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Abstract

The use of bionic hands is becoming a reality for those who have suffered amputation. Mathematical models are necessary to calculate the forces needed on each tendon to mimic the motion of human fingers. We modeled the motion of the human finger and thumb as it bends in and out using Newton’s second law of motion. A system of partial differential equations was developed to describe the relationship of the forces needed to move the finger to a specified position, incorporating a feedback mechanism. Our work shows that this type of model can be used to accurately control the motion of a human finger.

1 Introduction

In the United States alone, approximately 1.7 million have suffered a limb loss between 1988 and 1996. In fact, about one out of every 200 people in the United States has had an amputation [1]. Amputation rates may be on the increase due to the epidemic of diabetes in the United States. Many of those amputees look for ways to regain the use of their limbs via prostheses.

Bionic limbs are mechanically engineered prostheses which are used to give the person mobility and movement in the limb that was missing. Finding ways to duplicate the motion of the human body is crucial to the development of bionic prostheses. In 1999, Baek et. al. developed a simple model for a robotic finger [2]. In 2008, Arslan et. al. focused on a biomechanical model of the index finger of the human hand which was based on human anatomy. Because activation of a finger is done through tendons, they used a tendon configuration to imitate the characteristics and functionality of the human hand [4].

Mimicking the exact functions of the hand is extremely complex because of the free range of motion of the fingers and thumb. We modeled a simplified motion of the finger using Newton’s second law of motion.

2 Method

A phalanx is the section of the finger between each joint so each finger, other than the thumb, has three phalanges. Phalanx 1 is the section closest to the palm. Figure 1 shows the forces, mass, and angles involved in the movement of the phalanges of a finger. The radius of the joint at the base of the ith phalanx is represented by $r_i$. The angle between the horizontal and the center of the phalanx is $\theta_i$, $L_i$ is the length of the phalanx, $m_i$ the center of mass of the ith phalanx, and $T(\theta_i)$ the tension force at the center of mass of the ith phalanx. We used an idealized mechanical model in which each phalanx is assumed
to be infinitely rigid with its mass concentrated at the midpoint. Forces are applied at the center of mass of each phalanx by infinitesimal thin cables making a constant angle with idealizations of tendons attached to each phalanx.

We assumed that all joints were frictionless. The first phalanx is assumed to only move in a circular motion since the first joint is assumed to be stationary and the phalanx is assumed to be rigid. Consequently, only the circumferential componenet of the force acting on the mass $m_1$ affects its motion. The motion of the masses $m_2$ and $m_3$ is more complicated involving circular motion about the joint and the movement of the joint.

**Figure 1: Diagram of Finger**

The force and acceleration equations are shown below. Force on $m_1$:

$$F_1 = \sin(\alpha_1)(T_{11} - T_{12}) - \sin(\theta_2 - \theta_1)(\cos(\alpha_2)(T_{21} + T_{22}) + \cos(\alpha_3)(T_{31} + T_{32}))$$

Force on $m_2$:

$$F_2 = \sin(\alpha_2)(T_{21} - T_{22}) - \sin(\theta_3 - \theta_2)(\cos(\alpha_3)(T_{31} + T_{32}))$$

Force on $m_3$:

$$F_3 = \sin(\alpha_3)(T_{31} - T_{32})$$

Acceleration of $m_1$:

$$\ddot{u}_1 = \tau_1(\theta_1)L_1\dot{\theta}_1$$

Acceleration of $m_2$:

$$\ddot{u}_2 = \tau_2(\theta_2)(2L_1 \cos(\theta_2 - \theta_1)\dot{\theta}_1 + 2L_1 \sin(\theta_2 - \theta_1)(\dot{\theta}_1)^2 + L_2 \ddot{\theta}_2)$$

Acceleration of $m_3$:

$$\ddot{u}_3 = \tau_3(\theta_3) = 2L_1(\cos(\theta_3 - \theta_1)\dot{\theta}_1 + \sin(\theta_3 - \theta_1)(\dot{\theta}_1)^2) + 2L_2(\cos(\theta_3 - \theta_2)\dot{\theta}_2 + \sin(\theta_3 - \theta_2)(\dot{\theta}_2)^2) + L_3 \ddot{\theta}_3$$

Multiplying the acceleration by mass and then equating with force gives the system of equations below.

$$m_1L_1\ddot{\theta}_1 = \sin(\alpha_1)(T_{11} - T_{12}) - \sin(\theta_2 - \theta_1)(\cos(\alpha_2)(T_{21} + T_{22}) + \cos(\alpha_3)(T_{31} + T_{32}))$$

\[\text{(1)}\]
\[2L_1 \cos(\theta_2 - \theta_1)\ddot{\theta}_1 + 2L_1 \sin(\theta_2 - \theta_1)(\dot{\theta}_1)^2 + L_2 \ddot{\theta}_2 = \]  
\[\sin(\alpha_2)(T_{21} - T_{22}) - (\cos(\alpha_3)(T_{31} + T_{32}) \sin(\theta_3 - \theta_2)) = \]  
\[m_3(\ddot{\alpha}_3 - \tau'_3(\theta_3)) = (F_3 \cdot \tau_3(\theta_3)) \]  

Numerical solutions of these partial differential equations were obtained in Mathematica using numerical method of lines [3]. Given each length \(L_i\) and each function \(\theta_i(t)\), one can appeal to Figure 1 to graph the trajectory of the idealized mechanical finger.

### 3 Results

Figure 2 shows an example of the motion of the finger according to this model. The tendon forces for this example are

\[T_{11}(t) = (t/(1 + t))(0.0044)\]
\[T_{12}(t) = 0\]
\[T_{21}(t) = (t/(1 + t))(0.00989)\]

Figure 2: Finger motion. Left: one curve per phalange, with time \(t\) on the horizontal axis and angle \(\theta\) on the vertical axis. Right: one curve per time unit \(t = 1 \ldots 23\), with \(x\) position on the horizontal axis and \(y\) position on the vertical axis.

To get a different outcome, we had to repeatedly adjust the forces. It was observed in simulations that the predicted trajectories were rather sensitive to the relationships among the applied forces which at times caused a severe hyperextension of one or more joints. This can occur from allowing the force of the first phalanx to exceed the second or the second
to exceed the third. In the case of figure 3, the force on the second phalanx was lower than the force on the first phalanx.

In Mathematica, we were able to graph the actual form of the finger and capture its motion as it curves in time. Figure 2 shows the finger as \( t = [1 - 23] \), but is unrealistic for most because the third phalanx has an excessive bend. This bend can not physically occur without more bending in the first and second phalanx.

In order to get a more realistic bend, more realistic parameters had to be used. The initial parameters for the length of each phalanx was 1 in. for \( L_1 \), 0.9 in. for \( L_2 \), and 0.5 in. for \( L_3 \). We changed these to 1.25 in, 0.75 in. and 1 in. allowing better curves. The measurements were taken from the inside of the finger at the creases, which causes the length of the third phalanx to be slightly greater than the second.

Figure 4 shows the thumb with the parameters \( m_1 = 1 \) and \( m_2 = 0.9 \), \( L_1 = 1.5 \) and \( L_2 = 1.375 \), and \( \alpha_1 = \Pi/4.0 \) and \( \alpha_2 = \Pi/4.0 \). The angles \( \theta_i \) was subtracted from \( \pi/2 \) to get the correct placement corresponding to an actual hand. One challenge that was addressed was how to use this mechanical model to mimic the feedback mechanism provided by human vision to dynamically adjust the forces in a hand to cause the finger and thumb to touch. This feedback loop was simulated by initially selecting a distribution of forces which were applied until the ends of the finger and thumb ceased to move closer to one another. At that time the forces were adjusted until the finger and thumb began to move closer again. If they began to diverge at some later time, the simulation was stopped, forces readjusted, and the simulation restarted. This process was continued until the thumb and the finger was successfully brought together as in figure 5.

4 Conclusion

What was accomplished in this study was the essential first step of developing and simulating a mathematical model of the motion of a finger and thumb mechanical system. The next very challenging task is to devise a mathematical theory for controlling the action of this mechanical system from visual feedback.
Figure 4: Thumb-Finger. Left: one curve per time unit $t = 1 \ldots 23$, with $x$ position on the horizontal axis and $y$ position on the vertical axis. Right: curve on $-x$ horizontal axis for the thumb and curve on $x$ horizontal axis for the finger, both at $t = 23$ with $x$ position on the horizontal axis and $y$ position on the vertical axis.
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Figure 5: Finger and thumb touching.