# Math 409-502

Harold P. Boas boas@tamu.edu

### Compactness

### Definition

An interval is called *compact* if the interval is both closed and bounded.

## Examples

- The interval [-1, 1] is compact.
- The interval [0, 1) is not compact. (It is bounded but not closed.)
- The interval [0,∞) is not compact. (It is closed but not bounded.)

The key property is that a sequence of points in a compact interval must have a cluster point that is still in the interval.

Math 409-502

October 22, 2004 — slide #2

### **Continuous functions on compact intervals**

**Theorem.** If *f* is a continuous function on a compact interval, then the range of *f* is again a compact interval.

The conclusion of the theorem has three parts.

- 1. The range is an interval.
- 2. The range is bounded.
- 3. The range contains the endpoints of the interval.
- The first part is the Intermediate Value Theorem.
- The second part says that the function has a finite supremum and a finite infimum.
- The third part says that the function attains a maximum value and a minimum value.

Math 409-502

October 22, 2004 — slide #3

#### Why did the chicken cross the road?

**Theorem (Intermediate Value Theorem).** *If* f *is a continuous function on the (compact) interval* [a, b]*, then every number between* f(a) *and* f(b) *is in the range of* f*.* 

## Example

Show that the function  $x^5 - 4x^2 + e^x$  has a zero between x = 0 and x = 1.

Solution. At x = 0 the function has the value 1, and at x = 1 the function has the value -3 + e < 0. By the theorem, the function takes the intermediate value 0 somewhere in the interval (0,1).

Using the bisection method, we could locate the zero of the function more precisely.

Math 409-502

October 22, 2004 — slide #4

#### Proof of the intermediate value theorem

The book gives a proof (page 173) using the Nested Interval Theorem. Here is a different proof.

Without loss of generality, it may be assumed that f(a) < f(b). We need to show that if *k* is a number such that f(a) < k < f(b), then the number *k* is in the range of *f*.

Let *S* denote the set of points *c* with the property that f(x) < k for all *x* in the interval [a, c]. The set *S* is non-empty because f(a) < k and *f* is continuous. Let *d* denote the supremum of *S*. Claim: f(d) = k.

Because *f* is continuous,  $f(d) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f(d - \frac{1}{n})$ , so  $f(d) \le k$ 

(by the limit location theorem). It cannot be that f(d) < k (strict inequality), because then there would be points to the right of *d* in the set *S* (again by the continuity of *f*). So the claim holds.

Math 409-502

#### Homework

- Read sections 12.1 and 12.2, pages 172–177.
- Do Exercises 12.1/1 and 12.1/5 on page 180.

Math 409-502

October 22, 2004 — slide #6