

Pythagorean powers of hypercubes

Gideon Schechtman

Joint work with

Assaf Naor

College Station, July 2016

- The linear background
- The nonlinear background
- The inequality
- The proof

- The linear background
- The nonlinear background
- The inequality
- The proof

- The linear background
- The nonlinear background
- The inequality
- The proof

- The linear background
- The nonlinear background
- The inequality
- The proof

The linear background

For $1 \leq p, q \leq 2$, $\ell_p(\ell_q)$ isomorphically embeds into $L_1 = L_1(0, 1)$ if and only if $p \leq q$.

I am not sure who was the first to prove that for $p > q$ $\ell_p(\ell_q)$ does not embed into L_1 but the best proof of that with the right estimates for the distance of $\ell_p^n(\ell_q^m)$ from a subspace of L_1 follows from an inequality of Kwapien and Schütt.

For all n and all $\{z_{jk}\}_{j,k=1}^n \subseteq L_1$,

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{\varepsilon \in \{-1,1\}^n} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \varepsilon_k z_{jk} \right\|_1 \lesssim \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \sum_{\varepsilon \in \{-1,1\}^n} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon_j z_{j\pi(j)} \right\|_1,$$

where S_n is the group of all permutations of $\{1, \dots, n\}$.

The linear background

For $1 \leq p, q \leq 2$, $\ell_p(\ell_q)$ isomorphically embeds into $L_1 = L_1(0, 1)$ if and only if $p \leq q$.

I am not sure who was the first to prove that for $p > q$ $\ell_p(\ell_q)$ does not embed into L_1 but the best proof of that with the right estimates for the distance of $\ell_p^n(\ell_q^m)$ from a subspace of L_1 follows from an inequality of Kwapien and Schütt.

For all n and all $\{z_{jk}\}_{j,k=1}^n \subseteq L_1$,

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{\varepsilon \in \{-1,1\}^n} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \varepsilon_k z_{jk} \right\|_1 \lesssim \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \sum_{\varepsilon \in \{-1,1\}^n} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon_j z_{j\pi(j)} \right\|_1,$$

where S_n is the group of all permutations of $\{1, \dots, n\}$.

The linear background

For $1 \leq p, q \leq 2$, $\ell_p(\ell_q)$ isomorphically embeds into $L_1 = L_1(0, 1)$ if and only if $p \leq q$.

I am not sure who was the first to prove that for $p > q$ $\ell_p(\ell_q)$ does not embed into L_1 but the best proof of that with the right estimates for the distance of $\ell_p^n(\ell_q^m)$ from a subspace of L_1 follows from an inequality of Kwapień and Schütt.

For all n and all $\{z_{jk}\}_{j,k=1}^n \subseteq L_1$,

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{\varepsilon \in \{-1,1\}^n} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \varepsilon_k z_{jk} \right\|_1 \lesssim \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \sum_{\varepsilon \in \{-1,1\}^n} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon_j z_{j\pi(j)} \right\|_1,$$

where S_n is the group of all permutations of $\{1, \dots, n\}$.

The linear background

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{\varepsilon \in \{-1,1\}^n} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \varepsilon_k z_{jk} \right\|_1 \lesssim \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \sum_{\varepsilon \in \{-1,1\}^n} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon_j z_{j\pi(j)} \right\|_1.$$

If $\{z_{jk}\}_{j,k}^n$ is the natural basis of $\ell_p^n(\ell_q^n)$,

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \varepsilon_k z_{jk} \right\| = n^{1/q}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon_j z_{j\pi(j)} \right\| = n^{1/p}.$$

So $n^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}} \lesssim d(\ell_p^n(\ell_q^n), SL_1)$.

The linear background

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{\varepsilon \in \{-1,1\}^n} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \varepsilon_k z_{jk} \right\|_1 \lesssim \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n} \sum_{\varepsilon \in \{-1,1\}^n} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon_j z_{j\pi(j)} \right\|_1.$$

If $\{z_{jk}\}_{j,k}^n$ is the natural basis of $\ell_p^n(\ell_q^n)$,

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \varepsilon_k z_{jk} \right\| = n^{1/q}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon_j z_{j\pi(j)} \right\| = n^{1/p}.$$

So $n^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}} \lesssim d(\ell_p^n(\ell_q^n), SL_1)$.

The linear background

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{\varepsilon \in \{-1,1\}^n} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \varepsilon_k z_{jk} \right\|_1 \lesssim \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n} \sum_{\varepsilon \in \{-1,1\}^n} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon_j z_{j\pi(j)} \right\|_1.$$

If $\{z_{jk}\}_{j,k}^n$ is the natural basis of $\ell_p^n(\ell_q^n)$,

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \varepsilon_k z_{jk} \right\| = n^{1/q}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon_j z_{j\pi(j)} \right\| = n^{1/p}.$$

So $n^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}} \lesssim d(\ell_p^n(\ell_q^n), SL_1)$.

The linear background

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{\varepsilon \in \{-1,1\}^n} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \varepsilon_k z_{jk} \right\|_1 \lesssim \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n} \sum_{\varepsilon \in \{-1,1\}^n} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon_j z_{j\pi(j)} \right\|_1.$$

If $\{z_{jk}\}_{j,k}^n$ is the natural basis of $\ell_p^n(\ell_q^n)$,

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \varepsilon_k z_{jk} \right\| = n^{1/q}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon_j z_{j\pi(j)} \right\| = n^{1/p}.$$

So $n^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}} \lesssim d(\ell_p^n(\ell_q^n), SL_1)$.

The non-linear background

A metric space (X, d_X) is said to admit a bi-Lipschitz embedding into a metric space (Y, d_Y) if there exist $s \in (0, \infty)$, $D \in [1, \infty)$ and a mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\forall x, y \in X, \quad sd_X(x, y) \leq d_Y(f(x), f(y)) \leq Dsd_X(x, y).$$

When this happens we say that that (X, d_X) embeds into (Y, d_Y) with distortion at most D . We denote by $c_Y(X)$ the infimum over such $D \in [1, \infty]$. When $Y = L_p$ we use the shorter notation $c_{L_p}(X) = c_p(X)$.

The non-linear background

We will be interested in lower bounding the distortion of embedding the ℓ_p^n sum of the discrete cube \mathbb{F}_2^n with the ℓ_q norm into L_1 . In this lecture we shall restrict ourselves to the case $p = 2$ and $q = 1$.

So we're interested in $c_1(\ell_2^n(\mathbb{F}_2^n))$ where \mathbb{F}_2^n is the n -dimensional discrete hypercube, endowed with the metric inherited from ℓ_1^n via the identification $\mathbb{F}_2^n = \{0, 1\}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

By general principles (ultraproduct, w^* -Gâteaux differentiation), the above stated result of Kwapien and Schütt formally implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_1(\ell_2^n(\mathbb{F}_2^n)) = \infty,$$

but such arguments don't give quantitative results.

The non-linear background

We will be interested in lower bounding the distortion of embedding the ℓ_p^n sum of the discrete cube \mathbb{F}_2^n with the ℓ_q norm into L_1 . In this lecture we shall restrict ourselves to the case $p = 2$ and $q = 1$.

So we're interested in $c_1(\ell_2^n(\mathbb{F}_2^n))$ where \mathbb{F}_2^n is the n -dimensional discrete hypercube, endowed with the metric inherited from ℓ_1^n via the identification $\mathbb{F}_2^n = \{0, 1\}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

By general principles (ultraproduct, w^* -Gâteaux differentiation), the above stated result of Kwapien and Schütt formally implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_1(\ell_2^n(\mathbb{F}_2^n)) = \infty,$$

but such arguments don't give quantitative results.

The non-linear background

We will be interested in lower bounding the distortion of embedding the ℓ_p^n sum of the discrete cube \mathbb{F}_2^n with the ℓ_q norm into L_1 . In this lecture we shall restrict ourselves to the case $p = 2$ and $q = 1$.

So we're interested in $c_1(\ell_2^n(\mathbb{F}_2^n))$ where \mathbb{F}_2^n is the n -dimensional discrete hypercube, endowed with the metric inherited from ℓ_1^n via the identification $\mathbb{F}_2^n = \{0, 1\}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

By general principles (ultraproduct, w^* -Gâteaux differentiation), the above stated result of Kwapien and Schütt formally implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_1(\ell_2^n(\mathbb{F}_2^n)) = \infty,$$

but such arguments don't give quantitative results.

The non-linear background

We will be interested in lower bounding the distortion of embedding the ℓ_p^n sum of the discrete cube \mathbb{F}_2^n with the ℓ_q norm into L_1 . In this lecture we shall restrict ourselves to the case $p = 2$ and $q = 1$.

So we're interested in $c_1(\ell_2^n(\mathbb{F}_2^n))$ where \mathbb{F}_2^n is the n -dimensional discrete hypercube, endowed with the metric inherited from ℓ_1^n via the identification $\mathbb{F}_2^n = \{0, 1\}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

By general principles (ultraproduct, w^* -Gâteaux differentiation), the above stated result of Kwapien and Schütt formally implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_1(\ell_2^n(\mathbb{F}_2^n)) = \infty,$$

but such arguments don't give quantitative results.

The non-linear background

Our main result is

Theorem

$$c_1(\ell_2^n(\mathbb{F}_2^n)) \asymp \sqrt{n}.$$

More generally

Theorem

For all $1 \leq p < q$

$$c_1(\ell_q^n(\mathbb{F}_2^n, \|\cdot\|_p)) \asymp n^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}}.$$

The non-linear background

Our main result is

Theorem

$$c_1(\ell_2^n(\mathbb{F}_2^n)) \asymp \sqrt{n}.$$

More generally

Theorem

For all $1 \leq p < q$

$$c_1(\ell_q^n(\mathbb{F}_2^n, \|\cdot\|_p)) \asymp n^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}}.$$

The non-linear background

Our main result is

Theorem

$$c_1(\ell_2^n(\mathbb{F}_2^n)) \asymp \sqrt{n}.$$

More generally

Theorem

For all $1 \leq p < q$

$$c_1(\ell_q^n(\mathbb{F}_2^n, \|\cdot\|_p)) \asymp n^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}}.$$

The non-linear background

Recalling

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{\varepsilon \in \{-1,1\}^n} \left| \sum_{k=1}^n \varepsilon_k z_{jk} \right| \lesssim \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \sum_{\varepsilon \in \{-1,1\}^n} \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon_j z_{j\pi(j)} \right|$$

it is tempting to try and prove the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{x \in M_n(\mathbb{F}_2)} \left| f\left(x + \sum_{k=1}^n e_{jk}\right) - f(x) \right| \\ \leq \frac{K}{n!} \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \sum_{x \in M_n(\mathbb{F}_2)} \left| f\left(x + \sum_{j=1}^n e_{j\pi(j)}\right) - f(x) \right| \end{aligned}$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $f : M_n(\mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

This follows a paradigm set out by Enflo in the 70-s. For

$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{x_{ik}} z_{ik}$ we recover the linear inequality.



The non-linear background

Recalling

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{\varepsilon \in \{-1,1\}^n} \left| \sum_{k=1}^n \varepsilon_k z_{jk} \right| \lesssim \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \sum_{\varepsilon \in \{-1,1\}^n} \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon_j z_{j\pi(j)} \right|$$

it is tempting to try and prove the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{x \in M_n(\mathbb{F}_2)} \left| f\left(x + \sum_{k=1}^n e_{jk}\right) - f(x) \right| \\ \leq \frac{K}{n!} \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \sum_{x \in M_n(\mathbb{F}_2)} \left| f\left(x + \sum_{j=1}^n e_{j\pi(j)}\right) - f(x) \right| \end{aligned}$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $f : M_n(\mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

This follows a paradigm set out by Enflo in the 70-s. For $f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{x_{ik}} z_{ik}$ we recover the linear inequality.



The non-linear background

Recalling

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{\varepsilon \in \{-1,1\}^n} \left| \sum_{k=1}^n \varepsilon_k z_{jk} \right| \lesssim \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \sum_{\varepsilon \in \{-1,1\}^n} \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon_j z_{j\pi(j)} \right|$$

it is tempting to try and prove the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{x \in M_n(\mathbb{F}_2)} \left| f\left(x + \sum_{k=1}^n e_{jk}\right) - f(x) \right| \\ \leq \frac{K}{n!} \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \sum_{x \in M_n(\mathbb{F}_2)} \left| f\left(x + \sum_{j=1}^n e_{j\pi(j)}\right) - f(x) \right| \end{aligned}$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $f : M_n(\mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

This follows a paradigm set out by Enflo in the 70-s. For $f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{x_{ik}} z_{ik}$ we recover the linear inequality.

The non-linear background

But the inequality we propose never holds. Even

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{x \in M_n(\mathbb{F}_2)} d_X \left(f \left(x + \sum_{k=1}^n e_{jk} \right), f(x) \right) \\ \leq \frac{K}{n!} \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \sum_{x \in M_n(\mathbb{F}_2)} d_X \left(f \left(x + \sum_{j=1}^n e_{j\pi(j)} \right), f(x) \right) \end{aligned}$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $f : M_n(\mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ does not hold in any metric space X with more than one point:

For n odd and $a \neq b \in X$ define

$$f(x) = a \text{ if } \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^n x_{ik} = 0$$

and

$$f(x) = b \text{ if } \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^n x_{ik} = 1.$$

The non-linear background

But the inequality we propose never holds. Even

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{x \in M_n(\mathbb{F}_2)} d_X \left(f \left(x + \sum_{k=1}^n e_{jk} \right), f(x) \right) \\ \leq \frac{K}{n!} \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \sum_{x \in M_n(\mathbb{F}_2)} d_X \left(f \left(x + \sum_{j=1}^n e_{j\pi(j)} \right), f(x) \right) \end{aligned}$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $f : M_n(\mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ does not hold in any metric space X with more than one point:

For n odd and $a \neq b \in X$ define

$$f(x) = a \text{ if } \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^n x_{ik} = 0$$

and

$$f(x) = b \text{ if } \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^n x_{ik} = 1.$$

The non-linear background

But the inequality we propose never holds. Even

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{x \in M_n(\mathbb{F}_2)} d_X \left(f \left(x + \sum_{k=1}^n e_{jk} \right), f(x) \right) \\ \leq \frac{K}{n!} \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \sum_{x \in M_n(\mathbb{F}_2)} d_X \left(f \left(x + \sum_{j=1}^n e_{j\pi(j)} \right), f(x) \right) \end{aligned}$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $f : M_n(\mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ does not hold in any metric space X with more than one point:

For n odd and $a \neq b \in X$ define

$$f(x) = a \text{ if } \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^n x_{ik} = 0$$

and

$$f(x) = b \text{ if } \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^n x_{ik} = 1.$$

The inequality

Replace the inequality of Kwapien and Schütt with

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{\varepsilon \in \{-1,1\}^n} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \varepsilon_k z_{jk} \right\|_1 \leq \frac{C}{n^n} \sum_{k \in \{1, \dots, n\}^n} \sum_{\varepsilon \in \{-1,1\}^n} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon_j z_{jk} \right\|_1$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $\{z_{jk}\}_{j,k=1}^n \subset L_1$.

This, provided it holds, is as good to prove that $c_1(\ell_2^n(\ell_1^n)) \gtrsim \sqrt{n}$. It turns out that this inequality holds, generalizes to an appropriate metric inequality, and the proof of the metric inequality is even simpler than that of the original KS inequality.

The inequality

Replace the inequality of Kwapien and Schütt with

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{\varepsilon \in \{-1,1\}^n} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \varepsilon_k z_{jk} \right\|_1 \leq \frac{C}{n^n} \sum_{k \in \{1, \dots, n\}^n} \sum_{\varepsilon \in \{-1,1\}^n} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon_j z_{jk} \right\|_1$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $\{z_{jk}\}_{j,k=1}^n \subset L_1$.

This, provided it holds, is as good to prove that $c_1(\ell_2^n(\ell_1^n)) \gtrsim \sqrt{n}$.

It turns out that this inequality holds, generalizes to an appropriate metric inequality, and the proof of the metric inequality is even simpler than that of the original KS inequality.

The inequality

Replace the inequality of Kwapien and Schütt with

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{\varepsilon \in \{-1,1\}^n} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \varepsilon_k z_{jk} \right\|_1 \leq \frac{C}{n^n} \sum_{k \in \{1, \dots, n\}^n} \sum_{\varepsilon \in \{-1,1\}^n} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon_j z_{jk} \right\|_1$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $\{z_{jk}\}_{j,k=1}^n \subset L_1$.

This, provided it holds, is as good to prove that $c_1(\ell_2^n(\ell_1^n)) \gtrsim \sqrt{n}$. It turns out that this inequality holds, generalizes to an appropriate metric inequality, and the proof of the metric inequality is even simpler than that of the original KS inequality.

The inequality

Theorem

For all $n \in 2\mathbb{N}$ and every $f : M_n(\mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow L_1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{x \in M_n(\mathbb{F}_2)} \left\| f\left(x + \sum_{k=1}^n e_{jk}\right) - f(x) \right\|_1 \\ & \leq \frac{2e^2}{e^2 - 1} \frac{1}{n^n} \sum_{k \in \{1, \dots, n\}^n} \sum_{x \in M_n(\mathbb{F}_2)} \left\| f\left(x + \sum_{j=1}^n e_{jk_j}\right) - f(x) \right\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

$\frac{2e^2}{e^2-1}$ is the best constant.

The linear inequality follows from the nonlinear one by using

$$f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{x_{jk}} z_{jk}.$$

The inequality

Theorem

For all $n \in 2\mathbb{N}$ and every $f : M_n(\mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow L_1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{x \in M_n(\mathbb{F}_2)} \left\| f\left(x + \sum_{k=1}^n e_{jk}\right) - f(x) \right\|_1 \\ & \leq \frac{2e^2}{e^2 - 1} \frac{1}{n^n} \sum_{k \in \{1, \dots, n\}^n} \sum_{x \in M_n(\mathbb{F}_2)} \left\| f\left(x + \sum_{j=1}^n e_{jk_j}\right) - f(x) \right\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

$\frac{2e^2}{e^2-1}$ is the best constant.

The linear inequality follows from the nonlinear one by using

$$f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{x_{jk}} z_{jk}.$$

The inequality

Theorem

For all $n \in 2\mathbb{N}$ and every $f : M_n(\mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow L_1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{x \in M_n(\mathbb{F}_2)} \left\| f\left(x + \sum_{k=1}^n e_{jk}\right) - f(x) \right\|_1 \\ & \leq \frac{2e^2}{e^2 - 1} \frac{1}{n^n} \sum_{k \in \{1, \dots, n\}^n} \sum_{x \in M_n(\mathbb{F}_2)} \left\| f\left(x + \sum_{j=1}^n e_{jk_j}\right) - f(x) \right\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

$\frac{2e^2}{e^2-1}$ is the best constant.

The linear inequality follows from the nonlinear one by using

$$f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{x_{jk}} z_{jk}.$$

The inequality

We'll prove a more general theorem

Theorem

For all $1 \leq p \leq 2$, all $n \in 2\mathbb{N}$ and every $f : M_n(\mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow L_p$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{x \in M_n(\mathbb{F}_2)} \left\| f\left(x + \sum_{k=1}^n e_{jk}\right) - f(x) \right\|_p^p \\ & \leq \frac{2e^2}{e^2 - 1} \frac{1}{n^n} \sum_{k \in \{1, \dots, n\}^n} \sum_{x \in M_n(\mathbb{F}_2)} \left\| f\left(x + \sum_{j=1}^n e_{jk_j}\right) - f(x) \right\|_p^p. \end{aligned}$$

Since for $1 \leq p \leq 2$ " L_p^p isometrically embeds into L_2^2 " (i.e., there is a map $g : L_p \rightarrow L_2$ with $\|g(x) - g(y)\|_2^2 = \|x - y\|_p^p$ - Schoenberg) and since the statements are purely metric, it is enough to prove the theorem for $p = 2$.

The inequality

We'll prove a more general theorem

Theorem

For all $1 \leq p \leq 2$, all $n \in 2\mathbb{N}$ and every $f : M_n(\mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow L_p$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{x \in M_n(\mathbb{F}_2)} \left\| f\left(x + \sum_{k=1}^n e_{jk}\right) - f(x) \right\|_p^p \\ & \leq \frac{2e^2}{e^2 - 1} \frac{1}{n^n} \sum_{k \in \{1, \dots, n\}^n} \sum_{x \in M_n(\mathbb{F}_2)} \left\| f\left(x + \sum_{j=1}^n e_{jk_j}\right) - f(x) \right\|_p^p. \end{aligned}$$

Since for $1 \leq p \leq 2$ " L_p^p isometrically embeds into L_2^2 " (i.e., there is a map $g : L_p \rightarrow L_2$ with $\|g(x) - g(y)\|_2^2 = \|x - y\|_p^p$ - Schoenberg) and since the statements are purely metric, it is enough to prove the theorem for $p = 2$.

The inequality

We'll prove a more general theorem

Theorem

For all $1 \leq p \leq 2$, all $n \in 2\mathbb{N}$ and every $f : M_n(\mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow L_p$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{x \in M_n(\mathbb{F}_2)} \left\| f\left(x + \sum_{k=1}^n e_{jk}\right) - f(x) \right\|_p^p \\ & \leq \frac{2e^2}{e^2 - 1} \frac{1}{n^n} \sum_{k \in \{1, \dots, n\}^n} \sum_{x \in M_n(\mathbb{F}_2)} \left\| f\left(x + \sum_{j=1}^n e_{jk_j}\right) - f(x) \right\|_p^p. \end{aligned}$$

Since for $1 \leq p \leq 2$ " L_p^p isometrically embeds into L_2^2 " (i.e., there is a map $g : L_p \rightarrow L_2$ with $\|g(x) - g(y)\|_2^2 = \|x - y\|_p^p$ - Schoenberg) and since the statements are **purely metric**, it is enough to **prove the theorem for $p = 2$** .

Need to prove.

Theorem

For all $n \in 2\mathbb{N}$ and every $f : M_n(\mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{x \in M_n(\mathbb{F}_2)} \left| f\left(x + \sum_{k=1}^n e_{jk}\right) - f(x) \right|^2 \\ & \leq \frac{2e^2}{e^2 - 1} \frac{1}{n^n} \sum_{k \in \{1, \dots, n\}^n} \sum_{x \in M_n(\mathbb{F}_2)} \left| f\left(x + \sum_{j=1}^n e_{jk_j}\right) - f(x) \right|^2. \end{aligned}$$

We can now use simple Fourier Analysis.

Need to prove.

Theorem

For all $n \in 2\mathbb{N}$ and every $f : M_n(\mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{x \in M_n(\mathbb{F}_2)} \left| f\left(x + \sum_{k=1}^n e_{jk}\right) - f(x) \right|^2 \\ & \leq \frac{2e^2}{e^2 - 1} \frac{1}{n^n} \sum_{k \in \{1, \dots, n\}^n} \sum_{x \in M_n(\mathbb{F}_2)} \left| f\left(x + \sum_{j=1}^n e_{jk_j}\right) - f(x) \right|^2. \end{aligned}$$

We can now use simple Fourier Analysis.

The proof

$$f(x) = \sum_{A_1, \dots, A_n \subset \{1, \dots, n\}} \widehat{f}(A_1, \dots, A_n) (-1)^{\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k \in A_j} x_{jk}},$$

where for every $A_1, \dots, A_n \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$,

$$\widehat{f}(A_1, \dots, A_n) = \frac{1}{2^{n^2}} \sum_{x \in M_n(\mathbb{F}_2)} (-1)^{\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k \in A_j} x_{jk}} f(x).$$

The proof

Then, for every $x \in M_n(\mathbb{F}_2)$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & f\left(x + \sum_{k=1}^n e_{jk}\right) - f(x) \\ &= \sum_{A_1, \dots, A_n \subset \{1, \dots, n\}} \widehat{f}(A_1, \dots, A_n) \left((-1)^{|A_j|} - 1 \right) (-1)^{\sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{k \in A_s} x_{sk}} \\ &= -2 \sum_{\substack{A_1, \dots, A_n \subset \{1, \dots, n\} \\ |A_j| \text{ odd}}} \widehat{f}(A_1, \dots, A_n) (-1)^{\sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{k \in A_s} x_{sk}}. \end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2^{n^2}} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{x \in M_n(\mathbb{F}_2)} \left(f\left(x + \sum_{k=1}^n e_{jk}\right) - f(x) \right)^2 \\ &= 4 \sum_{A_1, \dots, A_n \subset \{1, \dots, n\}} |\{j \in \{1, \dots, n\} : |A_j| \text{ odd}\}| \widehat{f}(A_1, \dots, A_n)^2. \end{aligned}$$

The proof

Then, for every $x \in M_n(\mathbb{F}_2)$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & f\left(x + \sum_{k=1}^n e_{jk}\right) - f(x) \\ &= \sum_{A_1, \dots, A_n \subset \{1, \dots, n\}} \widehat{f}(A_1, \dots, A_n) \left((-1)^{|A_j|} - 1 \right) (-1)^{\sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{k \in A_s} x_{sk}} \\ &= -2 \sum_{\substack{A_1, \dots, A_n \subset \{1, \dots, n\} \\ |A_j| \text{ odd}}} \widehat{f}(A_1, \dots, A_n) (-1)^{\sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{k \in A_s} x_{sk}}. \end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2^{n^2}} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{x \in M_n(\mathbb{F}_2)} \left(f\left(x + \sum_{k=1}^n e_{jk}\right) - f(x) \right)^2 \\ &= 4 \sum_{A_1, \dots, A_n \subset \{1, \dots, n\}} |\{j \in \{1, \dots, n\} : |A_j| \text{ odd}\}| \widehat{f}(A_1, \dots, A_n)^2. \end{aligned}$$

The proof

$$\begin{aligned} & f\left(x + \sum_{j=1}^n e_{jk_j}\right) - f(x) \\ &= \sum_{A_1, \dots, A_n \subset \{1, \dots, n\}} \widehat{f}(A_1, \dots, A_n) \left((-1)^{\sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{A_j}(k_j)} - 1 \right) (-1)^{\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k \in A_j} x_{jk}}. \end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2^{n^2}} \sum_{k \in \{1, \dots, n\}^n} \sum_{x \in M_n(\mathbb{F}_2)} \left(f\left(x + \sum_{j=1}^n e_{jk_j}\right) - f(x) \right)^2 \\ &= \sum_{k \in \{1, \dots, n\}^n} \sum_{A_1, \dots, A_n \subset \{1, \dots, n\}} \widehat{f}(A_1, \dots, A_n)^2 \left((-1)^{\sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{A_j}(k_j)} - 1 \right)^2 \\ &= 2 \sum_{A_1, \dots, A_n \subset \{1, \dots, n\}} \widehat{f}(A_1, \dots, A_n)^2 \sum_{k \in \{1, \dots, n\}^n} \left(1 - (-1)^{\sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{A_j}(k_j)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The proof

$$\begin{aligned} & f\left(x + \sum_{j=1}^n e_{jk_j}\right) - f(x) \\ &= \sum_{A_1, \dots, A_n \subset \{1, \dots, n\}} \widehat{f}(A_1, \dots, A_n) \left((-1)^{\sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{A_j}(k_j)} - 1 \right) (-1)^{\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k \in A_j} x_{jk}}. \end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2^{n^2}} \sum_{k \in \{1, \dots, n\}^n} \sum_{x \in M_n(\mathbb{F}_2)} \left(f\left(x + \sum_{j=1}^n e_{jk_j}\right) - f(x) \right)^2 \\ &= \sum_{k \in \{1, \dots, n\}^n} \sum_{A_1, \dots, A_n \subset \{1, \dots, n\}} \widehat{f}(A_1, \dots, A_n)^2 \left((-1)^{\sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{A_j}(k_j)} - 1 \right)^2 \\ &= 2 \sum_{A_1, \dots, A_n \subset \{1, \dots, n\}} \widehat{f}(A_1, \dots, A_n)^2 \sum_{k \in \{1, \dots, n\}^n} \left(1 - (-1)^{\sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{A_j}(k_j)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The proof

So need to prove

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{A_1, \dots, A_n \subset \{1, \dots, n\}} |\{j \in \{1, \dots, n\} : |A_j| \text{ odd}\}| \widehat{f}(A_1, \dots, A_n)^2 \\ & \leq \frac{K}{n^n} \sum_{A_1, \dots, A_n \subset \{1, \dots, n\}} \widehat{f}(A_1, \dots, A_n)^2 \sum_{k \in \{1, \dots, n\}^n} \left(1 - (-1)^{\sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{A_j}(k_j)}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Or

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{n} |\{j \in \{1, \dots, n\} : |A_j| \text{ odd}\}| \\ & \leq \frac{K}{n^n} \sum_{k \in \{1, \dots, n\}^n} \left(1 - (-1)^{\sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{A_j}(k_j)}\right) \end{aligned}$$

for all $A_1, \dots, A_n \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Note that what this amounts to is just proving the inequality for f being one of the Walsh functions.

The proof

So need to prove

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{A_1, \dots, A_n \subset \{1, \dots, n\}} |\{j \in \{1, \dots, n\} : |A_j| \text{ odd}\}| \widehat{f}(A_1, \dots, A_n)^2 \\ & \leq \frac{K}{n^n} \sum_{A_1, \dots, A_n \subset \{1, \dots, n\}} \widehat{f}(A_1, \dots, A_n)^2 \sum_{k \in \{1, \dots, n\}^n} \left(1 - (-1)^{\sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{A_j}(k_j)}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Or

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{n} |\{j \in \{1, \dots, n\} : |A_j| \text{ odd}\}| \\ & \leq \frac{K}{n^n} \sum_{k \in \{1, \dots, n\}^n} \left(1 - (-1)^{\sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{A_j}(k_j)}\right) \end{aligned}$$

for all $A_1, \dots, A_n \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Note that what this amounts to is just proving the inequality for f being one of the Walsh functions.

The proof

So need to prove

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{A_1, \dots, A_n \subset \{1, \dots, n\}} |\{j \in \{1, \dots, n\} : |A_j| \text{ odd}\}| \widehat{f}(A_1, \dots, A_n)^2 \\ & \leq \frac{K}{n^n} \sum_{A_1, \dots, A_n \subset \{1, \dots, n\}} \widehat{f}(A_1, \dots, A_n)^2 \sum_{k \in \{1, \dots, n\}^n} \left(1 - (-1)^{\sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{A_j}(k_j)}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Or

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{n} |\{j \in \{1, \dots, n\} : |A_j| \text{ odd}\}| \\ & \leq \frac{K}{n^n} \sum_{k \in \{1, \dots, n\}^n} \left(1 - (-1)^{\sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{A_j}(k_j)}\right) \end{aligned}$$

for all $A_1, \dots, A_n \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Note that what this amounts to is just proving the inequality for f being one of the Walsh functions.

The proof

Put $S = \{j \in \{1, \dots, n\} : |A_j| \text{ odd}\}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \in \{1, \dots, n\}^n} \left(1 - (-1)^{\sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{A_j}(k_j)}\right) &= n^n - \prod_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{\mathbf{1}_{A_j}(k)} \\ &= n^n - \prod_{j=1}^n (n - 2|A_j|) \geq n^n - \prod_{j=1}^n |2|A_j| - n| \geq n^n - n^{n-|S|} (n-2)^{|S|}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the mapping $|S| \mapsto (n^n - n^{n-|S|} (n-2)^{|S|}) / |S|$ is decreasing in $|S|$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \in \{1, \dots, n\}^n} \left(1 - (-1)^{\sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{A_j}(k_j)}\right) \\ \geq \frac{n^n - (n-2)^n}{n} |\{j \in \{1, \dots, n\} : |A_j| \text{ odd}\}|. \end{aligned}$$

The proof

Put $S = \{j \in \{1, \dots, n\} : |A_j| \text{ odd}\}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \in \{1, \dots, n\}^n} \left(1 - (-1)^{\sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{A_j}(k_j)}\right) &= n^n - \prod_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{\mathbf{1}_{A_j}(k)} \\ &= n^n - \prod_{j=1}^n (n - 2|A_j|) \geq n^n - \prod_{j=1}^n |2|A_j| - n| \geq n^n - n^{n-|S|} (n-2)^{|S|}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the mapping $|S| \mapsto (n^n - n^{n-|S|} (n-2)^{|S|}) / |S|$ is decreasing in $|S|$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \in \{1, \dots, n\}^n} \left(1 - (-1)^{\sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{A_j}(k_j)}\right) \\ \geq \frac{n^n - (n-2)^n}{n} |\{j \in \{1, \dots, n\} : |A_j| \text{ odd}\}|. \end{aligned}$$

The proof

Put $S = \{j \in \{1, \dots, n\} : |A_j| \text{ odd}\}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \in \{1, \dots, n\}^n} \left(1 - (-1)^{\sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{A_j}(k_j)}\right) &= n^n - \prod_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{\mathbf{1}_{A_j}(k)} \\ &= n^n - \prod_{j=1}^n (n - 2|A_j|) \geq n^n - \prod_{j=1}^n |2|A_j| - n| \geq n^n - n^{n-|S|} (n-2)^{|S|}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the mapping $|S| \mapsto (n^n - n^{n-|S|} (n-2)^{|S|}) / |S|$ is decreasing in $|S|$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \in \{1, \dots, n\}^n} \left(1 - (-1)^{\sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{A_j}(k_j)}\right) \\ \geq \frac{n^n - (n-2)^n}{n} |\{j \in \{1, \dots, n\} : |A_j| \text{ odd}\}|. \end{aligned}$$