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X-ray Tomography. An important part of X-ray tomography - the CAT scan - is solving a mathematical problem that goes back to the earlier twentieth century work of the mathematician Johann Radon: Suppose that there is a function $f(x, y)$ defined in a region of the plane and that all we know about $f$ is the collection of line integrals $\int_{L} f(x(s), y(s) d s$ over each line $L$ that intersects the region. (See Figure 1.) The problem is to find $f$, given this information.


Figure 1: The region where $f$ is defined and a typical line $L$ cutting the region are shown. $L$ is specified by $\rho$ and the angle $\theta$.

We will assume that the region where $f$ is defined is a disk $D:=\{|\mathbf{x}| \leq$ 1\}. In Figure 1, the function is shown as having compact support in $D$. The unit vector $\mathbf{n}$ that is normal to $L$ and points away from the origin is $\mathbf{n}=\cos (\theta) \mathbf{i}+\sin (\theta) \mathbf{j}$. The tangent pointing upward is $\mathbf{t}=-\sin (\theta) \mathbf{i}+\cos (\theta) \mathbf{j}$.

[^0]If we let $s \geq 0$ be the arc length starting at the point $\rho \mathbf{n}$, then any point $\mathbf{x}$ above $\rho \mathbf{n}$ is specified by $\mathbf{x}=s \mathbf{t}+\rho \mathbf{n}$. If $\mathbf{x}$ is below $\rho \mathbf{n}$, then it is specified by $\mathbf{x}=-s \mathbf{t}+\rho \mathbf{n}$.

We will work with $\mathbf{x}$ above the vector $\rho \mathbf{n}$. Express $\mathbf{x}$ in terms of polar coordinates $(r, \phi), \mathbf{x}=r \cos (\phi) \mathbf{i}+r \sin (\phi) \mathbf{j}$. Of course, $r=|\mathbf{x}|$. Comparing this with $\mathbf{x}=s \mathbf{t}+\rho \mathbf{n}$, we see that $r^{2}=s^{2}+\rho^{2}$ and $\rho=\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{n}=r \cos (\phi-\theta)$. Since $\mathbf{x}$ is above $\rho \mathbf{n}$, we have that $\phi \geq \theta$ and thus $\phi=\theta+\operatorname{Cos}^{-1}(\rho / r)$. When $\mathbf{x}$ is below $\rho \mathbf{n}, \phi \leq \theta$ and $\phi=\theta-\operatorname{Cos}^{-1}(\rho / r)$. Breaking the integral $\int_{L} f(\mathbf{x}(s)) d s$ into two pieces, making the change of variables $s=\sqrt{r^{2}-\rho^{2}}$, $d s=\left(r^{2}-\rho^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2} r d r$, and noting that $\rho \leq r \leq 1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{L} f(\mathbf{x}(s)) d s & =\int_{\phi \geq \theta} f(\mathbf{x}(s)) d s+\int_{\theta \geq \phi} f(\mathbf{x}(s)) d s \\
& =\int_{\rho}^{1} \frac{f\left(r, \theta+\operatorname{Cos}^{-1}(\rho / r)\right) r d r}{\sqrt{\left(r^{2}-\rho^{2}\right.}}+\int_{\rho}^{1} \frac{f\left(r, \theta-\operatorname{Cos}^{-1}(\rho / r)\right) r d r}{\sqrt{\left(r^{2}-\rho^{2}\right.}} \\
& =\int_{\rho}^{1} \frac{\left(f\left(r, \theta+\operatorname{Cos}^{-1}(\rho / r)\right)+f\left(r, \theta-\operatorname{Cos}^{-1}(\rho / r)\right)\right) r d r}{\sqrt{\left(r^{2}-\rho^{2}\right.}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Assuming the $f \mathbf{x})=f(r, \phi)$ is smooth enough, we can expand it in a Fourier series in $\phi$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(r, \phi)=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{f}_{n}(r) e^{i n \phi} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then replace $f$ in the integral on the right above by this series. Again making the assumption that interchanging sum and integral is possible and manipulating the resulting expression, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(\rho, \theta):=\int_{L} f(\mathbf{x}(s)) d s=2 \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i n \theta} \int_{\rho}^{1} \widehat{f}_{n}(r) \frac{\cos \left(n \operatorname{Cos}^{-1}(\rho / r)\right) r d r}{\sqrt{r^{2}-\rho^{2}}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the line $L$ is specified by the angle $\theta$ and distance $\rho$, the integral over $L$, is a function of $\theta$ and $\rho$, which we have denoted by $F(\rho, \theta)$. In addition, the expression $T_{n}(\rho / r):=\cos \left(n \operatorname{Cos}^{-1}(\rho / r)\right)$ is actually an $n^{\text {th }}$ degree Chebyshev polynomial. For example, $T_{2}(\rho / r)=2 \cos ^{2}\left(\operatorname{Cos}^{-1}(\rho / r)\right)-1=2(\rho / r)^{2}-1$. Using these two facts in connection with (2) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(\rho, \theta)=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i n \theta} \int_{\rho}^{1} 2 \widehat{f}_{n}(r) \frac{T_{n}(\rho / r) r}{\sqrt{r^{2}-\rho^{2}}} d r \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the Fourier series for $F(\rho, \theta)$. It follows that the Fourier coefficients for $F(\rho, \theta)$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{F}_{n}(\rho)=\int_{\rho}^{1} 2 \widehat{f}_{n}(r) \frac{T_{n}(\rho / r) r}{\sqrt{r^{2}-\rho^{2}}} d r, n \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The point is that $F(\rho, \theta)=\int_{L} f(\mathbf{x}(s)) d s$ is known, and so the Fourier coefficients $\widehat{F}_{n}(\rho)$ are all known. The problem of finding $f$, given $F$, is thus equivalent to solving the integral equations in (4) for the $\widehat{f}_{n}(r)$ 's and recovering $f(r, \phi)$ from its Fourier series. In fact, these integral equations have exact solutions (see Keener, §3.7):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{f}_{n}(r)=-\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{d}{d r} \int_{r}^{1} \frac{r T_{n}(\rho / r) \widehat{F}_{n}(\rho)}{\rho \sqrt{\rho^{2}-r^{2}}} d \rho, n \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Classification of integral equations. Certain types of integral equations come up often enough that they are grouped into classes, which are described below. There, the function $f$ and kernel $k(x, y)$ are known, $u$ is the unknown function to be solved for, and $\lambda$ is a parameter. The integral equations in (4) are Volterra equations of the first kind. Below is classification of the most common types of integral equations.

## Fredholm Equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1^{\text {st }} \text { kind. } f(x)=\int_{a}^{b} k(x, y) u(y) d y \\
& 2^{\text {nd }} \text { kind. } u(x)=f(x)+\lambda \int_{a}^{b} k(x, y) u(y) d y
\end{aligned}
$$

## Volterra Equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1^{\text {st }} \text { kind. } f(x)=\int_{a}^{x} k(x, y) u(y) d y \\
& 2^{\text {nd }} \text { kind. } u(x)=f(x)+\lambda \int_{a}^{x} k(x, y) u(y) d y .
\end{aligned}
$$
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ This is an attenuation coefficient in a CAT scan.

