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## Background

- 1930 Kuratowski:

$$
\text { planar } \Leftrightarrow \text { no }\left\{K_{5}, K_{3,3}\right\} \text {-subdivision }
$$

- $1930^{+}$Erdös: what about other surfaces?

For any surface $\Sigma$,
let $\mathcal{S}_{\Sigma}=\{$ minimal non-embeddable graphs $\}$.
Note: $\Sigma$-embeddable $\Leftrightarrow$ no $\mathcal{S}_{\Sigma^{\Sigma} \text {-subdivision }}$ Is $\mathcal{S}_{\Sigma}$ finite?

## Background

- 1978 Glover-Huneke: $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{N}_{1}}$ is finite
- 1980 Archdeacon: $\left|\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{N}_{1}}\right|=103$
- 1989 Archdeacon-Huneke: $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{N}_{k}}$ is finite $(\forall k)$
- 1990 Robertson-Seymour: $\mathcal{S}_{\Sigma}$ is finite $(\forall \Sigma)$
- 1990 Everyone: what are the graphs in each $\mathcal{S}_{\Sigma}$ ? is this the right question to ask ?


## Remarks on Robertson-Seymour

(1) $G$ contains $H$

(2) $\forall H \exists H_{1}, H_{2}, \ldots, H_{k}$ such that

$$
H \leq_{m} G \Leftrightarrow H_{i} \leq_{s} G \text { for some } i \text {. }
$$

## Remarks on Robertson-Seymour

(3) Robertson-Seymour:

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}=\{\text { minor-minimal non-embeddable graphs }\}
$$ is finite, for every $\Sigma$.

(4) Consequently, $\mathcal{S}_{\Sigma}$ is finite, for every $\Sigma$.

Since $\left|\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}\right| \leq\left|\mathcal{S}_{\Sigma}\right|$, we will talk about $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}$, instead of $\mathcal{S}_{\Sigma}$.

Problem. What are the graphs in each $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}$ ?

## Known results:

- $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{S}_{0}}=\left\{K_{5}, K_{3,3}\right\}$
- $\left|\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{N}_{1}}\right|=35$
- $\left|\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{S}_{1}}\right| \geq 16,629$

Not all graphs in $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}$ are equally important!

- some are of low connectivity - a major defect!
- some are "accident"


## Theorem (Archdeacon)

A graph is projective planar iff it does not contain any of the following 35 as a minor:
(0) any 0 -sum of two graphs in $\left\{K_{5}, K_{3,3}\right\}$
(1) any 1 -sum of two graphs in $\left\{K_{5}, K_{3,3}\right\}$
(2) any 2 -sum of two graphs in $\left\{K_{5}, K_{3,3}\right\}$
(3) another 23 3-connected graphs

Let $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{N}_{1}}$ be the set of 35 Archdeacon graphs.

Proposition 1. Let $\mathcal{A}_{1}$ be the 32 connected graphs in $\mathcal{A}$. Then a connected graph $G$ is projective iff $G$ does not contain any graph in $\mathcal{A}_{1}$ as a minor.

Proof. Let $G$ be connected with $G \succeq H$.

Proposition 2. Let $\mathcal{A}_{2}$ be the 29 2-connected graphs in $\mathcal{A}$. Then a 2 -connected graph $G$ is projective iff $G$ does not contain any graph in $\mathcal{A}_{2}$ as a minor.

Proof. Let $G$ be 2-connected with $G \succeq H$.


Proposition 3. Let $\mathcal{A}_{3}$ be the 23 3-connected graphs in $\mathcal{A}$. Then a 3 -connected graph $G$ is projective iff $G$ does not contain any graph in $\mathcal{A}_{3}$ as a minor.

Proof. Let $G$ be 3-connected with $G \succeq H$.


## Suppose:

- $H$ is a minor of $G$, and
- a $k$-separation of $H$ does not extend to $G$
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Lemma. $G$ contains $H^{+}$.

This Lemma gives us a short proof for Proposition 3: 3 -connected $\mathcal{A}_{3}$-free graphs are projective

Proof. We need only prove that every 3-connected non-projective graph contains a graph in $\mathcal{A}_{3}$ as a minor. By Theorem 2 , we may assume that $G$ has a graph $A \in \mathcal{A}_{2}$ as a minor, where $A$ is one of the six graphs in $\mathcal{A}_{2}$ of connectivity two, which are listed in Figure 2.1. Notice that each of these graphs is a 2-sum of two graphs among $\left\{K_{3,3}, K_{5}\right\}$. By Theorem 2, $G$ contains a twist $J$ of the 2 -separation of $A$ as a minor where $J$ is constructed from rooted graphs $\left(J_{i}, R_{i}\right)(i=1,2)$ that are among the graphs shown in Figure 1, which we call $K_{3,3}^{N 1}, K_{3,3}^{N 2}, K_{3,3}^{N 3}, K_{3,3}^{E 1}, K_{3,3}^{E 2}, K_{5}^{1}$, and $K_{5}^{2}$, respectively. Let $M$ be the matching used to construct $J$ from $J_{1}$ and $J_{2}$.


Figure 1: Seven possibilities for $\left(J_{i}, R_{i}\right): K_{3,3}^{N 1}, K_{3,3}^{N 2}, K_{3,3}^{N 3}, K_{3,3}^{E 1}, K_{3,3}^{E 2}, K_{5}^{1}$, and $K_{5}^{2}$
First assume $\left(J_{1}, R_{1}\right)$ is one of $K_{3,3}^{N 1}, K_{3,3}^{N 2}$, or $K_{3,3}^{N 3}$, and contract the entire matching $M$ to obtain $J^{\prime}$. Notice that $K_{3,3}^{N 3}$ can be contracted to $K_{3,3}^{N 2}, K_{3,3}^{E 2}$ can be contracted to $K_{3,3}^{E 1}$, and $K_{5}^{2}$ can be contracted to $K_{5}^{1}$. So we may assume $\left(J_{1}, R_{1}\right)$ is either $K_{3,3}^{N 1}$ or $K_{3,3}^{N 2}$ and $\left(J_{2}, R_{2}\right)$ is one of $K_{3,3}^{N 1}, K_{3,3}^{N 2}, K_{3,3}^{E 1}$, or $K_{5}^{1}$. Now notice that $K_{2,3}$ rooted at the three mutually non-adjacent vertices can be obtained by contracting and deleting edges of $K_{3,3}^{N 2}$, $K_{3,3}^{E 1}$, or $K_{5}^{1}$. Therefore if $\left(J_{1}, R_{1}\right)$ or $\left(J_{2}, R_{2}\right)$ is $K_{3,3}^{N 1}$, then $J^{\prime}$ contains $K_{3,5}=E_{3} \in \mathcal{A}_{3}$ as a minor. Now we may assume that $\left(J_{1}, R_{1}\right)$ is $K_{3,3}^{N 2}$ and $\left(J_{2}, R_{2}\right)$ is $K_{3,3}^{N 2}, K_{3,3}^{E 1}$, or $K_{5}^{1}$. If $\left(J_{2}, R_{2}\right)$ is $K_{3,3}^{N 2}$, delete an edge from it to obtain $K_{3,3}^{E 1}$; if $\left(J_{2}, R_{2}\right)$ is $K_{3,3}^{E 1}$, $J^{\prime}$ has either $E_{5} \in \mathcal{A}_{3}$ or $F_{1} \in \mathcal{A}_{3}$ as a subgraph; and if $\left(J_{2}, R_{2}\right)$ is $K_{5}^{1}, J^{\prime}$ has $D_{3} \in \mathcal{A}_{3}$ as a subgraph.


Figure 2: Six graphs in $\mathcal{A}_{3}: B_{1}, C_{7}, D_{3}, E_{3}, E_{5}$, and $F_{1}$
Now $\left(J_{i}, R_{i}\right)$ must be among $K_{3,3}^{E 1}, K_{3,3}^{E 2}, K_{5}^{1}$, and $K_{5}^{2}$ for $i=1,2$. Suppose $\left(J_{1}, R_{1}\right)$ is $K_{3,3}^{E 2}$ or $K_{5}^{2}$. We contract the entire matching $M$ to obtain $J^{\prime}$. If $\left(J_{2}, R_{2}\right)$ is $K_{3,3}^{E 2}$ or $K_{5}^{2}$, contract it to $K_{3,3}^{E 1}$ or $K_{5}^{1}$, respectively. In case $\left(J_{1}, R_{1}\right)$ is $K_{3,3}^{E 2}$, if $\left(J_{2}, R_{2}\right)$ is $K_{3,3}^{E 1}$, $J^{\prime}$ has $F_{1}$ as a minor, and if $\left(J_{2}, R_{2}\right)$ is $K_{5}^{1}$, $J^{\prime}$ has $D_{3}$ as a minor. In case $\left(J_{1}, R_{1}\right)$ is $K_{5}^{2}$, if $\left(J_{2}, R_{2}\right)$ is $K_{3,3}^{E 1}, J^{\prime}$ has $D_{3}$ or $F_{1}$ as a minor, if $\left(J_{2}, R_{2}\right)$ is $K_{5}^{1}, J^{\prime}$ has $C_{7} \in \mathcal{A}_{3}$ as a subgraph.
So $\left(J_{i}, R_{i}\right)$ is either $K_{3,3}^{E 1}$ or $K_{5}^{1}$ for $i=1,2$. In this case, we may no longer contract the entire matching $M$ since this may result in a projective graph. Suppose $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}$ is the 2-cut of $A$, then contract any edge of $M$ incident to some vertex with label either $v_{1}$ or $v_{2}$. Then if $\left(J_{1}, R_{1}\right)$ and $\left(J_{2}, R_{2}\right)$ are both $K_{3,3}^{E 1}, J^{\prime}$ has either $E_{5}$ or $F_{1}$ as a subgraph. If $\left(J_{1}, R_{1}\right)$ is $K_{3,3}^{E 1}$ and $\left(J_{2}, R_{2}\right)$ is $K_{5}^{1}, J^{\prime}$ has $D_{3}$ as a subgraph. Finally if $\left(J_{1}, R_{1}\right)$ and $\left(J_{2}, R_{2}\right)$ are both $K_{5}^{1}, J^{\prime}$ has either $B_{1}$ or $C_{7}$ as a subgraph.

QED

## Theorem.

(1) A connected graph is projective iff it is $\mathcal{A}_{1}$-free.
(2) A 2-connected graph is projective iff it is $\mathcal{A}_{2}$-free.
(3) A 3-connected graph is projective iff it is $\mathcal{A}_{3}$-free.
(4) An internally 4 -connected graph is projective iff

- our first main result it is $\mathcal{A}_{4}^{*}$-free.
proved by Robertson, Seymour, and Thomas


## Proof of (4).

$$
\mathcal{A}_{3}=\mathcal{A}_{4} \cup\left\{B_{1}, C_{7}, D_{3}, D_{9}, D_{12}\right.
$$

$$
\left.E_{3}, E_{5}, E_{11}, E_{19}, E_{27}, F_{1}, G_{1}\right\}
$$

12 graphs
$\downarrow$ (Lemma)
$\mathcal{A}_{4}^{*}$
which are . . . . . .


Problem. Removing "accident" graphs from $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}$

Theorem (Hall) Except for $K_{5}$, a 3-connected graph is non-planar iff it contains $K_{3,3}$.
$K_{5}$ is an accident!

Objective. Find $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{3}$ such that:
With finitely many exceptions, a 3 -connected graph is non-projective iff it contains a graph in $\mathcal{B}$

Theorem. There are precisely two minimal sets $\mathcal{B}$ :

- $\mathcal{A}_{3}-\left\{A_{2}, C_{4}, C_{7}, D_{17}\right\}$ (21 exceptions)
- $\mathcal{A}_{3}-\left\{B_{7}, C_{7}, D_{17}\right\}$ (21 exceptions)

Proof. Using Splitter Theorem . . . .

## Splitter Theorem. (Seymour) If

- $G$ and $H$ are 3-connected
- $K_{4} \neq H<G \neq W_{n}$ then $G \geq H^{\prime} \in\{H$-adds, $H$-splits $\}$.


Hall Theorem. If $G \neq K_{5}$ is 3-connected nonplanar then $G \geq K_{3,3}$.
Proof. Nonplanar $\Rightarrow G \geq K_{5}$ or $K_{3,3}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Rightarrow G \geq K_{5} \\
& \Rightarrow G \geq K_{5} \text {-split } \geq K_{3,3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem. There are precisely two minimal sets $\mathcal{B}$ :

- $\mathcal{A}_{3}-\left\{A_{2}, C_{4}, C_{7}, D_{17}\right\}$ (21 exceptions)
- $\mathcal{A}_{3}-\left\{B_{7}, C_{7}, D_{17}\right\}$ (21 exceptions)

Proof. Using Splitter Theorem . . . .

Objective. Find $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{3}$ such that:
With finitely many exceptions, an internally 4 -connected graph is
non-projective iff it contains a graph in $\mathcal{B}$

Theorem (Our second main result). The following

$$
\left\{D_{3}, E_{5}, E_{20}, E_{22}, F_{1}, F_{4}\right\}
$$

is a minimum set $\mathcal{B}$.
(The largest exception has 14 vertices and 31 edges.)

A different formulation: An i-4-connected graph $G$ with $\geq 15$ vertices is projective iff $G$ contains none of the following:

$D_{3}, E_{5}, E_{20}, E_{22}, \quad F_{1}, F_{4}$

## Proof.

## Splitter Theorem.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { If } G \geq H \text {, both i-4-c, and }|V(G)|>|V(H)|, \\
& \text { then } G \geq H^{\prime}, \text { where } H^{\prime} \ldots . . . .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Outer-Projective graphs.

A graph $G$ is outer-projective if $G$ admits a projective drawing such that there is a face incident with all vertices.

Observation. $G$ is outer-projective iff $\hat{G}$ is projective.

Corollary. For outer-projective graphs,
the set $\mathcal{F}$ of forbidden minors consists of precisely minimal graphs in $\{G-v: G \in \mathcal{A}, v \in V(G)\}$

Archdeacon, Hartsfield, Little, Mohar (1998): $|\mathcal{F}|=32$

## Theorem.

(1) A connected $G$ is OP iff $G$ is $\mathcal{F}_{1}$-free; $\quad\left|\mathcal{F}_{1}\right|=29$
(2) A 2-connected $G$ is OP iff $G$ is $\mathcal{F}_{2}$-free; $\quad\left|\mathcal{F}_{2}\right|=23$
(3) A 3-connected $G$ is OP iff $G$ is $\mathcal{F}_{3}^{*}$-free; $\quad\left|\mathcal{F}_{3}^{*}\right|=9$
(4) An i-4-connected $G$ with $|G| \geq 9$ is OP iff
$G$ is


