

## On stability of periodic billiard orbits in polyhedra

Suppose a group  $G$  is generated by elements  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ . Then any element  $g \neq 1$  of  $G$  is represented as a product  $g_1 g_2 \dots g_k$ , where each  $g_i$  is a generator  $a_j$  or an inverse  $a_j^{-1}$ . The smallest  $k$  that allows such a representation is called the *length* of  $g$ . The length of the unit element is set to 0. Notice that the length depends on the set of generators.

The group  $G$  generated by  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  is called a *free group* with  $n$  generators ( $a_1, \dots, a_n$  are called *free generators*) if for any group  $H$  and any  $h_1, \dots, h_n \in H$  there exists a unique homomorphism  $f : G \rightarrow H$  such that  $f(a_i) = h_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . A nontrivial element  $g \in G$  is represented as  $a_{i_1}^{m_1} a_{i_2}^{m_2} \dots a_{i_l}^{m_l}$ , where  $l \geq 1$ ,  $1 \leq i_j \leq n$  and  $m_j \neq 0$  for  $1 \leq j \leq l$ , and  $i_j \neq i_{j+1}$  for  $1 \leq j < l$ . The group  $G$  is free if and only if such a representation is unique for any  $g \neq 1$ .

For any  $\phi \in [0, 2\pi)$  let

$$A_\phi = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \phi & -\sin \phi & 0 \\ \sin \phi & \cos \phi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B_\phi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \phi & -\sin \phi \\ 0 & \sin \phi & \cos \phi \end{pmatrix}.$$

$A_\phi$  and  $B_\phi$  are matrices of two rotations in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  by the angle  $\phi$ .

**Proposition 1** *For all but countably many angles  $\phi \in [0, 2\pi)$ , the subgroup of  $SO(3)$  generated by  $A_\phi$  and  $B_\phi$  is a free group with two generators.*

**Proof.** Let  $G$  be a free group with two generators  $a$  and  $b$ . For any  $\phi \in [0, 2\pi)$  let  $F_\phi : G \rightarrow SO(3)$  be the homomorphism such that  $F_\phi(a) = A_\phi$ ,  $F_\phi(b) = B_\phi$ . We have to prove that  $F_\phi$  is injective for all but countably many angles  $\phi$ . For any  $g \in G$  let  $F_\phi(g) = (c_{jk}[g](\phi))_{1 \leq j, k \leq 3}$ . Then  $c_{jk}[g]$  are functions on  $[0, 2\pi)$ .

Let  $n \geq 1$  be an integer. Recall that a trigonometric polynomial of degree  $n$  is a function  $p : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $p(\phi) = \alpha_0 + \sum_{k=1}^n (\alpha_k \cos k\phi + \beta_k \sin k\phi)$  for some  $\alpha_k, \beta_k \in \mathbb{C}$ , where  $(\alpha_n, \beta_n) \neq (0, 0)$ . A trigonometric polynomial of degree 0 is a constant function. The degree of a trigonometric polynomial  $p$  is denoted by  $\deg p$ . Since  $\cos k\phi = (e^{ik\phi} + e^{-ik\phi})/2$ ,  $\sin k\phi = (e^{ik\phi} - e^{-ik\phi})/(2i)$ , a function  $p$  is a trigonometric polynomial of degree  $n \geq 1$  if and only if  $p(\phi) = \sum_{k=-n}^n \alpha_k e^{ik\phi}$  for some  $\alpha_k \in \mathbb{C}$ , where  $(\alpha_n, \alpha_{-n}) \neq (0, 0)$ . Hence if  $p$  and  $q$  are trigonometric polynomials, then so are  $p + q$  and  $pq$ . Moreover,  $\deg(p + q) \leq \max(\deg p, \deg q)$ ,  $\deg pq \leq \deg p + \deg q$ . If  $\deg q < \deg p$  then  $\deg(p + q) = \deg p$ . It is possible that  $\deg pq < \deg p + \deg q$ , for example,

$(\cos \phi + i \sin \phi)(\cos \phi - i \sin \phi) = 1$ . However for any integers  $m_1, \dots, m_l > 0$  the product  $\cos m_1 \phi \cos m_2 \phi \dots \cos m_l \phi$  is a trigonometric polynomial in  $\phi$  of degree  $m_1 + \dots + m_l$ . Indeed, the equality  $2 \cos m \phi \cos m' \phi = \cos(m + m') \phi + \cos(m - m') \phi$  implies  $\cos m_1 \phi \cos m_2 \phi \dots \cos m_l \phi - 2^{1-l} \cos(m_1 + \dots + m_l) \phi$  is a trigonometric polynomial in  $\phi$  of degree less than  $m_1 + \dots + m_l$ .

We claim that for any  $g \in G$  the function  $c_{22}[g]$  is a trigonometric polynomial of degree  $|g|$ , where  $|g|$  is the length of  $g$ . It is easy to see that  $c_{22}[a^n](\phi) = c_{22}[b^n](\phi) = \cos n\phi$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Now suppose  $g = g_1^{m_1} \dots g_l^{m_l}$ , where  $l > 1$ ,  $m_j \neq 0$  for  $1 \leq j \leq l$ ,  $\{g_1, g_2\} = \{a, b\}$ ,  $g_j = g_1$  if  $j$  is odd and  $g_j = g_2$  if  $j$  is even. Then

$$c_{22}[g] = \sum_{1 \leq j_1, \dots, j_{l-1} \leq 3} c_{2j_1}[g_1^{m_1}] c_{j_1 j_2}[g_2^{m_2}] \dots c_{j_{l-1} 2}[g_l^{m_l}].$$

It is easy to see that  $c_{ks}[g_j^{m_j}]$  is a trigonometric polynomial of degree at most  $|m_j|$ . Therefore each summand in the above sum is a trigonometric polynomial of degree at most  $|m_1| + \dots + |m_l| = |g|$ . Let  $p = c_{22}[g_1^{m_1}] \dots c_{22}[g_l^{m_l}]$ . Clearly,  $p(\phi) = \cos m_1 \phi \dots \cos m_l \phi$ , hence  $\deg p = |g|$ . Consider a set of indices  $j_1, \dots, j_{l-1} \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  such that  $j_k \neq 2$  for some  $k$ . It is no loss to assume that  $j_s = 2$  for  $1 \leq s < k$ . In the cases  $g_k = a$ ,  $j_k = 3$  and  $g_k = b$ ,  $j_k = 1$ , we have  $c_{2j_k}[g_k^{m_k}] = 0$ . In the cases  $g_k = a$ ,  $j_k = 1$  and  $g_k = b$ ,  $j_k = 3$ , we have  $c_{j_k j_{k+1}}[g_k^{m_k}] = 0$  or  $1$  (here  $j_{k+1} = 2$  if  $k + 1 = l$ ). In any case  $c_{2j_1}[g_1^{m_1}] c_{j_1 j_2}[g_2^{m_2}] \dots c_{j_{l-1} 2}[g_l^{m_l}]$  is a trigonometric polynomial of degree less than  $|g|$ . It follows that  $\deg(c_{22}[g] - p) < |g|$ , hence  $\deg c_{22}[g] = |g|$ .

Let  $g \in G$ ,  $g \neq 1$ . Then  $|g| \geq 1$ . Since  $c_{22}[g]$  is a trigonometric polynomial of degree  $n = |g|$ , so is  $c_{22}[g] - 1$ . It follows that  $c_{22}[g](\phi) - 1 = e^{-in\phi} P(e^{i\phi})$ , where  $P$  is a nonzero polynomial of degree at most  $2n$ . Therefore  $c_{22}[g](\phi) = 1$  for at most  $2n$  values of  $\phi \in [0, 2\pi)$ . Clearly,  $F_\phi(g) = 1$  only if  $c_{22}[g](\phi) = 1$ . Hence for all but countably many angles  $\phi \in [0, 2\pi)$  we have  $F_\phi(g) = 1$  only if  $g = 1$ . The latter property implies  $F_\phi$  is injective.  $\blacksquare$

**Lemma 2** *Suppose  $G$  is a free group with two generators  $a$  and  $b$ . Then the subgroup of  $G$  generated by elements  $g_k = b^k a b^{-k}$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , is a free group with  $n$  generators.*

**Proof.** Suppose  $g = g_{i_1}^{m_1} g_{i_2}^{m_2} \dots g_{i_l}^{m_l}$ , where  $l \geq 1$ ,  $1 \leq i_j \leq n$  and  $m_j \neq 0$  for  $1 \leq j \leq l$ , and  $i_j \neq i_{j+1}$  for  $1 \leq j < l$ . We have to prove that  $g \neq 1$ . If  $l = 1$  then  $g = b^{i_1} a^{m_1} b^{-i_1}$ . Otherwise  $g = b^{i_1} a^{m_1} b^{i_2 - i_1} a^{m_2} \dots b^{i_l - i_{l-1}} a^{m_l} b^{-i_l}$ . Since none of the integers  $i_1, i_2 - i_1, \dots, i_l - i_{l-1}, -i_l$  and  $m_1, \dots, m_l$  is equal to zero, it follows that  $g \neq 1$ .  $\blacksquare$

Suppose a group  $G$  is generated by  $n$  elements  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  of order 2. The group  $G$  is called the *free product* of  $n$  groups of order 2 (we say that  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  *freely generate*  $G$ ) if  $G = \langle g_1, \dots, g_n \mid g_1^2 = \dots = g_n^2 = 1 \rangle$  or, equivalently, for any group  $H$  and any elements  $h_1, \dots, h_n \in H$  of order 2 there exists a unique homomorphism  $f : G \rightarrow H$  such that  $f(g_i) = h_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . A nontrivial element  $g \in G$  is represented as  $g_{i_1} g_{i_2} \dots g_{i_l}$ , where  $l \geq 1$ ,  $1 \leq i_j \leq n$  for  $1 \leq j \leq l$ , and  $i_j \neq i_{j+1}$  for  $1 \leq j < l$ . The group  $G$  is freely generated by  $n$  involutions if and only if such a representation is unique for any  $g \neq 1$ .

**Lemma 3** *Suppose  $G$  is a group generated by  $n \geq 2$  elements  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  of order 2. Then  $G$  is freely generated by  $n$  involutions if and only if elements  $g_1 g_2, g_1 g_3, \dots, g_1 g_n$  generate a free group with  $n - 1$  generators.*

**Proof.** Let  $H$  denote the subgroup of  $G$  generated by elements  $h_i = g_1 g_i$ ,  $2 \leq i \leq n$ . Consider an element  $h = h_{i_1}^{\varepsilon_1} h_{i_2}^{\varepsilon_2} \dots h_{i_l}^{\varepsilon_l}$ , where  $l \geq 1$ ,  $2 \leq i_j \leq n$ ,  $\varepsilon_j = 1$  or  $-1$ , and  $\varepsilon_j = \varepsilon_{j+1}$  whenever  $i_j = i_{j+1}$ . Since  $h_i = g_1 g_i$  and  $h_i^{-1} = g_i g_1$  for  $2 \leq i \leq n$ , we have  $h = g'_0 g_{i_1} g'_1 \dots g_{i_l} g'_l$ , where  $g'_j = g_1$  or  $1$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq l$ . Moreover,  $g'_j = g_1$  whenever  $\varepsilon_j = \varepsilon_{j+1}$ . In particular,  $h \neq 1$  if  $G$  is freely generated by the involutions  $g_1, \dots, g_n$ . It follows that  $H$  is a free group with  $n - 1$  generators if  $G$  is freely generated by  $g_1, \dots, g_n$ .

Now suppose  $H$  is the free group with free generators  $h_2, \dots, h_n$ . To prove that  $G$  is freely generated by  $n$  involutions, it is sufficient to show that  $g \neq 1$  whenever  $g = g_{i_1} \dots g_{i_l}$ , where  $l \geq 1$ ,  $1 \leq i_j \leq n$ ,  $i_j \neq i_{j+1}$ . Note that  $g_i g_j = h_i^{-1} h_j$  for  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ , where by definition  $h_1 = 1$ . On the other hand, none of the elements  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  belongs to  $H$  as a free group has no elements of order 2. Hence if  $l$  is odd then  $g \notin H$ , in particular,  $g \neq 1$ . Consider the case when  $l$  is even. Here  $g = h_{i_1}^{-1} h_{i_2} \dots h_{i_{l-1}}^{-1} h_{i_l} \in H$ . It is easy to see that the length of  $g$  in  $H$  is equal to the number of indices  $j \in \{1, \dots, l\}$  such that  $i_j \neq 1$ . As this number is positive,  $g \neq 1$ . ■

A vector plane in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  is uniquely determined by the orthogonal straight line. Therefore the set of planes in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  is parametrized by the projective plane  $\mathbb{R}P^2$ . Recall that elements of  $\mathbb{R}P^2$  are one-dimensional subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , i.e., straight lines passing through the origin. For any straight line  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}P^2$  let  $R[\gamma] \in O(3)$  be the matrix of the reflection of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  in the vector plane orthogonal to  $\gamma$ . Given  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \in \mathbb{R}P^2$ , let  $J[\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n]$  denote the homomorphism of the group  $\mathbb{Z}_2^{*n} = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \mid a_1^2 = \dots = a_n^2 = 1 \rangle$  to  $O(3)$  such that  $J[\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n](a_i) = R[\gamma_i]$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

**Theorem 4** *There exists a dense  $G_\delta$ -set  $U_n \subset (\mathbb{R}P^2)^n$  such that for any  $(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n) \in U_n$  the homomorphism  $J[\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n]$  is injective.*

**Proof.** The case  $n = 1$  is trivial as  $J[\gamma]$  is always injective. Consider the case  $n > 1$ . Let  $\gamma_1$  denote the  $x$ -axis in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . For any  $\phi \in [0, 2\pi)$  the matrices  $B_\phi A_\phi B_\phi^{-1}, B_\phi^2 A_\phi B_\phi^{-2}, \dots, B_\phi^{n-1} A_\phi B_\phi^{-(n-1)} \in SO(3)$  are matrices of rotations by  $\phi$  about axes orthogonal to  $\gamma_1$ . It follows that there exist straight lines  $\gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n \in \mathbb{R}P^2$  such that  $B_\phi^{k-1} A_\phi B_\phi^{-(k-1)} = R[\gamma_1]R[\gamma_k]$  for  $2 \leq k \leq n$ . By Proposition 1, we can choose  $\phi$  so that  $A_\phi$  and  $B_\phi$  generate a free subgroup of  $SO(3)$  with two generators. Then Lemmas 2 and 3 imply that the subgroup of  $O(3)$  generated by  $R[\gamma_1], \dots, R[\gamma_n]$  is freely generated by  $n$  involutions. This means  $J[\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n]$  is an injective map.

Given  $g \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{*k}$ , let  $U_n(g)$  denote the set of  $(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n) \in (\mathbb{R}P^2)^n$  such that  $J[\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n](g) \neq 1$ . Notice that  $\mathbb{R}P^2$  is an analytic manifold. For any  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}P^2$  the orthogonal reflection of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  in the vector plane orthogonal to  $\gamma$  is given by the formula  $v \mapsto v - 2 \frac{(v, n_\gamma)}{(n_\gamma, n_\gamma)} n_\gamma$ , where  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  denotes the scalar product and  $n_\gamma$  is a nonzero vector parallel to  $\gamma$ . The formula shows that  $R[\gamma]$  is a real analytic matrix-valued function of  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}P^2$ . Therefore  $J[\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n](g)$  is a real analytic matrix-valued function of  $(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n) \in (\mathbb{R}P^2)^n$ . Since  $\mathbb{R}P^2$  is connected, it follows that  $U_n(g)$  is an open set that is either dense in  $(\mathbb{R}P^2)^n$  or empty. By the above  $J[\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n]$  is injective for some  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \in \mathbb{R}P^2$ . This implies  $U_n(g)$  is not empty for any  $g \neq 1$ . Hence  $U_n = \bigcap_{g \neq 1} U_n(g)$  is a dense  $G_\delta$ -set. Obviously,  $J[\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n]$  is injective for any  $(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n) \in U_n$ .  $\blacksquare$

Let  $\Pi$  be a polyhedron in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . A *billiard orbit* in  $\Pi$  is a (finite or infinite) broken line  $x_0 x_1 \dots$  such that (i) each  $x_i$  lies on the boundary of  $\Pi$ , moreover,  $x_i$  is an interior point of a face unless it is an endpoint of the broken line, (ii) the interior of each segment  $x_i x_{i+1}$  is contained in the interior of  $\Pi$ , and (iii) the unit vectors  $\frac{x_{i+1} - x_i}{|x_{i+1} - x_i|}$  and  $\frac{x_{i+2} - x_{i+1}}{|x_{i+2} - x_{i+1}|}$  are symmetric with respect to the orthogonal reflection in the face containing  $x_{i+1}$ . The latter condition (the reflection rule) means that a billiard orbit is a trajectory of a point-mass moving freely within  $\Pi$  subject to elastic rebounds off the faces. One or both endpoints of a billiard orbit in  $\Pi$  may lie on edges of  $\Pi$ . A billiard orbit is called *singular* if this is the case. Any finite nonsingular billiard orbit can be continued to a singular or infinite one. A finite billiard orbit  $x_0 x_1 \dots x_n$  is called *periodic* if  $x_n = x_0$  and the infinite periodic broken line  $x_0 x_1 \dots x_n x_1 \dots x_n x_1 \dots$  is a billiard orbit.

Suppose that faces of the polyhedron are labelled by elements of a finite set  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then each nonsingular billiard orbit  $x_0 x_1 x_2 \dots$  is assigned a *code word*  $w = a_1 a_2 \dots$ , where  $a_i \in \mathcal{A}$  labels the face containing  $x_i$ .

Suppose  $\Pi_1$  and  $\Pi_2$  are polyhedra in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we say that a face

$f_1$  of  $\Pi_1$  is  $\varepsilon$ -close to a face  $f_2$  of  $\Pi_2$  if the distance from any point of  $f_1$  to  $f_2$  is less than  $\varepsilon$  and the distance from any point of  $f_2$  to  $f_1$  is less than  $\varepsilon$ . Note that  $\varepsilon$ -close faces need not have the same number of edges. Further, we say that the polyhedron  $\Pi_2$  is an  $\varepsilon$ -perturbation of  $\Pi_1$  if  $\Pi_2$  have the same number of faces as  $\Pi_1$ , and each face of  $\Pi_2$  is  $\varepsilon$ -close to a face of  $\Pi_1$ . Assume  $\varepsilon$  is so small that distinct faces of  $\Pi_1$  are not  $2\varepsilon$ -close. Then  $\varepsilon$ -closeness provides a one-to-one correspondence between faces of  $\Pi_1$  and  $\Pi_2$ . In particular, any labeling of faces of  $\Pi_1$  induces a labeling of faces of  $\Pi_2$ . We shall say that a property of polyhedra can be achieved by an *arbitrarily small perturbation of faces* of a polyhedron  $\Pi$  if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists an  $\varepsilon$ -perturbation of  $\Pi$  with this property.

**Lemma 5** *Let  $\Pi$  be a polyhedron in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  and  $f_1, \dots, f_m$  be faces of  $\Pi$ . Let  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m \in \mathbb{R}P^2$  be straight lines such that  $\gamma_i$  is orthogonal to  $f_i$ . Then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  with the following property: given  $\tilde{\gamma}_1, \dots, \tilde{\gamma}_m \in \mathbb{R}P^2$  such that the angle between  $\gamma_i$  and  $\tilde{\gamma}_i$  is less than  $\delta$ , there exists an  $\varepsilon$ -perturbation  $\tilde{\Pi}$  of  $\Pi$  such that each  $\tilde{\gamma}_i$  is orthogonal to a face of  $\tilde{\Pi}$ .*

Let  $\Pi$  be a polyhedron and  $x_0x_1 \dots x_n$  be a finite nonsingular billiard orbit in  $\Pi$ . Given  $\delta > 0$ , there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that any  $\varepsilon$ -perturbation of  $\Pi$  admits a nonsingular billiard orbit  $y_0y_1 \dots y_n$  such that  $y_i$  and  $x_i$  lie on  $\varepsilon$ -close faces and  $|y_i - x_i| < \delta$ . Assuming that faces of  $\Pi$  are labelled and  $\varepsilon$  is small enough,  $y_0y_1 \dots y_n$  has the same code word as  $x_0x_1 \dots x_n$ . In the case  $x_0x_1 \dots x_n$  is a periodic billiard orbit, we wish to know whether the billiard orbit  $y_0y_1 \dots y_n$  can be chosen periodic too. The following theorem gives a partial answer to this question.

**Theorem 6** *Suppose there is a periodic billiard orbit with a code word  $w$  in a polyhedron  $\Pi$ . Then there exists an arbitrarily small perturbation of faces of  $\Pi$  such that the perturbed polyhedron admits at most one periodic billiard orbit with the code word  $w$  if  $w$  has even length, and no periodic billiard orbit with the code word  $w$  if  $w$  has odd length.*

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the set whose elements label faces of  $\Pi$ . For any  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  let  $f_a$  denote the face with label  $a$  and  $S_a$  denote the orthogonal reflection of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  in the affine plane containing  $f_a$ . Let  $w = a_1 \dots a_n$ . Obviously,  $a_i \neq a_{i+1}$  for  $1 \leq i < n$ , and  $a_n \neq a_1$ . Suppose  $x_0x_1 \dots x_n$  is a nonsingular billiard orbit in  $\Pi$  such that  $x_0 \in f_{a_n}$  and  $x_i \in f_{a_i}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . We apply the so-called unfolding procedure to this orbit. Namely, consider polyhedra  $\Pi_0 = \Pi, \Pi_1, \dots, \Pi_n$  and affine orthogonal transformations  $T_0 = \text{id}, T_1, \dots, T_n$  such

that  $\Pi_i = T_i(\Pi)$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) and  $\Pi_i$  is symmetric to  $\Pi_{i-1}$  with respect to their common face  $T_{i-1}(f_{a_i})$ . The polyhedra and the transformations are uniquely determined. By construction,  $T_i T_{i-1}^{-1} = T_{i-1} S_{a_i} T_{i-1}^{-1}$ . Hence  $T_i = S_{a_1} S_{a_2} \dots S_{a_i}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Now let  $x'_i = T_i(x_i)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Then  $x_0 x'_1 = x_0 x_1 \subset \Pi$  and  $x'_i x'_{i+1} = T_i(x_i x_{i+1}) \subset \Pi_i$  for  $1 \leq i < n$ . The reflection rule implies that segments  $x_0 x'_1, x'_1 x'_2, \dots, x'_{n-1} x'_n$  form the single segment  $x_0 x'_n$ , which is called the unfolding of the billiard orbit  $x_0 x_1 \dots x_n$ . Now suppose  $x_n = x_0$ . Then the vector  $T_n x_0 - x_0$  is of the same direction as  $x_1 - x_0$ . It is easy to see that  $x_0 x_1 \dots x_n$  is a periodic billiard orbit if and only if the segment  $T_n(x_0 x_1) = x'_n T_n(x_1) \subset \Pi_n$  continues  $x_0 x'_n$ . An equivalent condition is that  $T_n(x_1) - T_n(x_0) = x_1 - x_0$ , i.e., the vector  $x_1 - x_0$  is invariant under the linear part  $L$  of  $T_n$ . In particular, 1 has to be an eigenvalue of  $L$ .

Suppose  $x_0 x_1 \dots x_n$  and  $y_0 y_1 \dots y_n$  are distinct periodic billiard orbits in  $\Pi$  with the code word  $w$ . Their unfoldings are  $x_0 T_n(x_0)$  and  $y_0 T_n(y_0)$ . Since the two orbits are distinct, it follows that  $y_0 \neq x_0$ . By the above vectors  $T_n(x_0) - x_0$  and  $T_n(y_0) - y_0$  are invariant under  $L$ . So is the vector  $Lv - v$ , where  $v = y_0 - x_0$ . Hence  $(L - 1)^2 v = 0$ . Since  $L$  is an orthogonal operator, we obtain  $Lv = v$ . Note that  $v$  is parallel to the face  $f_{a_n}$  while  $T_n(x_0) - x_0$  is not. This implies that 1 is a multiple eigenvalue of  $L$ .

For any  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  let  $\gamma_a \in \mathbb{R}P^2$  be the straight line orthogonal to the plane containing the face  $f_a$ . Then  $R[\gamma_a]$  is the matrix of the linear part of  $S_a$ . Consequently,  $M = R[\gamma_{a_1}] R[\gamma_{a_2}] \dots R[\gamma_{a_n}]$  is the matrix of the linear part of  $T_n$ . Assume that the involutive matrices  $R[\gamma_a]$ ,  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ , freely generate a subgroup of  $O(3)$ . Since  $a_i \neq a_{i+1}$  and  $a_n \neq a_1$ , it follows that  $M \neq 1$  and  $M^2 \neq 1$ . Hence if  $n$  is even then 1 is a simple eigenvalue of the matrix  $M$ . If  $n$  is odd then 1 is not an eigenvalue of  $M$ .

By Theorem 4 and Lemma 5, there exists an arbitrarily small perturbation of faces of  $\Pi$  such that matrices of the linear parts of orthogonal reflections in faces of the perturbed polyhedron  $\tilde{\Pi}$  freely generate a subgroup of  $O(3)$ . It follows from the above that the polyhedron  $\tilde{\Pi}$  admits at most one periodic billiard orbit with any fixed code word of even length and no periodic billiard orbits with code words of odd length. ■