

THE n -WIDTH OF $BV_n \text{Lip } \alpha$

Ronald A. DeVore

Department of Mathematics and Statistics
University of South Carolina
Columbia

We determine asymptotically the n -width of the space of functions $BV_n \text{Lip } \alpha$ as a subset of C . Since the n -width behaves like $n^{-(\alpha+1)/2}$, it is seen that this class of functions has significantly smaller n -width than $\text{Lip } \alpha$.

There are many theorems of analysis which show that the space $S_\alpha := BV_n \text{Lip } \alpha$ is small when compared to $\text{Lip } \alpha$. For example, we know that each $f \in S_\alpha$ has an absolutely convergent Fourier series if $\alpha > 0$ but this is not the case for $\text{Lip } \alpha$ unless $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$. In this note, we shall measure the size of S_α by computing the n -width of the unit ball of S_α in the space $C_{2\pi}$ of 2π periodic continuous functions.

One way to measure the size of a compact set K of a Banach space X is through the notion of n -widths. If X_n is an n -dimensional subspace of X then

$$E(f, X_n) := \inf_{x_n \in X_n} \|f - x_n\|_X$$

is the error in approximating f by elements of X_n and

$$E(K, X_n) := \sup_{f \in K} E(f, X_n)$$

is the error in approximating the compact set K . The n -width of K looks for best n -dimensional subspaces:

Research supported in part by NSF Grant MCS-8101661

$$(1) \quad d_n(K)_X := \inf_{X_n} E(K, X_n).$$

The asymptotic behavior of $d_n(K)_X$ gives some idea of the size of K in X . For example if $C_{2\pi}$ denotes the space of continuous 2π periodic functions, then the unit ball $U(\text{Lip } \alpha)$ of $\text{Lip } \alpha$ has n -width

$$(2) \quad d_n(U(\text{Lip } \alpha))_{C_{2\pi}} \approx n^{-\alpha}, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Actually, there is a much larger set than $U(\text{Lip } \alpha)$ which also has n -width $n^{-\alpha}$. It was shown by B. S. Kashin [1] that the Sobolev space W_2^α satisfies:

$$d_n(U(W_2^\alpha))_{C_{2\pi}} \approx n^{-\alpha}, \quad \alpha > \frac{1}{2}.$$

Since $W_2^\alpha = B_2^{\alpha, 2}$ (the Besov space), it follows from an interpolation of linear operators argument that

$$(3) \quad d_n(U(B_2^{\alpha, r})) \approx n^{-\alpha}, \quad \alpha > \frac{1}{2}; \quad r > 0.$$

Since $\text{Lip } \alpha \subset B_2^{\alpha, r}$, (3) is a (substantial) improvement over (2).

The main result of this note is to determine the n -width of the set $K_\alpha := \{f \in S_\alpha : \max(\|f\|_{BV}, \|f\|_{\text{Lip } \alpha}) \leq 1\}$ in $C_{2\pi}$. The following result should be compared with (2).

Theorem 1. For each $0 < \alpha < 1$, there are constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that

$$(4) \quad C_1 n^{-(\alpha+1)/2} \leq d_n(K_\alpha)_{C_{2\pi}} \leq C_2 n^{-(\alpha+1)/2}.$$

Proof. The upper estimate follows from an embedding of K_α into a Besov space. If $f \in K_\alpha$ and $h > 0$, we have

$$(5) \quad \int_0^{2\pi} |f(x+h) - f(x)|^2 dx \leq h^\alpha \int_0^{2\pi} |f(x+h) - f(x)| dx \leq h^{\alpha+1}.$$

The last inequality is apparent for absolutely continuous functions and follows for any f in K_α since such an f is the uniform limit of absolutely continuous functions from K_α .

It follows from (5) that $K_\alpha \subset U(B_2^{\beta, \infty})$, $\beta = (\alpha+1)/2$ and so from (3),

$$d_n(K_\alpha) \leq d_n(U(B_2^{\beta, \infty})) \leq C n^{-\beta} = n^{-(\alpha+1)/2}$$

which is the right hand side of (4).

To prove the lower estimate in (4), we shall use an idea of W. Rudin [2] and construct sufficiently many functions in S_α which are mutually orthogonal. In part, the orthogonality will come from making supports disjoint and in part, by using Latin squares to guarantee orthogonality where supports are not disjoint.

It is enough to prove (4) when n is a 2 power. Let $N := 2n$ and choose m as the largest 2 power such that

$$2m \leq (2N/\pi)^\alpha$$

It follows that

$$(6) \quad m \geq \frac{1}{2} (2N/\pi)^\alpha$$

Also $m \leq N$.

Now divide $[0, 2\pi]$ into N/m intervals $I_\nu := [x_\nu, x_{\nu+1}]$ $\nu = 0, 1, \dots, (N/m)-1$: $x_\nu := 2\nu\pi m/N$. For each ν we choose points $x_{\mu\nu} := x_\nu + (2\mu-1)\pi/N$, $\mu = 1, \dots, m$ from I_ν .

We will also need a Latin square

$$A := (a_{ij}) \quad a_{ij} = \pm 1$$

of dimension $m \times m$. Let v_1, \dots, v_m denote the columns of A . Then, these vectors are orthogonal.

Here is how we construct our orthogonal functions. If $1 \leq i \leq N$, we write

$$i = km + \ell; 1 \leq \ell \leq m.$$

Define g_i as the piecewise linear function

$$g_i := \sum_{\mu=1}^m a_{\mu\ell} \psi_{\mu k}$$

with $\psi_{\mu k}$ the "hat function" which is supported on the interval $[x_{\mu k} - \pi/N, x_{\mu k} + \pi/N]$ has value zero at the end points of this interval and 1 at the point $x_{\mu k}$.

It is easy to see that the g_i are orthogonal. Indeed, suppose that $i_1 = k_1 m + \ell_1$ and $i_2 = k_2 m + \ell_2$. If $k_1 \neq k_2$ then the g_i are orthogonal because they have disjoint supports. If $k_1 = k_2$, then

$$\int_0^{2\pi} g_{i_1} g_{i_2} = \frac{\pi}{6N} v_{\ell_1} \cdot v_{\ell_2} = 0$$

because the columns of A are orthogonal.

The functions g_i have L_2 norm $(\frac{2\pi m}{3N})^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Therefore, the normalized functions

$$f_i := (\frac{3N}{2\pi m})^{\frac{1}{2}} g_i$$

form an orthonormal system.

Now suppose X_n is any n -dimensional subspace of $C_{2\pi}$ and let ϕ_1, \dots, ϕ_n be an orthonormal basis for X_n . We extend this orthonormal system by adjoining functions ϕ_{n+1}, \dots to arrive at a complete orthonormal system. Now consider the matrix $B := (b_{ij})$ with $b_{ij} := (f_i, \phi_j)^2$. The sum of the entries in a given row of B is one and the sum of the entries in a given column is at most one. Hence

$$\sum_{i=1}^N E(f_i, X_n)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} (f_i, \phi_j)^2 = N - \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^n (f_i, \phi_j)^2$$

$$\geq N \cdot n = n.$$

As a result

$$(7) \quad \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} E(f_i, X_n)^2 \geq \frac{1}{2}$$

It is easy to check that $\|g_i\|_{BV} = 2m$ and $\|g_i\|_{Lip \alpha} = (\frac{N}{\pi})^\alpha$.
Hence the function $\tilde{f}_i := (\frac{\pi}{N})^\alpha g_i = (\frac{\pi}{N})^\alpha (\frac{2m}{3N})^{\frac{1}{2}} f_i$ satisfy

$$(8) \quad \|\tilde{f}_i\|_{BV} \leq \|\tilde{f}_i\|_{Lip \alpha} = 1.$$

so that $\tilde{f}_i \in K_\alpha$

According to (7) and (8)

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{2\pi} E(K_\alpha, X_n)_{C_{2\pi}} &\geq E(K_\alpha, X_n)_{L_2} \geq \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} E(\tilde{f}_i, X_n)_{L_2} \geq (\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}) (\frac{\pi}{N})^\alpha (\frac{2m}{3N})^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\geq C N^{-\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} m^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq C N^{-(\alpha+1)/2} \geq C n^{-(\alpha+1)/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since X_n is arbitrary this gives the lower estimate in (4).

The approach above extends readily to the more general compact set

$$(9) \quad K_{\alpha, \beta} := \{f: |f|_{B_p^{\alpha, r}} \leq 1; |f|_{B_q^{\beta, s}} \leq 1\}; 1/p + 1/q = 1; p \leq q$$

with $\alpha, \beta, r, s > 0$ and $|\cdot|_{B_p^{\alpha, r}}$, the usual Besov space semi-norms.

The space $B_p^{\alpha, r} \hookrightarrow B_q^{\beta, s}$ if $\alpha > \beta + 1/p - 1/q$ and $B_q^{\beta, s} \hookrightarrow B_p^{\alpha, r}$ if $\beta \geq \alpha$.

Thus, the only interesting cases are when

$$\beta < \alpha \leq \beta + 1/p - 1/q.$$

Theorem 2. If $K_{\alpha,\beta}$ is defined as in (9) with $1 < p < 2$, and
 $\beta < \alpha \leq \beta + 1/p - 1/q$, then there are constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that

$$(10) \quad C_1 n^{-(\alpha+\beta)/2} \leq d_n(K_{\alpha,\beta})_{C_{2\pi}} \leq C_2 n^{-(\alpha+\beta)/2}$$

Proof. The proof is essentially the same as Theorem 1 and so we only indicate the necessary changes. Let Δ_h^k denote the k -th difference with step size h . If $f \in K_{\alpha,\beta}$ and $k := [\alpha+\beta] + 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2\pi} |\Delta_h^k(f,x)|^2 dx &\leq \left(\int_0^{2\pi} |\Delta_h^k(f,x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_0^{2\pi} |\Delta_h^k(f,x)|^q dx \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq C_0 h^\alpha \|f\|_{B_p^{\alpha,r}} h^\beta \|f\|_{B_q^{\beta,s}} \leq C_0 h^{\alpha+\beta} \end{aligned}$$

with C_0 depending only on k . Hence $K_{\alpha,\beta}$ is contained in a ball of radius $\sqrt{C_0}$ in $B_2^{\alpha+\beta,\infty}$ with C_0 depending only on k . It then follows from (3) that

$$d_n(K_{\alpha,\beta})_{C_{2\pi}} \leq \sqrt{C_0} d_n(U(B_2^{\alpha,\infty}))_{C_{2\pi}} \leq C n^{-(\alpha+\beta)/2}$$

which is the upper estimate in (10).

For the lower estimate, we argue similarly to Theorem 1 except that we need smoother functions f_i . Let ϕ be a non-negative function in C^∞ which is supported on $[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$ with

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi^2 = 1; \quad \|\phi\|_\infty \leq 2$$

We suppose as before that n is a 2 power, $N := 2n$ and we define m as the largest 2 power such that $m \leq N^{\gamma+1}$ with $\gamma := (\beta-\alpha)/(1/p-1/q)$.

Note that $-1 \leq \gamma < 0$. The points $x_{\mu\nu}$ are defined as in Theorem 1.

Finally we define $h_0 := 2\pi/N$.

The function $\phi_{\mu\nu} := m^{-\frac{1}{2}} h_0^{-\frac{1}{2}} \phi\left(\frac{x-x_{\mu\nu}}{h_0}\right)$ is supported on

$[x_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}h_0, x_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}h_0]$. If $1 \leq i \leq N$, we write $i = km + \ell$ with

$1 \leq \ell \leq m$ and define

$$f_i := \sum_{\mu=1}^m a_{\mu\ell} \phi_{\mu k}$$

where $a_{\mu\nu}$ are the entries of the Latin square A .

It follows that the f_i are an orthogonal system and therefore as in (7) for any n -dimensional space X_n

$$(11) \quad \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} E(f_i, X_n)_{C_{2\pi}}^2 \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

We now check the norm of f_i in $B_p^{\alpha, r}$. Let $k > \alpha$. Now, $\|\phi_{\nu\mu}^{(k)}\|_{\infty} \leq C m^{-\frac{1}{2}} h_0^{-k-\frac{1}{2}}$ and since the $\phi_{\mu\nu}$ have disjoint supports and $|a_{\mu\nu}| = 1$,

$$|\Delta_h^k(f_i, x)| \leq C \max(m^{-\frac{1}{2}} h_0^{-\frac{1}{2}} (h/h_0)^k, 1) \quad \text{on support of } f_i.$$

Hence,

$$\|\Delta_h^k(f_i)\|_p \leq C \max(m^{-\frac{1}{2}} h_0^{-\frac{1}{2}} (h/h_0)^k, 1) (m/N)^{1/p}$$

It follows that the modulus of smoothness $\omega_k(f_i)_p$ satisfies

$$\omega_k(f_i, h)_p \leq C(m/N)^{1/p} \begin{cases} m^{-\frac{1}{2}} h_0^{-\frac{1}{2}} (h/h_0)^k, & h \leq h_0 \\ 1, & h \geq h_0 \end{cases}$$

Hence,

$$|f_i|_{B_p^{\alpha, r}} = \left(\int_0^{\infty} (h^{-\alpha} \omega_k(f_i, h)_p)^r \frac{dh}{h} \right)^{1/r} \leq C (m/N)^{1/p} [m^{-\frac{1}{2}} h_0^{-\frac{1}{2}} h_0^{-\alpha} + h_0^{-\alpha}]$$

$$\leq C (m/N)^{1/p} m^{-\frac{1}{2}} h_0^{-\frac{1}{2}} h_0^{-\alpha}$$

$$\leq C N^{\gamma(1/p-\frac{1}{2})} N^{\alpha} \leq C N^{(\alpha+\beta)/2}$$

The same computation shows that $|f_i|_{B_p^{\beta,s}} \leq C n^{(\alpha+\beta)/2}$.

The functions $g_i = c^{-1} n^{-(\alpha+\beta)/2} f_i$ are in $K_{\alpha,\beta}$ and according to (11) give the lower estimate in (10). \square

Acknowledgement: During the visit of Professor G. G. Lorentz to Columbia, S.C., there were preliminary discussions concerning approximation theorems for $BV \cap \text{Lip } \alpha$. We thank Professor Lorentz for these initial discussions.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. S. Kashin, The widths of certain finite dimensional sets and classes of smooth functions, *Isv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* 41 (1977), 334-351 (Russian).
- [2] W. Rudin, L_2 approximation by partial sums of orthogonal developments, *Duke Math. J.* 19 (1952), 1-4.