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Advance of airport design planning the concept of terminals, some of which are directly connected by hallways. A common graphical representation of the general outlay of a large airport represents the terminals by vertices and the connecting hallways by edges of a finite graph. For instance, the graph in Figure 1.
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**Figure 1.** A representation of an airport with 6 terminals

For easier orientation at the airport, the terminals are usually numbered. Figure 2 represents one possible numbering of the terminals of the airport represented in Figure 1. Terminal 1 and Terminal 2 are directly connected by a hallway, while Terminal 2 and Terminal 6 are not (of course, one can walk, say, from 2 to 5 through the hallway that directly connects them, and then from 5 to 6 through their own direct connection).

![Figure 2](image2)

**Figure 2.** The same airport with a numbering of the terminals

There is a certain difficulty with the numbering of the terminals that is evident already in Figure 2. Namely, some terminals that are labeled by consecutive numbers are not connected by a direct hallway (such as Terminal 3 and Terminal 4), while some terminals that are connected by a direct hallway are labeled by numbers that are far apart (such as Terminal 1 and Terminal 5). The design needs...
to take into account the confusion among the passengers that may arise from such inconsistencies.

Some numberings of the terminals seem to be better than others, namely those that keep the differences between the numbers labeling two adjacent terminals (terminals connected by a direct hallway) as small as possible.

Let us try to be more precise about this by introducing a measurement of the confusion caused by some particular numbering of a given layout of terminals and hallways.

For every hallway (i.e., edge in the corresponding graphical representation), the confusion number associated to that hallway is, by definition, the absolute value of the difference between the numbers labeling the two terminals (i.e., vertices in the graphical representation) connected by that hallway. In Figure 3, each edge is labeled by its confusion number For instance, the confusion number of the edge connecting 2 and 5 is 3.
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**Figure 3.** The same numbering with confusion numbers indicated on the edges

Once we determine the confusion number of every edge (hallway), we assign a confusion number to the entire numbering of the vertices (terminals) by declaring the largest confusion number of the edges to be the confusion number of the entire numbering. For instance, the confusion number of the numbering of the graph in Figure 3 is 4 (since this is the largest confusion number of one of the edges).

It is easy to see that the numbering chosen in Figure 3 is not an optimal one, at least viewed through the size of the corresponding confusion number. Indeed, we can easily provide a numbering with a smaller confusion number, namely one with confusion number 3, as indicated in Figure 4.
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**Figure 4.** The same graph with a numbering with smaller confusion number

Among all possible numberings of a given graph, we prefer those with the smallest possible confusion number. We call that smallest possible confusion number the confusion number of the graph itself.
It is important to observe that each particular numbering of some graph has its own confusion number, but the graph itself has only one confusion number, namely the smallest confusion number of all possible numberings of its vertices.

If we consider again our example, we already have two numberings of the graph in Figure 1, one with confusion number 4 (in Figure 3) and one with confusion number 3 (in Figure 4), but the confusion number of this graph is neither 4 nor 3, since there is even better numbering of the same graph that has confusion number 2, namely the one in Figure 5.
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**Figure 5.** The same graph with a numbering with even smaller confusion number

**Problem 1.** For each of the following graphs provide a numbering with confusion number 3.

(a)

![Graph](image)

(b) (Remark: in the graph below, there is no vertex where the two curved edges meet)

![Graph](image)

In the next few problems we consider only a certain type of airport layouts. Namely, the designers of the airport in a certain city settled on a airport layout in which $n$ terminals, where $n \geq 3$, are arranged in a circular manner in such a way that each is connected by a hallway to its two closest terminals (along the circle)
and there is an additional terminal (serving the subway) in the middle of the circle and this terminal is connected by hallways to all other terminals. In particular, $n$ hallways meet at the central terminal, while exactly 3 hallways meet at all other (peripheral) terminals. Denote such a layout (i.e., the corresponding graph) by $A_n$. For instance, the graph in Figure 6 is the graph $A_8$. Denote the confusion number of $A_n$ by $c_n$.

**Problem 2.** Determine at least one numbering with confusion number 3 for each of the graphs $A_3$, $A_4$, $A_5$ and $A_6$.

**Problem 3.** Determine at least one numbering with confusion number 4 for each of the graphs $A_7$ and $A_8$.

**Problem 4.** Show that $c_3 = 3$ and $c_4 = 3$.

(Hint: Note that the numberings provided in the solution of Problem 2 already show that $c_3 \leq 3$ and $c_4 \leq 3$. Therefore, all you need to show is that there are no numberings of $A_3$ and $A_4$ with confusion number 1 or 2).

**Problem 5.** Let $n \geq 3$.

(a) Show that, regardless of the numbering of the terminals (vertices) in $A_n$, one can get from Terminal 1 to any other terminal by using no more than 2 hallways (edges).

(b) Use the observation from part (a) to show that the inequality

$$1 + 2c_n \geq n + 1$$

holds, where $c_n$ is the confusion number of $A_n$.

Note that you may use the inequality (1) in what follows even if you did not solve Problem 5.

**Problem 6.** Use the previous problems to show that $c_5 = 3$, $c_6 = 3$, $c_7 = 4$, $c_8 = 4$. 

---

**Figure 6.** The graph $A_8$
If the confusion number of some particular layout seems to be too large (for whatever practical purpose), one may try to reduce it by modifying the underlying graph by simply deleting some of the edges. For example, it can be shown (you do not need to do it) that the graph from Problem 1(b) has confusion number 3. However, deletion of one of the “diagonal” edges leads to a graph of a smaller confusion number as can be seen from the following numbering.

Confusion number reduction through edge deletion is the theme of the remaining problems.

**Problem 7.** (a) Delete one edge from $A_3$ in such a way that the newly obtained graph has confusion number no greater than 2. (Provide a numbering of the new graph with confusion number 2.)

(b) Delete one edge from $A_4$ in such a way that the newly obtained graph has confusion number no greater than 2. (Provide a numbering of the new graph with confusion number 2.)

**Problem 8.** (a) Delete two edges from $A_5$ in such a way that the newly obtained graph has confusion number no greater than 2. (Provide a numbering of the new graph with confusion number 2.)

(b) Show that, no matter which single edge is deleted from $A_5$, the newly obtained graph still has confusion number 3.

**Problem 9.** (a) Delete three edges from $A_6$ in such a way that the newly obtained graph has confusion number no greater than 2. (Provide a numbering of the new graph with confusion number 2.)

(b) Show that, no matter which single edge is deleted from $A_6$, the newly obtained graph still has confusion number 3.

(c) Show that, no matter which two edges are deleted from $A_6$, the newly obtained graph still has confusion number 3.
Problem 10. Delete two edges from the square grid graph $G_5$ given below and then provide a numbering of the obtained graph with confusion number 4.