

Math 251

Test I Fall 2005 (Sept. 30, 2005)

Section 508 (8-9:15 am) 509 (9:35-10:50 am)

Name _____

ID _____

1. _____

2. _____

3. _____

4. _____

Total _____

There are a total of 4 problems. No calculators are allowed.

1. Let n be a positive integer greater than 2, and

$$f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_n^2)^{\frac{2-n}{2}}. \quad (*)$$

- (a) Show that f satisfies the Laplace equation

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_2^2} + \dots + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_n^2} = 0 \quad \text{for } (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \neq (0, 0, \dots, 0). \quad (20\%)$$

- (b) Evaluate $\frac{\partial^2 f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2}$. (5%)

- (c) If $n = 2$ in (*), determine the value of $f(1, 3)$. (5%)

1. (a) Exactly the same as before.

$$(b) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} = (2-n)x_2(x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2)^{-\frac{n}{2}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_3 \partial x_2} &= (2-n)x_2(x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2)^{-\frac{n}{2}-1} \left(-\frac{n}{2}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} (x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2) \\ &= -(2-n)n x_2 x_3 (x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2)^{-\frac{n}{2}-1} \end{aligned}$$

$$(c) f(x_1, x_2) = (1^2 + 3^2)^{\frac{2-2}{2}} = 10^0 = 1.$$

Name _____

2. Let $a > 0$ be a given constant. A quadric surface is given as

$$ax^2 + y^2 - az = 0.$$

(a) Find the tangent plane to the surface at the point $(a, a, a + a^2)$. (10%)

(b) Use differentials to compute an approximate value for

$$\sqrt[3]{6(9.994)^2 + 25(15.91)} \quad (15\%)$$

with 4 decimal place accuracy.

$$(a) \quad z = \frac{1}{a}(ax^2 + y^2) = x^2 + \frac{1}{a}y^2.$$

$$\nabla z = (2x, \frac{2}{a}y) = (2a, \frac{2}{a} \cdot a) = (2a, 2) \text{ when } (x, y) = (a, a).$$

So the eq'n for the tangent plane is

$$z - (a + a^2) = (2a, 2) \cdot (x - a, y - a) = 2a(x - a) + 2(y - a),$$

$$\text{i.e., } 2ax + 2y - z = a^2 + a.$$

(b)

$$\begin{cases} \Delta x = 9.994 - 10 = -0.006 \\ \Delta y = 15.91 - 16 = -0.09 \end{cases}$$

$$f(x, y) = \sqrt[3]{6x^2 + 25y} = \sqrt[3]{6 \cdot (10)^2 + 25 \cdot 16} = \sqrt[3]{600 + 400} = 10, \text{ at } (x, y) = (10, 16)$$

$$f(9.994, 15.91) - f(10, 16) \approx \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(10, 16) \Delta x + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(10, 16) \Delta y$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{3}(6x^2 + 25y)^{-\frac{2}{3}} \cdot 12x \quad \text{at } (x, y) = (10, 16) \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{10^2} \cdot 12 \cdot (10) \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{10^2} \cdot 25 \end{cases}$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = \frac{1}{3}(6x^2 + 25y)^{-\frac{2}{3}} \cdot 25$$

Hence

$$f(9.994, 15.91) \approx f(10, 16) + \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{10^2} \cdot 12(10) \cdot (-0.006) + \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{10^2} \cdot 25 \cdot (-0.09)$$

$$= 10 - 0.0024 - 0.0075 = 10 - 0.0099$$

$$= 9.9901.$$

Name _____

3. Given two lines

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} L_1: x = 2s, \quad y = 3 + 4s, \quad z = -3 + s, \\ L_2: x = 2 - t, \quad y = -1 + t, \quad z = 5 - 3t, \end{array} \right\} s, t \in \mathbb{R}$$

(a) show that L_1 and L_2 are skew lines; (7%)

(b) compute the distance between L_1 and L_2 . (15%)

(a) First, note that the directions of L_1 and L_2 are, resp., $(2, 4, 1)$ and $(-1, 1, -3)$. These two directions are not proportional. Hence L_1 and L_2 are not parallel.

Next, we determine if L_1 and L_2 intersect. Let

$$\begin{cases} 2s = 2 - t \\ 3 + 4s = -1 + t \end{cases} \quad (\times 2) : \quad \begin{array}{l} 4s = 4 - 2t \\ \rightarrow 3 + 4s = -1 + t \\ \hline -3 = 5 - 3t \Rightarrow 3t = 8, t = 8/3. \end{array}$$

$$\Rightarrow s = 1 - \frac{t}{2} = 1 - \frac{4}{3} = -\frac{1}{3}$$

Substituting $s = -\frac{1}{3}$, $t = 8/3$ into $z = -3 + s = 5 - 3t$, we obtain

$-3 + (-\frac{1}{3}) = 5 - 3(\frac{8}{3}) \Rightarrow -\frac{10}{3} = -3$, a contradiction. So L_1 and L_2 are skew lines.

(b) First, find a common direction \vec{n} which is perpendicular to both lines:

$$\vec{n} = \begin{vmatrix} \vec{i} & \vec{j} & \vec{k} \\ 2 & 4 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & -3 \end{vmatrix} = (-12-1)\vec{i} + (16-1)\vec{j} + (2+4)\vec{k} = -13\vec{i} + 15\vec{j} + 6\vec{k}$$

Find a plane passing $(0, 3, -3)$ with normal \vec{n} (so that this plane contains L_1):

$$-13(x-0) + 15(y-3) + 6(z+3) = 0,$$

i.e.,

$$-13x + 15y + 6z = -3.$$

Find a second plane passing $(2, -1, 5)$ with normal \vec{n} (so that this plane contains L_2 and is parallel to the first plane):

$$-13(x-2) + 15(y+1) + 6(z-5) = 0,$$

i.e.,

$$-13x + 15y + 6z = -1$$

The distance between L_1 and L_2 is the distance between the two planes.

$$\text{Thus } d = \frac{|-3 - (-1)|}{\sqrt{(-13)^2 + 15^2 + 6^2}} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{230}}.$$

Name _____

4. (a) Let

$$f(x, y) = \frac{-7x^2y}{2x^4 + 3y^2}, \text{ if } (x, y) \neq (0, 0).$$

Show that $\lim_{(x, y) \rightarrow (0, 0)} f(x, y)$ does not exist. (10%)

(b) Let

$$f(x, y) = \frac{-7x^4y^2}{9x^4 + 5y^2} + 3, \text{ if } (x, y) \neq (0, 0).$$

Prove that $\lim_{(x, y) \rightarrow (0, 0)} f(x, y) = 3$.

(You must check the definition for a limit rigorously by an (ϵ, δ) -argument.) (13%)

(a) Along the line $y=x$, we have

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f(x, x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{-7x^2 \cdot x}{2x^4 + 3x^2} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{-7x^3}{2x^4 + 3x^2} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{-7x}{2x^2 + 3} = \frac{0}{3} = 0$$

Along the curve $y=x^2$, we have

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f(x, x^2) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{-7x^2 \cdot x^2}{2x^4 + 3x^4} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{-7}{5} = -\frac{7}{5}$$

Since $0 \neq -\frac{7}{5}$, from the uniqueness of a limit if it exists, we conclude that the limit doesn't exist.

(b) Given any $\epsilon > 0$, we want to show that we can choose $\delta > 0$ such that

$$|f(x, y) - 3| < \epsilon \text{ if } 0 < \sqrt{(x-0)^2 + (y-0)^2} < \delta.$$

$$|f(x, y) - 3| = \left| -\frac{7x^4y^2}{9x^4 + 5y^2} \right| = \left| \frac{9x^4}{9x^4 + 5y^2} \right| \left| \frac{7}{9}y^2 \right|$$

$$\leq \left| \frac{7}{9}y^2 \right| = \frac{7}{9}y^2 \leq \frac{7}{9}(\sqrt{x^2 + y^2})^2 < \frac{7}{9}\delta^2 = \epsilon,$$

if we choose $\delta = \frac{3}{\sqrt{7}}\epsilon$. Hence we have proved that the limit exists.