

### MATH 625 Sheet 3

To set up the next problem, here is some “review.” Let  $t > 0$  and suppose  $f : [0, t] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . The  $p$ -variation of  $f$  over  $[0, t]$  is

$$\langle f, f \rangle_t^{(p)} = \lim_{\|P\| \rightarrow 0} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} |f(t_{k+1}) - f(t_k)|^p,$$

where  $P = \{t_0, \dots, t_m\}$  is a partition of  $[0, t] : 0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_m = t$  and  $\|P\| = \max_k (t_{k+1} - t_k)$ .

The case  $p = 1$  has a special interpretation. We say  $f$  has *finite arc length* on  $[0, t]$  if  $\langle f, f \rangle_t^{(1)} < \infty$ . The value of this limit is called the *arc length* of  $f$  over  $[0, t]$ . Do you see why this is reasonable? (Think of polygonal approximations).

The case  $p = 2$  is also of interest in stochastic calculus:  $\langle f, f \rangle_t^{(2)}$  is called the *quadratic variation* of  $f$  over  $[0, t]$ .

1. In this exercise you will show one dimensional Brownian motion  $B_t$  (starting at 0) *does not* have finite arc length over any interval  $[0, t]$ . Stated another way, the distance traveled during any finite time interval is infinite!

Exactly as in the class example just after Corollary 3.1.8, we can show that the limit defining  $\langle B, B \rangle_t^{(2)}$  exists as a limit in  $L^2(P)$  with value  $t$ . Take this for granted.

- a) To get a contradiction, assume the arc length of  $B_t$  over  $[0, t]$  is finite with positive probability (i.e. there exists a set  $A$  such that  $P(A) > 0$  and for each  $\omega \in A$ ,  $\langle B, B \rangle_t^{(1)}(\omega) < \infty$ ). Prove that on the set  $A$ , the quadratic variation of  $B_t$  over  $[0, t]$  is zero.

HINT: Since Brownian motion is continuous over  $[0, t]$ , it is *uniformly* continuous there. Recall  $f$  is *uniformly continuous* on  $[a, b]$  if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$|s - t| < \delta, \quad s, t \in [a, b] \quad \Rightarrow \quad |f(s) - f(t)| < \varepsilon.$$

In particular, the *same*  $\delta$  works for all  $s$  and  $t$  in  $[a, b]$ .

- b) Using that  $\langle B, B \rangle_t^{(2)} = t$  and that  $(\langle B, B \rangle_t^{(2)} - t)^2 \geq (\langle B, B \rangle_t^{(2)} - t)^2 I_A$ , use part a) to get a contradiction.

2. Page 37/3.1

3. Page 42/3.15

4. Page 38/3.4

HINT on part iii):

1. To show that  $\int_0^t r B_r dr$  is  $\mathcal{F}_t$  measurable, look at Riemann sums.
2. To show that  $X_t$  is integrable, use Cauchy-Schwarz:  $E[|B_s|] \leq \sqrt{E[B_s^2]}$
3. To help prove the martingale property, use Riemann sums to prove  $\int_s^t u(B_u - B_s) du$  is independent of  $\mathcal{F}_s$ .

5. Page 38/3.6