In this assignment, you will apply subharmonic functions to prove Hadamard's three-circles theorem (which is one of the topics on the official syllabus for the qualifying examination in complex analysis).

1. Suppose *u* is a subharmonic function that is bounded above in a vertical strip, say $\{x + iy : a < x < b, y \in \mathbb{R}\}$. Let m(x) denote $\sup\{u(x + iy) : y \in \mathbb{R}\}$ (the supremum of the values of *u* on a vertical line). Show that m(x) is a convex function on the interval (a, b). In other words, if x_1 and x_2 are two points in the interval (a, b), and *x* is a point in between, then

$$m(x) \le \lambda m(x_1) + (1 - \lambda)m(x_2)$$
, where $x = \lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2$, and $0 < \lambda < 1$.

An equivalent statement is that

$$m(x) \le \frac{x_2 - x}{x_2 - x_1} m(x_1) + \frac{x - x_1}{x_2 - x_1} m(x_2)$$
 when $x_1 < x < x_2$.

Suggestion: A first-degree polynomial in x is, in particular, a harmonic function that you can compare to the subharmonic function u(x + iy). You need a bounded region on which to apply the maximum principle, so suppose initially that $u(x+iy) \to -\infty$ uniformly with respect to x when $|y| \to \infty$, and apply the maximum principle on a suitable rectangle. To handle the general case, consider functions like $u(z) - \varepsilon \operatorname{Re} \cos(\frac{z-a}{b-a})$.

2. Deduce that if f is a bounded holomorphic function in a vertical strip, and M(x) denotes $\sup\{|f(x+iy)|: y \in \mathbb{R}\}$, then

$$M(\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2) \le M(x_1)^{\lambda}M(x_2)^{1-\lambda}$$
 when $0 < \lambda < 1$.

This property of the function M is called *logarithmic convexity*, and the result is called *Hadamard's three-lines theorem*.

3. Suppose *u* is a subharmonic function in an annulus, say $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : a < |z| < b\}$. Let m(r) denote sup $\{u(z) : |z| = r\}$. Show that if $a < r_1 < r < r_2 < b$, then

$$m(r) \leq \frac{\log r_2 - \log r}{\log r_2 - \log r_1} m(r_1) + \frac{\log r - \log r_1}{\log r_2 - \log r_1} m(r_2).$$

One sometimes sees the statement that m(r) is "a convex function of log r." An equivalent formulation of the inequality is that

$$m(r) \leq \frac{\log \frac{r_2}{r}}{\log \frac{r_2}{r_1}} m(r_1) + \frac{\log \frac{r}{r_1}}{\log \frac{r_2}{r_1}} m(r_2).$$

Suggestion: Either use a harmonic comparison function of the form $A + B \log |z|$, or apply part 1 to the composite function $u(e^z)$.

4. Deduce that if f is a holomorphic function in the annulus $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : a < |z| < b\}$, and M(r) denotes sup $\{|f(z)| : |z| = r\}$, then

$$M(r) \le M(r_1)^{\lambda} M(r_2)^{1-\lambda}$$
, where $a < r_1 < r < r_2 < b$, and $\lambda = \frac{\log \frac{r_2}{r}}{\log \frac{r_2}{r_1}}$.

This statement is *Hadamard's three-circles theorem*.