

# The parabolic Harnack inequality for integro-differential operators

SVETLANA ANULOVA <sup>1</sup>

*Inst. Control Sci., Russian Acad. Sci., 65 Profsoyuznaya, Moscow, Russia*  
anulovas@ipu.ru

We generalize the elliptic Harnack inequality proved in [1]. Additionally we relax the assumptions of [1]: the integral term measure in it is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure. The proof exploits the basic construction of Krylov-Safonov for elliptic operators and its modernization for the purpose of adding integral terms by R. Bass.

Let  $B(x, r)$  denote  $\{y \in \mathbb{R}^d : |y-x| < r\}$ ,  $Q$  be the cylinder  $\{t \in [0, 2), |x| \in B(0, 1)\}$ , and  $W$  be the space of Borel measurable bounded non-negative functions on  $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$  with restriction on  $Q$  belonging to the Sobolev space  $W^{1,2}(Q)$ . And let  $\mathcal{L}$  be an operator with Borel measurable coefficients, acting on  $u \in W$  according to the formula

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}u(t, x) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^d a_{ij}(t, x) \frac{\partial^2 u(t, x)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^d b_i(t, x) \frac{\partial u(t, x)}{\partial x_i} \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}} [u(t, x+h) - u(t, x) - \mathbf{1}_{(|h| \leq 1)} h \cdot \nabla u(t, x)] \mu(t, x; dh). \end{aligned}$$

ASSUMPTIONS There exist positive constants  $\lambda, K, k$  and  $\beta$  such that for all  $t, x$ :

- 1)  $\lambda|y|^2 \leq y^T a(t, x)y, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ;
- 2)  $\|a(t, x)\| + |b(t, x)| + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (|h|^2 \wedge 1) \mu(t, x; dh) \leq K$ ;
- 3) for any  $r \in (0, 1], y_1, y_2 \in B(x, r/2) \cap B(0, 1)$  and borel  $A$  with  $\text{dist}(x, A) \geq r$  holds  $\mu(t, y_1; \{h : y_1 + h \in A\}) \leq kr^{-\beta} \mu(t, y_2; \{h : y_2 + h \in A\})$ .

**Theorem 1** *There exists a positive constant  $C(d, \lambda, K, k, \beta)$  s.t. for every  $u \in W$  satisfying*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathcal{L}u = 0 \text{ a.e. in } (t, x)$$

*holds: for all  $|x| \leq \frac{1}{2}$*

$$u_{0,x} \geq Cu_{1,0}.$$

The crucial point of the proof is the extension of Prop. 3.9 [1] to the cylinder.

## References

- [1] Foondun, M. *Harmonic functions for a class of integro-differential operators*. Potential Anal., 31, 2009, 21-44.

---

<sup>1</sup>The author is supported by RFBR grants 10-01-00767 and 10-08-01068