323 HONORS SPRING 2014, HOMEWORK DUE 2/18

1. How to recover a polynomial from samples

Problem : Let P(x) be a polynomial of degree at most n, presented in some indirect or inefficient manner, such that our computer can, for any (say rational) number λ , compute $P(\lambda)$ for us. How can we obtain an expression of P(x) of the form $P(x) = a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \cdots + a_nx^n$ for some constants a_i ?

Answer : Sample *P* at n + 1 points $\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_n$ (e.g., in practice you could take these to be $0, 1, 2, \dots, n$). We obtain n + 1 linear equations for the n + 1 unknowns a_0, \dots, a_n . Explicitly:

(1)
$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \lambda_0 & \lambda_0^2 & \cdots & \lambda_0^n \\ & \vdots & & \\ 1 & \lambda_n & \lambda_0^2 & \cdots & \lambda_n^n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_0 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} P(\lambda_0) \\ \vdots \\ P(\lambda_n) \end{pmatrix}$$

So taking the inverse of this size (n + 1) Vandermonde matrix we obtain the coefficients.

Remark 1.1. Note that if we wanted to do this calculation for a collection of polynomials, after the initial overhead of computing once and for all the inverse of a size (n+1) Vandermonde matrix of our choosing, it is very cheap computationally to recover the coefficients of the polynomial. We will see several applications taking advantage of this.

Exercise 1.2: Find the cofficients of the degree three polynomial p(x) such that p(0) = 1, p(1) = 7, p(2) = 23, p(3) = 61.

2. A fast algorithm for evaluating elementary symmetric functions

Write

(2)
$$e_k(x) = e_k(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{1 \le i_1 < \dots < i_k \le n} x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_k}$$

for the k-th elementary symmetric function in n variables. Note that this expression involves $\binom{n}{k}$ monomials, so to evaluate it on a given n-tuple of numbers in this form involves a large number of arithmetic operations (think of the case $k = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ and recall that $\binom{2m}{m} \simeq \frac{4^m}{\sqrt{\pi m}}$).

The first step will be to note the following polynomial $E(x,t) \coloneqq \prod_{i=1}^{n} (x_i + t)$ is such that the coefficient of t^k is $e_{n-k}(x)$, where by convention we set $e_0(x) = 1$. (Such a function is called a generating function.)

Exercise 2.1: Show that the Taylor series expansion of $P(x,t) := \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_i}{1-tx_i}$ is a generating function for the power sum functions $p_k(x) := x_1^k + \dots + x_n^k$.

We think of E(x,t) as a polynomial in t whose coefficients we would like to recover. Write

(3)
$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \lambda_0 & \lambda_0^2 & \cdots & \lambda_0^n \\ & \vdots & & \\ 1 & \lambda_n & \lambda_0^2 & \cdots & \lambda_n^n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e_0 \\ \vdots \\ e_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} E(x, \lambda_0) \\ \vdots \\ E(x, \lambda_n) \end{pmatrix}$$

Note that each $E(x, \lambda_i) = (x_1 - \lambda_i) \cdots (x_n - \lambda_i)$ is just a product of *n* linear forms and hence is easy to evaluate on any given *x*. (More precisely, one only needs to perform *n* additions and

n-1 multiplications.) Thus, even $e_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}$ can be evaluated using just on the order of n^2 arithmetic operations compared with $O(2^n)$ using the naive formula. *E-mail address:* jml@math.tamu.edu