(z_{m_k})\}$  is a subsequence of  $\{f(z_n)\}$ , we conclude that  $f(c_1) = M$  and  $f(c_2) = m$ . Thus the function  $f$  attains its maximum  $M$  on the interval  $[a, b]$  at the point  $c_1$  and its minimum  $m$  at the point  $c_2$ .

**Problem 4 (20 pts.)** Consider a function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $f(-1) = f(0) = f(1) = 0$  and  $f(x) = \frac{x-1}{x^2-1} \sin \frac{1}{x}$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{-1, 0, 1\}$ .

(i) Determine all points at which the function  $f$  is continuous.

The polynomial functions  $g_1(x) = x - 1$  and  $g_2(x) = x^2 - 1$  are continuous on the entire real line. Moreover,  $g_2(x) = 0$  if and only if  $x = 1$  or  $-1$ . Therefore the quotient  $g(x) = g_1(x)/g_2(x)$  is well defined and continuous on  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{-1, 1\}$ . Further, the function  $h_1(x) = 1/x$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ . Since the function  $h_2(x) = \sin x$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ , the composition function  $h(x) = h_2(h_1(x))$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ . Clearly,  $f(x) = g(x)h(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{-1, 0, 1\}$ . It follows that the function  $f$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{-1, 0, 1\}$ .

It remains to determine whether the function  $f$  is continuous at points  $-1$ ,  $0$ , and  $1$ . Observe that  $g(x) = 1/(x+1)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{-1, 1\}$ . Therefore  $g(x) \rightarrow 1$  as  $x \rightarrow 0$ ,  $g(x) \rightarrow 1/2$  as  $x \rightarrow 1$ , and  $g(x) \rightarrow \pm\infty$  as  $x \rightarrow -1$ . Since the function  $h$  is continuous at  $-1$  and  $1$ , we have  $h(x) \rightarrow h(-1) = -\sin 1$  as  $x \rightarrow -1$  and  $h(x) \rightarrow h(1) = \sin 1$  as  $x \rightarrow 1$ . Note that  $\sin 1 \neq 0$  since  $0 < 1 < \pi/2$ . It follows that  $f(x) \rightarrow \pm\infty$  as  $x \rightarrow -1$ . In particular,  $f$  is discontinuous at  $-1$ . Also,  $f(x) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \sin 1$  as  $x \rightarrow 1$ . Since  $f(1) = 0$ , the function  $f$  has a removable discontinuity at  $1$ . Finally, the function  $f$  is not continuous at  $0$  since it has no limit at  $0$ . To be precise, let  $x_n = (\pi/2 + 2\pi n)^{-1}$  and  $y_n = (-\pi/2 + 2\pi n)^{-1}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  are two sequences of positive numbers converging to  $0$ . We have  $h(x_n) = 1$  and  $h(y_n) = -1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . It follows that  $f(x_n) \rightarrow 1$  and  $f(y_n) \rightarrow -1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence there is no limit of  $f(x)$  as  $x \rightarrow 0+$ .

(ii) Is the function  $f$  uniformly continuous on the interval  $(0, 1)$ ? Is it uniformly continuous on the interval  $(1, 2)$ ? Explain.

Any function uniformly continuous on the open interval  $(0, 1)$  can be extended to a continuous function on  $[0, 1]$ . As a consequence, such a function has a right-hand limit at  $0$ . However it was shown above that the function  $f$  has no right-hand limit at  $0$ . Therefore  $f$  is not uniformly continuous on  $(0, 1)$ .

The function  $f$  is continuous on  $(1, 2]$  and has a removable singularity at  $1$ . Changing the value of  $f$  at  $1$  to the limit at  $1$ , we obtain a function continuous on  $[1, 2]$ . We know that every function continuous on the closed interval  $[1, 2]$  is also uniformly continuous on  $[1, 2]$ . Further, any function uniformly continuous on the set  $[1, 2]$  is also uniformly continuous on its subset  $(1, 2)$ . Since the redefined function coincides with  $f$  on  $(1, 2)$ , we conclude that  $f$  is uniformly continuous on  $(1, 2)$ .

**Bonus Problem 5 (15 pts.)** Given a set  $X$ , let  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  denote the set of all subsets of  $X$ . Prove that  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  is not of the same cardinality as  $X$ .

We have to prove that there is no bijective map of  $X$  onto  $\mathcal{P}(X)$ . Let us consider an arbitrary map  $f : X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$ . The image  $f(x)$  of an element  $x \in X$  under this map is a subset of  $X$ . Let  $E = \{x \in X \mid x \notin f(x)\}$ . By definition of the set  $E$ , any element  $x \in X$  belongs to  $E$  if and only if it does not belong to  $f(x)$ . As a consequence,  $E \neq f(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . Hence the map  $f$  is not onto. In particular, it is not bijective.