COHOMOLOGICAL CONSEQUENCES OF THE PATTERN MAP

PRAISE ADEYEMO AND FRANK SOTTILE

Abstract. Billey and Braden defined maps on flag manifolds that are the geometric counterpart of permutation patterns. A section of their pattern map is an embedding of the flag manifold of a Levi subgroup into the full flag manifold. We give two expressions for the induced map on cohomology. One is in terms of generators and the other is in terms of the Schubert basis. We show that the coefficients in the second expression are naturally Schubert structure constants and therefore positive. Similar results hold for $K$-theory, generalizing known formulas in type $A$ for cohomology and $K$-theory.

Introduction

In their study of singularities of Schubert varieties and coefficients of Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials [6], Billey and Braden introduced maps of flag manifolds that are the geometric counterpart of the generalized permutation patterns of Billey and Postnikov [5]. We study sections of the Billey-Braden pattern map. For the type $A$ flag manifold, such sections led to formulas for certain specializations of Schubert [1] and Grothendieck polynomials [20]. In both cases, this gave new expressions for Schubert class representatives as explicit sums of monomials [3, 20]. These formulas express the pullback of a Schubert class as a sum of Schubert classes on the smaller flag manifold whose coefficients are naturally Schubert structure constants. This was applied in [15] to show that quiver coefficients [13, 14, 19, 21] are naturally Schubert structure constants, as the decomposition formula [11, 12] is a special case of the formulas in [1, 20].

We generalize the formulas in [1, 20]. Let $L$ be a Levi subgroup of a semisimple algebraic group $G$ and write their flag manifolds as $\mathcal{F}_L$ and $\mathcal{F}_G$, respectively. For each right coset of the Weyl group of $L$ in the Weyl group of $G$ there is a natural embedding of $\mathcal{F}_L$ into $\mathcal{F}_G$. If $L$ is the Levi of a standard parabolic subgroup and $\varsigma$ is the minimal element in a coset, then the corresponding map on cohomology is expressed in terms of polynomial representatives as the map on generators induced by $\varsigma$. Analyzing the pushforward map on Schubert classes in homology gives an expression for the pullback map as a sum of Schubert classes for $\mathcal{F}_L$ whose coefficients are naturally Schubert structure constants for $\mathcal{F}_G$.

We also give a similar formula for the pullback in $K$-theory.

In Section 1, we give background information on the cohomology and Grothendieck rings of flag manifolds. Our main results are given in Section 2, where we first recall the results of Billey and Braden, and then apply them to obtain our formulas.
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1. Flag manifolds

We work over the complex numbers, but our results are valid for any algebraically closed field $k$, when we replace cohomology by Chow groups. We first recall some basic facts about flag manifolds and their cohomology.

Let $G$ be a connected and simply connected complex semisimple linear algebraic group, $B$ a Borel subgroup of $G$, and $T$ the maximal torus contained in $B$. The Weyl group $W := N(T)/T$ of $G$ is the quotient of the normalizer of $T$ by $T$. Our choice of $B$ gives $W$ the structure of a Coxeter group with a preferred set of generators and a length function, $\ell: W \to \{0, 1, 2, \ldots \}$. Let $w_o \in W$ be the longest element.

All Borel subgroups are conjugate by elements of $G$, which identifies the set $F$ of Borel subgroups as the orbit $G/B$, called the flag manifold. A Borel subgroup $B_0$ is fixed by an element $g \in G$ if and only if $g \in B_0$. The Weyl group embeds in $F$ as its set $F_T$ of $T$-fixed points. These index $B$-orbits on $G/B$, which together form the Bruhat decomposition,

$$ F = \bigsqcup_{w \in W} BwB/B. $$

Each orbit $BwB/B$ is isomorphic to an affine space of dimension $\ell(w)$. An orbit is a Schubert cell, $X^w_v$, and its closure is a Schubert variety, $X^w_w$. Set $B_- := w_oBw_o$, which is also a Schubert variety and has codimension $\ell(w)$. The intersection $X^v_v \cap X^w_w$ is nonempty if and only if $w \geq v$ and in that case it is irreducible of dimension $\ell(w) - \ell(v)$ [18, 23].

Both the integral homology groups and cohomology ring of $F$ are free as $\mathbb{Z}$-modules with bases given by Schubert classes associated to Schubert varieties, and these classes do not depend upon the choice of Borel subgroup. For homology, let $[X^w_w] \in H_{2\ell(w)}(F, \mathbb{Z})$ be the fundamental cycle of the Schubert variety. For cohomology, let $\mathcal{G}^w_w \in H^{2\ell(w)}(F, \mathbb{Z})$ be the cohomology class Poincaré dual to $[X^w_w]$. Then

$$ [X^v_v \cap X^w_w] = \mathcal{G}^v_v \lhd [X^w_w], $$

where $\lhd$ is the cap product giving the action of cohomology on homology.

Since the Schubert classes form a basis, there are integer Schubert structure constants $c^w_{u,v}$ for $u, v, w \in W$ defined by the identity in $H^*(F, \mathbb{Z})$,

$$ \mathcal{G}^u_u \cdot \mathcal{G}^v_v = \sum_w c^w_{u,v} \mathcal{G}^w_w. $$

These constants vanish unless $\ell(w) = \ell(u) + \ell(v)$ and they are nonnegative, for they count the number of points in a triple intersection of Schubert varieties, $gX^u_u \cap X^v_v \cap X^w_w$, where $g \in G$ is general. Important for us is the duality formula. Let $\pi: F \to \text{pt}$ be the map to a point. Then, if $v, w \in W$, we have

$$ \pi_*(\mathcal{G}^v_v \lhd [X^w_w]) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } v = w \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, $$

so the Schubert basis is self-dual. Combining this with (1.3) gives

$$ c^w_{u,v} = \pi_*(\mathcal{G}^u_u \cdot \mathcal{G}^v_v \lhd [X^w_w]). $$
Recall the projection formula. Let \( f: Y \to Z \) be a map of compact topological spaces and \( \pi: Y, Z \to \text{pt} \) maps to a point. For \( y \in H_*(Y) \) and \( z \in H^*(Z) \), we have

\[
\pi_*(z \smash f_*(y)) = \pi_*(f^*(z) \smash y).
\]

The cohomology ring of the flag manifold has a second, algebraic description. The Weyl group acts on the dual \( \mathfrak{h}^* \) of the Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{h} \) of the torus. Borel [8] showed that the cohomology of \( \mathcal{F} \) with complex coefficients is naturally identified with the quotient of the symmetric algebra \( S_\bullet \mathfrak{h}^* \) of \( \mathfrak{h}^* \) by the ideal generated by its non-constant \( W \)-invariants,

\[
H^*(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{C}) = S_\bullet \mathfrak{h}^*/\langle (S_\bullet \mathfrak{h}^*)^W \rangle = S_\bullet \mathfrak{h}^* \otimes_{(S_\bullet \mathfrak{h}^*)^W} \mathbb{C}.
\]

These two descriptions, one geometric and the other algebraic, are linked. Chevalley [16] gave a formula for the product of any Schubert class by a generating Schubert class. This special case of the formula (1.3) determines it and implies expressions for a Schubert class as a polynomial in the generating classes. A breakthrough was made when Bernstein, Gelfand, and Gelfand [4] and Demazure [17] gave a computable system of polynomials as a polynomial in the generating classes. While not unique, these representatives depend only upon the choice of \( P_w \).

The formulas we obtain in cohomology use only basic properties of cohomology, functoriality, the geometric Schubert basis, generation by the dual of \( \mathfrak{h} \), duality, and the projection formula. Consequently, such formulas exist for more general cohomology theories. One such example is the Grothendieck ring.

Under tensor product, the Grothendieck group of vector bundles on \( \mathcal{F} \) modulo short exact sequences is a ring \( K^0(\mathcal{F}) \). As \( \mathcal{F} \) is smooth, this is isomorphic to the Grothendieck group \( K_0(\mathcal{F}) \) of coherent sheaves on \( \mathcal{F} \). A consequence of the Bruhat decomposition (1.1) is that classes of structure sheaves of Schubert varieties form a \( \mathbb{Z} \)-basis of \( K_0(\mathcal{F}) = K^0(\mathcal{F}) \). Write \( \mathcal{G}_w \) for the class \( [\mathcal{O}_{X_w}] \) of the structure sheaf of the Schubert variety \( X_w \).

The Grothendieck ring has a presentation similar to (1.7) for cohomology [22]. Let \( \mathfrak{h}^*_\mathbb{Z} := \text{Hom}(T, \mathbb{C}^*) \) be the character group of \( T \). The representation ring \( R(B) \) of \( B \) is isomorphic to \( \mathbb{Z}[\mathfrak{h}^*_\mathbb{Z}] \) and the representation ring \( R(G) \) of \( G \) is its \( W \)-invariants, \( R(B)^W \). There is a natural map \( R(B) \to K^0(G/B) \) induced by \( V \mapsto G \times_B V \), for a representation \( V \) of \( B \). This induces an isomorphism

\[
R(B) \otimes_{R(G)} \mathbb{Z} \cong K^0(G/B).
\]

As with cohomology, there are (non-unique) representatives of Grothendieck classes \( \mathcal{G}_w \) in \( K^0(G/B) \) that lie in the Laurent ring \( \mathbb{Z}[\mathfrak{h}^*_\mathbb{Z}] \), and these depend only upon the choice of a representative for \( \mathcal{G}_{w_0} \) [17].

Brion [10, Lemma 2] showed that the product of these Grothendieck classes corresponds to the intersection of Schubert varieties,

\[
\mathcal{G}_u \cdot \mathcal{G}_v = [\mathcal{O}_{X_w \cap gX_v}],
\]

where \( g \in G \) is general.

As with cohomology, the Grothendieck ring has a pairing induced by multiplication and the map to a point, \( \pi: \mathcal{F} \to \text{pt} \). For sheaves \( \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}' \) on \( \mathcal{F} \) this pairing is

\[
\langle [\mathcal{E}], [\mathcal{E}'] \rangle := \pi_*(\mathcal{E} \cdot [\mathcal{E}']) = \pi_*(\mathcal{E} \otimes g\mathcal{E}')
\]
where \( g \in G \) is general and \( \pi_* \) is the derived functor of global sections,
\[
\pi_*([E]) = \chi(E) = \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i \dim H^i(F, E),
\]
which is the Euler-Poincaré characteristic of the sheaf \( E \).

Since \( \chi(\mathcal{O}_{X_w}) = 1 \) and if \( v \geq w \) and \( g \in G \) is general, then \( \chi(\mathcal{O}_{X_w \cap gX_v}) = 1 \) [9, Section 3], this Schubert basis is not self-dual. Brion and Lakshmibai showed that the dual basis is given by the ideal sheaves of the Schubert boundaries. Specifically, let \( I_w \) be the sheaf of \( \mathcal{O}_{X_w} \)-ideals that define the complement of the Schubert cell \( X_w \). Then
\[
\pi_*(G_w \cdot [I_v]) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } v = w \\
0 & \text{otherwise} 
\end{cases} .
\]

Allen Knutson gave an expression for these classes:
\[
[I_w] = \sum_{v \leq w} (-1)^{\ell(u) - \ell(v)} [\mathcal{O}_v].
\]

This follows from Möbius inversion as the Bruhat order is Eulerian.

As the Schubert classes form a basis of \( K^0(F) \), there are integer Schubert structure constants \( c_{u,v}^w \) for \( u, v, w \in W \) defined by the identity in \( K^0(F) \),
\[
G_u \cdot G_v = \sum_w c_{u,v}^w G_w.
\]

By duality, we have
\[
c_{u,v}^w = \pi_*(G_u \cdot G_v \cdot [I_w]).
\]

These Schubert structure constants vanish unless \( \ell(w) \geq \ell(u) + \ell(v) \) and they coincide with those for cohomology when \( \ell(w) = \ell(u) + \ell(v) \) (this is why we use the same notation for both). This is because \( K_0(F) \) is filtered by the codimension of the support of a sheaf with the associated graded algebra the integral cohomology ring. Thus when \( \ell(w) = \ell(u) + \ell(v) \),
\[
(-1)^{\ell(u) - \ell(v) - \ell(w)} c_{u,v}^w \geq 0 .
\]

2. The Pattern Map

Let us recall the geometric pattern map and its main properties as developed by Billey and Braden [6]. Let \( \eta: \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow T \) be a cocharacter with image the subgroup \( T_\eta \) of \( T \). Springer [24, Theorem 6.4.7] showed that the centralizer \( G' := Z_G(T_\eta) \) of \( T_\eta \) in \( G \) is a connected, reductive subgroup and \( T \) is a maximal torus of \( G' \). Furthermore, if \( B_0 \in F \) is a fixed point of \( T_\eta \), so that \( T_\eta \subset B_0 \), then \( B_0 \cap G' \) is a Borel subgroup of \( G' \).

Let \( F' := G'/B' \) be the flag variety of \( G' \), and \( F'' \) be the set of \( T_\eta \)-fixed points of \( F \). Then the association \( F'' \ni B_0 \mapsto B_0 \cap G' \in F' \) defines a \( G' \)-equivariant map \( \psi: F'' \rightarrow F' \). Restricting to \( T \)-fixed points, this gives a map \( \psi: W \rightarrow W' \), where \( W' \) is the Weyl group of \( G' \). This is the Billey-Postnikov pattern map, generalizing maps on the symmetric groups coming from permutation patterns. Specifically, \( \psi: W \rightarrow W' \) is the unique map that is (1) \( W' \)-equivariant in that \( \psi(wx) = w\psi(x) \) for \( w \in W' \) and \( x \in W \), and (2) respects the Bruhat order in that if \( \psi(x) \leq \psi(wx) \) in \( W' \) with \( w \in W' \) and \( x \in W \), then \( x \leq wx \) in \( W \). Billey and Braden use this to deduce that the map \( \psi \) is an \( G' \)-equivariant isomorphism between
each connected component of $\mathcal{F}^0$ with the flag variety $\mathcal{F}'$, and also that the connected components of $\mathcal{F}^0$ are in bijection with right cosets $W'\backslash W$ of $W'$ in $W$.

Observe that $B_1 \cap G' = B'_{1'}$, which is the Borel group opposite to $B'$ containing $T$. Let $\mathcal{F}^0_\zeta$ be the component of $\mathcal{F}^0$ corresponding to a coset $W'\zeta$ with $\zeta \in W'\zeta$ having minimal length, and let $\iota_\zeta: \mathcal{F}' \to \mathcal{F}^0_\zeta$ be the corresponding section of the pattern map. (This is the unique $G'$-equivariant map sending the $T$-fixed point $eB' \in \mathcal{F}'$ to the $T$-fixed point $\zeta B \in \mathcal{F}^0_\zeta$.) Note that
\begin{equation}
\mathcal{F}^0_\zeta = G'\zeta = \overline{B'_{1'}\zeta} \subset B_{-1} = X^\zeta.
\end{equation}

Billey and Braden also note that if $w \in W'$, then
\begin{equation}
\iota_\zeta(X'_w) = X_w\zeta \cap \mathcal{F}^0_\zeta = (X_w\zeta)^0.
\end{equation}

**Lemma 2.1.** Let $W'\zeta$ be a coset of $W'$ in $W$ with $\zeta$ of minimal length in $W'\zeta$ and $\iota_\zeta: \mathcal{F}' \to \mathcal{F}^0_\zeta$ the corresponding section of the pattern map. Then, for $w \in W'$, we have
\[ \iota_\zeta(X'_w) \subset X^\zeta \cap X_w\zeta. \]

**Proof.** By (2.1), we have $\iota_\zeta(X'_w) \subset \mathcal{F}^0_\zeta \subset X^\zeta$ and by (2.2) $\iota_\zeta(X'_w) \subset X_w\zeta$. \hfill $\Box$

### 2.1. The pattern map on cohomology

The group $G'$ centralizing $T_\eta$ in $G$ is a Levi subgroup of some parabolic subgroup of $G$. Indeed, Billey and Braden show that $W'$ is conjugate to a standard parabolic, and as the roots of $G'$ relative to the torus $T$ are the reflections in $W'$, this implies that the lie algebra $g'$ of $G'$ coincides with the lie algebra of a Levi subgroup $L$, and thus $G' = L$. All parabolic subgroups of $G$ are conjugate to a *standard* parabolic subgroup, which is a parabolic subgroup containing $B$. The set of standard parabolics is in bijection with subsets $I$ of the Dynkin diagram of $G$.

We will assume that $G'$ is the Levi subgroup of a standard parabolic corresponding to a subset $I$, and henceforth write $G_I$ for $G'$ and $B_I$ for $B'$. Write $\mathcal{F}_I$ for its flag variety, which is a product of flag varieties whose factors correspond to the connected components of $I$ in the Dynkin diagram of $G$. Its Weyl group is the parabolic subgroup $W_I$ of $W$, which is the subgroup generated by the simple reflections corresponding to $I$.

The right cosets $W_I \backslash W$ are indexed by minimal length coset representatives $W^I$. Useful for us is the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.2 ([7, Prop. 2.4.4]).** Let $\zeta \in W^I$ be a minimal length representative of a coset of $W_I$ in $W$. For $w \in W_I$, we have $\ell(w\zeta) = \ell(w) + \ell(\zeta)$ and the intervals $[e, w]$ in $W_I$ and $[\zeta, w\zeta]$ in $W$ are isomorphic.

We use this to refine Lemma 2.1.

**Theorem 2.3.** Let $\zeta \in W^I$ be a minimal length coset representative with $\iota_\zeta: \mathcal{F}_I \to \mathcal{F}$ the corresponding section of the pattern map. Then
\[ \iota_\zeta(X_w) = X^\zeta \cap X_w\zeta. \]

**Proof.** By Lemma 2.1, we have the inclusion $\subset$. The result follows as both $\iota_\zeta(X_w)$ and the Richardson variety $X^\zeta \cap X_w\zeta$ are irreducible of dimension $\ell(w) = \ell(w\zeta) - \ell(\zeta)$. \hfill $\Box$

We use this to compute the pushforward of the fundamental homology class of a Schubert variety under $\iota_\zeta$. 

Lemma 2.6. Let \( T \) be a character of \( \mathbb{C} \). Then
\[
\iota_{\varsigma}^*[X_w] = [X^\varsigma \cap X_{w\varsigma}] = \mathcal{G}_\varsigma \cap [X_{w\varsigma}] .
\]
(The second equality is (1.2).)
We use this to compute the map \( \iota^*_\varsigma \) on the Schubert basis of cohomology.

Theorem 2.5. Let \( \varsigma \in W^I \) be a minimal length representative of a right coset of \( W_I \) and \( \iota_\varsigma \colon F_I \to F \) be the corresponding section of the pattern map with \( \iota_\varsigma(wB_I) = w\varsigma B \). Then
\[
\iota_\varsigma^* (\mathcal{G}_u) = \sum_{w \in W_I} c_{w,\varsigma} \mathcal{G}_w ,
\]
where \( \iota^*_\varsigma \colon H^*(F) \to H^*(F_I) \) is the induced map on cohomology.

Proof. Let \( u \in W \). Since Schubert classes form a basis of cohomology, there are integer decomposition coefficients \( d_{uw} \) for \( w \in W_I \) defined by the identity
\[
\iota_\varsigma^* (\mathcal{G}_u) = \sum_{w \in W_I} d_{uw} \mathcal{G}_w .
\]
Using duality and applying the pushforward map, we have
\[
d_{uw} = \pi_*(\iota_\varsigma^* (\mathcal{G}_u) \cap [X_w]) = \pi_*(\mathcal{G}_u \cap \iota_{\varsigma^*} [X_w]) = \pi_*(\mathcal{G}_u \cap \mathcal{G}_\varsigma \cap [X_{w\varsigma}]) = c_{w,\varsigma} ,
\]
with the last line following from Corollary 2.4 and (1.5).

Recall that as \( G \) and \( G_I \) have the same maximal torus, we have
\[
H^*(F, \mathbb{C}) = S_h^* \otimes (S_{\mathfrak{g}}^*)^w \mathbb{C} \quad \text{and} \quad H^*(F_I, \mathbb{C}) = S_h^* \otimes (S_{\mathfrak{g}}^*)^{w_I} \mathbb{C} .
\]
Thus the map \( \iota^*_\varsigma \) on cohomology is induced by the map \( \iota^*_\varsigma \) on \( \mathfrak{h}^* \). Since \( \mathfrak{h}^* \) is spanned by characters of \( T \) and a character \( \gamma \) corresponds to an equivariant line bundle \( \mathcal{L}_\gamma \), the following lemma shows that this is simply the action of \( \varsigma \) on \( \mathfrak{h}^* \).

Lemma 2.6. Let \( \gamma \in \text{Hom}(T, \mathbb{C}^\times) \) be a character of the torus \( T \), then \( \iota^*_\varsigma (\mathcal{L}_\gamma) = \mathcal{L}_{\varsigma(\gamma)} \).

Proof. Let \( \gamma \) be a character of \( T \), which is also a character of \( B \). The line bundle \( \mathcal{L}_\gamma \) is the quotient of \( G \times \mathbb{C} \) by the equivalence relation \( (gb, z) \sim (g, \gamma(b)z) \) for \( g \in G \), \( b \in B \) and \( z \in \mathbb{C} \). Thus \( (g, z) \sim (h, w) \) if and only if \( g^{-1}h \in B \) and \( zw^{-1} = \gamma(g^{-1}h) \).

To compute the pullback \( \iota^*_\varsigma (\mathcal{L}_\gamma) \), first choose a representative \( \sigma \in N(T) \) of \( \varsigma \in N(T)/T \). Then \( \iota_\varsigma \colon F' \to F'_\gamma \) is covered by the map \( G' \ni g' \mapsto g'\sigma \in G \). Consider the composition \( G' \times \mathbb{C} \to G \times \mathbb{C} \to \mathcal{L}_\gamma \). Two points \((g', z)\) and \((h', w)\) of \( G' \times \mathbb{C} \) have the same image in \( \mathcal{L}_\gamma \) if and only if \( \nu := (g'\sigma)^{-1}h'\sigma = \sigma^{-1}(g')^{-1}h'\sigma \) lies in \( B'' = B \cap G' \) and \( zw^{-1} = \gamma(b') \).

Then \( zw^{-1} = \gamma(\sigma^{-1}(g')^{-1}h'\sigma) = (\varsigma(\gamma))(g')^{-1}h' \). Thus the map \( G' \times \mathbb{C} \to G \times \mathbb{C} \) descends to a map of line bundles \( \mathcal{L}_{\varsigma(\gamma)} \to \mathcal{L}_\gamma \) over the section \( \iota_\varsigma \colon F' \to F'_\gamma \).

We deduce the following result.

Theorem 2.7. The map \( \iota^*_\varsigma \) on cohomology is induced by the map \( S_{\varsigma}^* \colon S_{\mathfrak{h}}^* \to S_{\mathfrak{h}}^* \). That is, for \( x \in \mathfrak{h} \) and \( f \in S_{\mathfrak{h}}^* \), this map is
\[
(\iota^*_\varsigma f)(x) = f(\varsigma x) .
\]
We combine Theorems 2.5 and 2.7 to get an algebraic formula for specializations of representatives of Schubert classes given by a minimal length coset representative \( \varsigma \).

**Corollary 2.8.** Let \( P_\varsigma \in S_\varsigma h^* \) be a representative of the Schubert class \( S_u \in H^*(F) \). Then, for \( x \in h \) and \( \varsigma \in W' \) a minimal length coset representative, we have

\[
P_\varsigma(x) = \sum_{w \in W_I} c_{w, \varsigma}^{u, w} P_w(x) \mod \langle (S \cdot h^*)_{W_I} \rangle,
\]

where \( P_w \in S \cdot h^* \) for \( w \in W_I \) are representatives of Schubert classes in \( H^*(F_I) \).

**Remark 2.9.** The formula for \( \iota^*_\varsigma(S_u) \) in Theorem 2.5 gives an algorithm to compute it. First expand \( S_u \cdot S_\varsigma \) in the Schubert basis of \( H^*(F) \). Restrict the sum to terms of the form \( S_w \) with \( w \in W_I \), and then replace \( S_w \) by \( S_w \) to obtain the expression for \( \iota^*_\varsigma(S_u) \).

**Example 2.10.** Suppose that \( G \) is the symplectic group of Lie type \( C_4 \). Let \( I \) be the subset of \( C_4 \) obtained by removing the long root so that \( G_I \) is the special linear group \( SL_4 \) of Lie type \( A_3 \). The Weyl group \( C_4 \) is the group of signed permutations whose elements are words \( a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 \), where the absolute values \( |a_i| \) are distinct, and the identity element is \( 1234 \). The length of such a word is

\[
\ell(a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4) = \#\{i < j \mid a_i > a_j\} + \sum_{a_i < 0} |a_i|.
\]

If we use \( \bar{a} \) to represent \(-a\), then we have

\[
\ell(3\downarrow 42) = 4, \quad \ell(\bar{2}\downarrow 41) = 7, \quad \text{and} \quad \ell(\bar{2}\downarrow 34) = 3.
\]

The action of \( S_4 \) on such words is to permute the absolute values without changing the signs. The right cosets correspond to subsets \( P \) of \( \{1, \ldots, 4\} \) where the elements in that coset take negative values. Here are the minimal length coset representatives

\[
\bar{2}\downarrow 34, \ 3\downarrow 4\uparrow, \ 23\downarrow 4, \ \text{and} \ 34\uparrow 2\uparrow
\]

that correspond to subsets \( \{1, 2\}, \ \{2, 4\}, \ \{3\}, \ \text{and} \ \{1, 3, 4\} \), respectively.

Write \( C_u \) for \( u \in C_4 \) for Schubert classes in this type \( C \) flag manifold \( F \) and \( S_w \) for \( w \in S_4 \) for Schubert classes in the type \( A \) flag manifold \( F_I \). We will let \( \varsigma = 2\downarrow 34 \) and compute \( \iota^*_\varsigma(C_{3\downarrow 42}) \). Following Remark 2.9, we first compute \( C_{3\downarrow 42} \cdot C_{2\downarrow 34} \).

We use the Pieri formula for the symplectic flag manifold as given in [2], for

\[
C_\varsigma = C_{2\downarrow 34} = C_{2134} \cdot C_{T_{234}} - 2 \cdot C_{3124},
\]

and the Pieri formula is for multiplication by \( C_{T_{234}}, C_{7134}, \) and \( C_{3124} \). We obtain

\[
C_{3\downarrow 42} \cdot C_{2\downarrow 34} = C_{3\downarrow 41} + 2C_{2\downarrow 34} + 2C_{3\uparrow 12} + 2C_{\uparrow 341} + 2C_{T_{312}} + 2C_{T_{331}}.
\]

As only the indices of the last four terms have the form \( w\varsigma \), we see that

\[
\iota^*_\varsigma(C_{3\downarrow 42}) = 2G_{3412} + 2G_{3241} + 2G_{4132} + 2G_{2431}.
\]

**Remark 2.11.** The results in this section generalize results in [1], which was concerned with the flag variety \( F_{n+m} \) of the general linear group \( GL_{n+m} \) with root system \( A_{n+m-1} \). Section 4.5 of [1] studied an embedding of flag manifolds \( \psi_P : F_n \times F_m \to F_{n+m} \) corresponding
to a subset $P$ of $[m+n] := \{1, \ldots, n+m\}$ of cardinality $n$. Writing $P$ and its complement $P^c := [m+n] \smallsetminus P$ in order,

\[ P : \; p_1 < \cdots < p_n \quad \text{and} \quad P^c : \; p_1^c < \cdots < p_m^c, \]

the pullback map on cohomology

\[ \psi_P^* : H^*(\mathcal{F}_{n+m}) \longrightarrow H^*(\mathcal{F}_n \times \mathcal{F}_m) \cong H^*(\mathcal{F}_n) \otimes H^*(\mathcal{F}_m), \]

is induced by the map

\[ \psi_P^* : \; x_a \longmapsto \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
  y_i & \text{if } a = p_i \\
  z_j & \text{if } a = p_j^c \end{array} \right. \]

where $x_1, \ldots, x_{n+m}$ generate $H^*(\mathcal{F}_{n+m})$, $y_1, \ldots, y_n$ generate $H^*(\mathcal{F}_n)$, and $z_1, \ldots, z_m$ generate $H^*(\mathcal{F}_m)$. The effect of $\psi_P^*$ on the Schubert basis was expressed in terms of Schubert structure constants for $\mathcal{F}_{n+m}$, detailed in Theorem 4.5.4 and Remark 4.5.5 of [1].

These formulas are the specialization of Theorem 2.5 and Corollary 2.8 to the situation of [1, § 4.5]. In our notation, $I$ is the subset of the Dynkin diagram $A_{n+m-1}$ obtained by removing the $n$th node, $G_I = GL_n \times GL_m$, $W_I = S_n \times S_m$, and $\mathcal{F}_I \cong \mathcal{F}_n \times \mathcal{F}_m$. The minimal coset representative $W^I$ corresponding to the map $\psi_P$ is the inverse shuffle $\varsigma_P$ defined by

\[ \varsigma_P : \; \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
  p_i \mapsto i \\
  p_j^c \mapsto m+j \end{array} \right. \quad \text{for } i = 1, \ldots, n \quad \text{and} \quad j = 1, \ldots, m. \]

This permutation is written $\varepsilon_{P,[n]}(e,e)$ in [1] and for $v \times w \in S_n \times S_m$, the permutation $(v \times w)\varsigma_P$ is written $\varepsilon_{P,[n]}(v,w)$.

Then, in the notation we use here, Theorem 4.5.4 of [1] becomes Theorem 2.5,

\[ t_{\varsigma_P}^*(\mathcal{G}_u) = \sum_{v \times w \in S_n \times S_m} \varsigma_P(v \times w) \mathcal{G}_{v \times w}, \]

as $\mathcal{G}_v \otimes \mathcal{G}_w = \mathcal{G}_{v \times w}$ under the Künneth isomorphism $H^*(\mathcal{F}_I) = H^*(\mathcal{F}_n) \otimes H^*(\mathcal{F}_m)$.

Finally, the map $t_{\varsigma_P}^*$ on $S_\bullet h^*$ agrees with the map $\Psi_P^*$ of [1], where we write the generators of $H^*(\mathcal{F}_I)$ as $y_1, \ldots, y_n, z_1, \ldots, z_m$ as above.

2.2. The pattern map in the Grothendieck ring. The results of Subsection 2.1 generalize nearly immediately to Grothendieck rings of the flag varieties $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}_I$. In particular, Theorem 2.3 implies the analog of Corollary 2.4. Namely, if $w \in W_I$ and $\varsigma \in W^I$ is a minimal length coset representative, then

\[ t_{\varsigma,*}(\mathcal{O}_{X_w}) = \mathcal{O}_{X_{\varsigma \cap X_w}} = \mathcal{O}_{X_{\varsigma}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_{w'}} , \]

where $t_{\varsigma,*}$ is the (derived) pushforward map on sheaves, which induces the map $K_0(\mathcal{F}_I) \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{F})$. The second equality is (1.8).

Theorem 2.7 also immediately generalizes. The map $t_{\varsigma,*}^* : K^0(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow K^0(\mathcal{F}_I)$ is induced by the action of $\varsigma$ on $h^*_F$, leading to a formula similar to Corollary 2.8 for polynomial representatives of Grothendieck classes $\mathcal{G}_w$, once we generalize the formula of Theorem 2.5.

What remains is a formula for the decomposition coefficients $d_{w}^{u}$ for $u \in W$ and $w \in W_I$ defined by the identity,

\[ t_{\varsigma}^*(\mathcal{G}_u) = \sum_{w \in W_I} d_{w}^{u} \mathcal{G}_w. \]
Using duality (1.9) for Grothendieck classes, we have
\[ d_w^u = \pi_* (\iota^*_u (G_u) \cdot [I_w]) = \pi_* (G_u \cdot \iota^*_u [I_w]) .\]
We prove the following lemma, which will enable this calculation.

**Lemma 2.12.** With these definitions, if \( w \in W_I \), then \( \iota_{w, \varsigma} [I_w] = [O_{X_{\varsigma}} \otimes I_{w, \varsigma}] \).

As the projection formula (1.6) also holds for the Grothendieck ring/group, the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 2.5 yield the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.13.** With these definitions, we have
\[ \iota^*_u (G_u) = \sum_{w \in W_I} \iota_{w, \varsigma}^{w, \varsigma} G_w , \]
where \( \iota^*_u \colon K^0 (F) \to K^0 (F_I) \) is induced by the map \( \iota^*_u \) of line bundles given in Lemma 2.6.

**Proof of Lemma 2.12.** We use the expression (1.10) for the ideal sheaves, the pushforward formula (2.3), and Proposition 2.2 to compute
\[ \iota_{w, \varsigma} [I_w] = \sum_{v \leq w} (-1)^{\ell (w) - \ell (v)} \iota_{v, \varsigma} [O_{X_v}] = \sum_{v \leq w} (-1)^{\ell (w) - \ell (v)} [O_{X_{\varsigma}} \otimes O_{X_v}] \]
\[ = \sum_{u \leq w, \varsigma} (-1)^{\ell (w_{\varsigma}) - \ell (u)} [O_{X_{\varsigma}} \otimes O_{X_u}] = [O_{X_{\varsigma}} \otimes I_{w, \varsigma}] .\]

To see the equality \( (1) \) note that \( O_{X_{\varsigma}} \otimes O_{X_u} \) is the zero sheaf unless \( \varsigma \leq u \). Thus the sum over \( u \leq w_{\varsigma} \) is equal to the sum over \( u \) in the interval \([\varsigma, w_{\varsigma}]\) in the Bruhat order, and the interval is parameterized by the interval \([e, w]\) in the Bruhat order on \( W_I \) under the map \( v \mapsto v_{\varsigma} = u \), by Proposition 2.2. That proposition also implies that the signs are preserved: \( \ell (w) - \ell (v) = \ell (w_{\varsigma}) - \ell (v_{\varsigma}) \).

The decomposition coefficients \( d_w^u \) of (2.4) are nonnegative in the same sense as the Grothendieck structure constants \( e_{w, u} \) (1.12). Indeed,
\[ (-1)^{\ell (w) - \ell (u)} d_w^u = (-1)^{\ell (w) - \ell (u)} e_{w, u}^{w, \varsigma} = (-1)^{\ell (w_{\varsigma}) - \ell (u)} e_{u, \varsigma}^{w, \varsigma} > 0 , \]
as \( \ell (w) - \ell (u) = \ell (w) + \ell (\varsigma) - \ell (u) - \ell (\varsigma) = \ell (w_{\varsigma}) - \ell (u) - \ell (\varsigma) \) by Proposition 2.2.

**Remark 2.14.** With the same conventions as Remark 2.11 the results here for the map \( \iota^*_u \) on Grothendieck rings specialize to those of [20, Sec. 7] in type \( A \).

**Remark 2.15.** The results here should hold for more general cohomology theories, such as \( T \)-equivariant \( K \)-theory. We plan to treat that in a sequel.
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