

Quiz 3 (Notes, books, and calculators are not authorized)

Show all your work in the blank space you are given on the exam sheet. Always justify your answer. Answers with **no justification will not be graded.**

Question 1: Does any of the following expressions solve the Laplace equation inside the rectangle $0 \leq x \leq L$, $0 \leq y \leq H$, with the following boundary conditions $u(0, y) = 0$, $u(L, y) = 3 \sinh(\frac{5\pi L}{H}) \sin(\frac{5\pi y}{H})$, $u(x, 0) = 0$, $u(x, H) = 0$? (justify clearly your answer):

$$\begin{aligned} u_1(x, y) &= 3 \cos\left(\frac{5\pi y}{H}\right) \cosh\left(\frac{5\pi(x-L)}{H}\right), & u_2(x, y) &= 3 \sin\left(\frac{5\pi y}{H}\right) \cosh\left(\frac{5\pi(x-L)}{H}\right), \\ u_3(x, y) &= 3 \cos\left(\frac{5\pi y}{H}\right) \sinh\left(\frac{5\pi x}{H}\right), & u_4(x, y) &= 3 \sin\left(\frac{5\pi y}{H}\right) \sinh\left(\frac{5\pi x}{H}\right). \end{aligned}$$

From class, we know that all the above expressions solve the Laplace equation, hence we just need to verify that the boundary conditions are met. We observe that u_1 and u_3 do not satisfy the Dirichlet boundary conditions $u(x, 0) = 0$, $u(x, H) = 0$; therefore u_1 and u_3 must be discarded.

Both u_2 and u_4 satisfy that Dirichlet conditions: $u_2(x, 0) = 0$, $u_2(x, H) = 0$, and $u_4(x, 0) = 0$, $u_4(x, H) = 0$. Now we need to check the two other boundaries.

Note that u_2 is such that $u_2(0, y) = 3 \sin(\frac{5\pi y}{H}) \cosh(\frac{5\pi(-L)}{H}) \neq 0$, which shows that u_2 is not the solution to our problem either.

Finally $u_4(0, y) = 3 \sin(\frac{5\pi y}{H}) \sinh(0) = 0$ and $u_4(L, y) = 3 \sin(\frac{5\pi y}{H}) \sinh(\frac{5\pi L}{H})$; a result u_4 is the solution.

Question 2: The solution of the equation, $\frac{1}{r} \partial_r (r \partial_r u) + \frac{1}{r^2} \partial_{\theta\theta} u = 0$, inside the domain $D = \{\theta \in [0, \pi], r \in [0, 2]\}$, subject to the boundary conditions $u(r, 0) = 0$, $u(r, \pi) = 0$, $u(2, \theta) = g(\theta)$ is $u(r, \theta) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n r^n \sin(n\theta)$. What is the solution corresponding to $g(\theta) = 5 \sin(2\theta) + 2 \sin(5\theta)$? (Give all the details.)

One must have

$$g(\theta) = 5 \sin(2\theta) + 2 \sin(5\theta) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n 2^n \sin(n\theta)$$

Hence, one must have

$$5 = b_2 2^2, \quad \text{and} \quad 2 = b_5 2^5.$$

This means $b_2 = \frac{5}{2^2}$ and $b_5 = \frac{2}{2^5}$ and the other coefficients are zero. The only non-zero terms in the expansion are $b_2 r^2 \sin(2\theta) + b_5 r^5 \sin(5\theta)$. In conclusion

$$u(r, \theta) = 5 \frac{r^2}{2^2} \sin(2\theta) + 2 \frac{r^5}{2^5} \sin(5\theta).$$

Question 3: Let $p, q : [-1, +1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be smooth functions. Assume that $p(x) \geq 0$ and $q(x) \geq q_0$ for all $x \in [-1, +1]$, where $q_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. Consider the eigenvalue problem $-\partial_x(p(x)\partial_x\phi(x)) + q(x)\phi(x) = \lambda\phi(x)$, supplemented with the boundary conditions $\phi(-1) = 0$ and $\phi(1) = 0$.

(a) Prove that it is necessary that $\lambda \geq q_0$ for a non-zero (smooth) solution, ϕ , to exist. (Hint: $q_0 \int_{-1}^{+1} \phi^2(x)dx \leq \int_{-1}^{+1} q(x)\phi^2(x)dx$.)

As usual we use the energy method. Let (ϕ, λ) be an eigenpair, then

$$\int_{-1}^{+1} (-\partial_x(p(x)\partial_x\phi(x))\phi(x) + q(x)\phi^2(x))dx = \lambda \int_{-1}^{+1} \phi^2(x)dx.$$

After integration by parts and using the boundary conditions, we obtain

$$\int_{-1}^{+1} (p(x)\partial_x\phi(x)\partial_x\phi(x) + q(x)\phi^2(x))dx = \lambda \int_{-1}^{+1} \phi^2(x)dx.$$

which, using the hint, can also be re-written

$$\int_{-1}^{+1} (p(x)\partial_x\phi(x)\partial_x\phi(x) + q_0\phi^2(x))dx \leq \lambda \int_{-1}^{+1} \phi^2(x)dx.$$

Then

$$\int_{-1}^{+1} p(x)(\partial_x\phi(x))^2dx \leq (\lambda - q_0) \int_{-1}^{+1} \phi^2(x)dx.$$

Assume that ϕ is non-zero, then

$$\lambda - q_0 \geq \frac{\int_{-1}^{+1} p(x)(\partial_x\phi(x))^2dx}{\int_{-1}^{+1} \phi^2(x)dx} \geq 0,$$

which proves that it is necessary that $\lambda \geq q_0$ for a non-zero (smooth) solution to exist.

(b) Assume that $p(x) \geq p_0 > 0$ for all $x \in [-1, +1]$ where $p_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Show that $\phi = 0$ if $\lambda = q_0$. (Hint: $p_0 \int_{-1}^{+1} \psi^2(x)dx \leq \int_{-1}^{+1} p(x)\psi^2(x)dx$.)

Assume that $\lambda = q_0$ is an eigenvalue. Then the above computation shows that

$$p_0 \int_{-1}^{+1} (\partial_x\phi(x))^2dx \leq \int_{-1}^{+1} p(x)(\partial_x\phi(x))^2dx = 0,$$

which means that $\int_{-1}^{+1} (\partial_x\phi(x))^2dx = 0$ since $p_0 > 0$. As a result $\partial_x\phi = 0$, i.e., $\phi(x) = c$ where c is a constant. The boundary conditions $\phi(-1) = 0 = \phi(1)$ imply that $c = 0$. In conclusion $\phi = 0$ if $\lambda = q_0$, thereby proving that (ϕ, q_0) is not an eigenpair.