

## Remarks on "Lie Algebra Cohomology and the Generalized Borel-Weil Theorem", By B. Kostant

P. Cartier

The Annals of Mathematics, 2nd Ser., Vol. 74, No. 2 (Sep., 1961), 388-390.

## Stable URL:

http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0003-486X%28196109%292%3A74%3A2%3C388%3ARO%22ACA%3E2.0.CO%3B2-I

The Annals of Mathematics is currently published by Annals of Mathematics.

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at http://www.jstor.org/about/terms.html. JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Please contact the publisher regarding any further use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at http://www.jstor.org/journals/annals.html.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

JSTOR is an independent not-for-profit organization dedicated to creating and preserving a digital archive of scholarly journals. For more information regarding JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

## REMARKS ON

## "LIE ALGEBRA COHOMOLOGY AND THE GENERALIZED BOREL-WEIL THEOREM", BY B. KOSTANT

By P. Cartier

(Received February 8, 1961)

In Kostant's paper, which appears in this issue of the *Annals*, that author studies the cohomology of certain subalgebras of a semi-simple Lie algebra. One of his results is Theorem 5.14 from which he is able to deduce the Borel-Weil theorem as generalized by R. Bott. I would like to point out that the whole reasoning by which Kostant makes this deduction effective can be inverted; therefore his result is *equivalent* to Borel-Weil-Bott theorem.

Next, following an idea of Bott, he proceeds to prove H. Weyl's well-known character formula. The point is to interpret each character as a ratio of two Euler-Poincaré characteristics which amount respectively for the numerator and the denominator of Weyl's formula. Unfortunately, as pointed out by Kostant himself, one needs a very particular case of Weyl's formula in the course of proof of Theorem 5.14. We would like to sketch a proof of Theorem 5.14 which does not use even this particular case of Weyl's formula. The point is to give a direct proof of Lemma 5.12 omitting all of §§ 5.8 to 5.11. Such a proof follows. (We do not repeat all notations).

 $\Delta$  being the set of all roots, let  $\Phi$  be any subset of  $\Delta$ ; by  $\langle \Phi \rangle$  we mean the sum of all roots belonging to  $\Phi$  and g is  $1/2\langle \Delta_+ \rangle$  ( $\Delta_+$  is the set of all positive roots). There is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of all subsets  $\Phi$  of  $\Delta_+$  and the set of all subsets  $\Psi$  of  $\Delta$  such that  $\Delta$  be a disjoint union of  $\Psi$  and  $-\Psi$ ; this correspondence is expressed by the formulas  $\Phi = \Psi \cap \Delta_+$  and  $\Psi = \Phi \cup -(\Delta_+ \cap C\Phi)$ ; furthermore, by an easy computation we get

$$(1) g - \langle \Phi \rangle = -1/2 \langle \Psi \rangle$$

when  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  are so related.

Let m be the Lie subalgebra of the semi-simple Lie algebra g generated by the Cartan subalgebra h and the root vectors belonging to positive roots. If  $V^{\lambda}$  is the space of an irreducible representation  $\pi$  of g with maximal weight  $\lambda$ , one considers the natural representation  $\zeta$  of h on the space  $\Lambda m^* \otimes V^{\lambda}$ . Using the well-known basis of an exterior algebra, one sees the weights of  $\zeta$  are of the form:

REMARKS 389

$$(2) \qquad \qquad \xi = \mu - \langle \Phi \rangle$$

where  $\mu$  is a weight of  $\pi$  and  $\Phi$  is any subset of  $\Delta_+$ ; furthermore the multiplicity of  $\xi$  is the sum of the multiplicities  $m_{\mu}$  (for  $\mu$  considered as a weight of  $\pi$ ) extended over all decompositions of  $\xi$  in the form (2).

I claim

$$|g + \lambda| \ge |g + \xi|$$

for any weight  $\xi$  of  $\zeta$ . Using (1) and (2) we get

$$(4) g + \xi = \mu - 1/2 \langle \Psi \rangle.$$

Since the set of weights of  $\pi$  is invariant under the Weyl group W, so it is for the set of linear forms  $g + \xi$  on  $\mathfrak{h}$ :

$$(5) s(g+\xi) = s \cdot \mu - 1/2 \langle s \cdot \Psi \rangle = g + s \cdot \mu - \langle \Phi(s) \rangle$$

where  $\Phi(s) = s \cdot \Psi \cap \Delta_+$ . We can therefore find an s in W so that  $s(g + \xi)$  is dominant. As is well-known,  $s \cdot \mu$  is equal to  $\lambda - \sum_i m_i \cdot \alpha_i$  with positive roots  $\alpha_i$  and non-negative integers  $m_i$ ; it implies:

$$s(g+\xi)=(g+\lambda)-\sum_{i}m'_{i}\cdot\alpha_{i},$$

and finally

$$\mid g + \lambda \mid^2 = \mid s(g + \xi) \mid^2 + \mid \sum_i m_i' \cdot \alpha_i \mid^2 + \sum_i m_i' \langle s(g + \xi), \alpha_i \rangle$$

$$= \mid g + \xi \mid^2 + \mid \sum_i m_i' \cdot \alpha_i \mid^2 + \sum_i m_i' \langle s(g + \xi), \alpha_i \rangle.$$

Since  $s(g + \xi)$  is dominant, the scalar product  $\langle s(g + \xi), \alpha \rangle$  is non-negative for each positive root  $\alpha$ . It follows formula (3) immediately.

From this deduction of formula (3), one sees sign "equal" can occur only for all  $m'_i$  equal to 0, that is  $s(g + \xi) = g + \lambda$  or  $\xi = \xi_s$  with

(7) 
$$\xi_s = s^{-1}(g + \lambda) - g.$$

Furthermore  $\lambda$  is dominant and  $\langle g, \alpha \rangle > 0$  for any positive root  $\alpha$ , so that  $\langle g + \lambda, \alpha \rangle > 0$  under the same assumptions; as is well-known, this implies  $s(g + \lambda) \neq g + \lambda$  for  $s \neq 1$  and there is a unique s in W for which (7) holds. The map  $s \to \xi_s$  is bijective from W to the set of all weights  $\xi$  of  $\zeta$  such that  $|g + \xi| = |g + \lambda|$ .

It remains to show that the weight  $\xi_s$  given by (7) has multiplicity one. Since  $\lambda$  occurs with multiplicity one in  $\pi$ , it is sufficient to show  $\xi_s$  has a unique decomposition in the form (2) and  $\lambda = \mu$  in this decomposition. But (2) implies (5) and using (7) one gets:

(8) 
$$\lambda = s \cdot \mu - \langle \Phi(s) \rangle.$$

Recalling  $s \cdot \mu = \lambda - \sum_{i} m_{i} \cdot \alpha_{i}$  with non-negative integers  $m_{i}$ , this is

390 P. CARTIER

possible only if all  $m_i$  are 0 and  $\Phi(s)$  is empty, that is  $\lambda = \mu$  and  $s \cdot \Psi \cap \Delta_+$  empty which amounts to  $\Psi = s^{-1} \cdot \Delta_-$ , or finally  $\Phi = \Delta_+ \cap s^{-1} \cdot \Delta_-$ . This achieves the proof.

We have proved all of Lemma 5.12, the last assertion in it being trivial any way. This concludes our task.

UNIVERSITY OF PARIS