

Convex Polynomial and Spline Approximation in L_p , $0 < p < \infty$

R. A. DeVore, Y. K. Hu, and D. Leviatan

Abstract. We prove that a convex function $f \in L_p[-1, 1]$, $0 < p < \infty$, can be approximated by convex polynomials with an error not exceeding $C\omega_3^\varphi(f, 1/n)_p$ where $\omega_3^\varphi(f, \cdot)$ is the Ditzian–Totik modulus of smoothness of order three of f . We are thus filling the gap between previously known estimates involving $\omega_2^\varphi(f, 1/n)_p$, and the impossibility of having such estimates involving ω_4 . We also give similar estimates for the approximation of f by convex C^0 and C^1 piecewise quadratics as well as convex C^2 piecewise cubic polynomials.

1. Introduction and Main Results

Let $f \in L_p[-1, 1]$, $0 < p \leq \infty$ (where by L_∞ we mean $C[-1, 1]$) be a convex function. We are interested in estimating the degree of approximation of f in the L_p - (quasi-)norm by means of convex polynomials or convex splines.

The first estimates of this type are due to Švedov [SVE] who proved that for a given convex $f \in L_p[-1, 1]$, $0 < p \leq \infty$, and $n \geq 2$, there exist convex polynomials p_n of degree not exceeding n , such that

$$(1.1) \quad \|f - p_n\|_p \leq C\omega_2(f, 1/n)_p,$$

where $C = C(p)$ is an absolute constant independent of f and n , and $\omega_2(f, \cdot)_p$ is the ordinary second-order modulus of smoothness in the L_p -norm. Švedov [SVE] went on to prove that in (1.1), ω_2 cannot be replaced by ω_4 while keeping the constant independent of f and n .

In recent years, (1.1) has been improved in a sequence of papers by DeVore, Leviatan, and Yu (see [DLE], [LY], and [Y]) who were able to replace ω_2 by the Ditzian–Totik second modulus of smoothness in L_p . (See [DIT] for the definition and properties of the latter.) Namely, they proved that for a convex $f \in L_p[-1, 1]$, $0 < p < \infty$, and each $n \geq 1$, there exist convex polynomials p_n of degree $\leq n$ such that

$$(1.2) \quad \|f - p_n\|_p \leq C\omega_2^\varphi(f, 1/n)_p.$$

Date received: February 3, 1995. Date revised: June 30, 1995. Communicated by Dietrich Braess.

AMS classification: 41A10, 41A15, 41A17, 41A25, 41A29.

Key words and phrases: Degree of convex approximation, Constrained approximation in L_p space, Spline approximation, Polynomial approximation.

While (1.2) is also valid for $p = \infty$, better estimates are now known in that case. In fact, for a convex $f \in C[-1, 1]$ there exist convex polynomials p_n of degree $\leq n$, such that

$$(1.3) \quad \|f - p_n\|_{C[-1,1]} \leq C\omega_3^{\phi}(f, 1/n)_{\infty}.$$

(See [KOP] and [HLY] for a weaker but earlier result.) One of the main aims of the present paper is to show that a similar estimate to (1.3) involving $\omega_3^{\phi}(f, \cdot)_p$, $0 < p < \infty$, is also valid, thus completely closing the gap left by Švedov [SVE]. To this end, we prove the following result in Section 3.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $f \in L_p[-1, 1]$, $0 < p < \infty$, be convex. Then for each $n \geq 2$, there is a convex polynomial p_n of degree $\leq n$, such that*

$$(1.4) \quad \|f - p_n\|_p \leq C\omega_3^{\phi}(f, 1/n)_p,$$

where C is a constant which depends at most on p when $p \rightarrow 0$.

Our proof of Theorem 1.1, given in Section 3, is based in part on a convex, C^0 piecewise polynomial approximation to f which is constructed in Section 2.

In Section 4, we consider piecewise polynomial approximation a little further. To describe these results, we let $E(I) := E(f, I)_p$ denote the error in L_p approximation of f on the interval I by quadratic polynomials. We recall that from Whitney’s theorem [DLO, pp. 182 and 374], we have

$$(1.5) \quad E(I) \leq C\omega_3(f, |I|, I)_p,$$

where $|I|$ denotes the length of the interval I , for all intervals I , with a constant C depending only on p as $p \rightarrow 0$.

Now, let $\mathbf{T}_n := \{-1 =: t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n =: 1\}$, $n \geq 1$, be any partition of $[-1, 1]$, and set $t_j := -1$, $j < 0$, and $t_j := 1$, $j > n$. For $j = -1, \dots, n$, let $I_j := [t_j, t_{j+1}]$, $I_j^{(1)} := [t_{j-11}, t_{j+11}]$, and $I_i^{(2)} := [t_{j-49}, t_{j+49}]$. Then we have:

Theorem 1.2. *Let $f \in L_p[-1, 1]$, $0 < p \leq \infty$, be convex. Then there exists a convex C^1 piecewise quadratic polynomial $S_{(1)}$ and a convex C^2 piecewise cubic polynomial $S_{(2)}$ on the partition \mathbf{T}_n , such that for $k = 1, 2$,*

$$(1.6) \quad \|f - S_{(k)}\|_{L_p(I_j)} \leq CE(f, I_j^{(k)})_p, \quad j = 0, \dots, n - 1,$$

where C depends on $\max_{j=0}^{n-1} |I_{j\pm 1}|/|I_j|$, and also on p as $p \rightarrow 0$.

The proof of Theorem 1.2 is given Section 4. It utilizes the C^0 piecewise quadratic approximation constructed in Section 2 together with some smoothing technique. The latter uses ideas of Ivanov and Popov [IP] for smoothing piecewise polynomial approximants while preserving local approximation errors. Ivanov and Popov used a similar technique to smooth a continuous piecewise quadratic with equidistant knots so that it preserves convexity and stays close to the original function in the sup-norm. The L_p estimates are based on ideas from [HLY].

The most interesting partitions for piecewise polynomial approximation are the uniform partition and the partitions built on the zeros of the Tchebyshev polynomials. In both cases the ratios $|I_{j\pm 1}|/|I_j|$ are bounded independently of n . Hence we have absolute constants independent of n , in the above theorems.

2. Convex Piecewise Quadratic Approximation

In this section, we shall consider the $L_p[-1, 1], 0 < p \leq \infty$, approximation of a convex function f by piecewise quadratics. We fix p and fix the function f throughout this section. For any interval I , let $E(I) := E(f, I)_p$ be the error in approximating f in the metric $L_p(I)$ by quadratic polynomials. We say that a quadratic polynomial q is a near best L_p -approximation to f on I with constant C_0 if

$$\|f - q\|_{L_p(I)} \leq C_0 E(I).$$

We shall often make use of the following facts (for proofs of similar results see [DSH, Chapter 3]).

F1. If q is a near best L_p -approximation to f on I with constant C_0 , i.e.,

$$\|f - q\|_{L_p(I)} \leq C_0 E(I),$$

then for any interval J with $I \subset J$, q is also a near best L_p -approximation to f on J with constant C depending only on $|J|/|I|, C_0$, and p as $p \rightarrow 0$.

F2. Let $I \subset J$ be two intervals. If q is a quadratic polynomial satisfying

$$\|f - q\|_{L_p(I)} \leq C_0 E(J),$$

(note that we do not assume q is a near best L_p -approximation to f on I), then

$$\|f - q\|_{L_p(J)} \leq C E(J)$$

with the constant C depending only on $|J|/|I|, C_0$, and p as $p \rightarrow 0$.

F3. For any quadratic polynomial q and any interval J ,

$$\|q\|_{L_p(J)} \leq |J|^{1/p} \|q\|_{L_\infty(J)} \leq C \|q\|_{L_p(J)}$$

with C depending only on p as $p \rightarrow 0$.

Let $\mathbf{Z}_n := \{-1 =: z_0 < z_1 < \dots < z_n =: 1\}$ be a given partition of $[-1, 1]$ and extend this partition by setting $z_j := -1, j < 0$, and $z_j := 1, j > n$. We let $J_j := [z_j, z_{j+1}], j = 0, \dots, n - 1$. In this section, we shall construct various piecewise quadratic approximations to f which share the convexity of f . We begin by singling out some special points ξ_j near $z_j, j = 0, \dots, n$.

Let $\delta_j := \frac{1}{3}|J_j|, j = 0, \dots, n - 1$, and $\delta_n := \frac{1}{3}|J_{n-1}|$, and let $\hat{J}_j := [z_j, z_j + \delta_j], j = 0, \dots, n - 1, \hat{J}_n := [z_n - \delta_n, z_n]$. Furthermore, let $\bar{J}_j := [z_{j-2}, z_{j+3}], j = 0, 1, \dots, n$.

Throughout this section, we shall use C to denote a constant which depends only on $\max_{j=0}^{n-1} |J_{j\pm 1}|/|J_j|$, and p as $p \rightarrow 0$. We begin with the following simple lemma:

Lemma 2.1. *There are points $\xi_j \in \hat{J}_j, j = 0, \dots, n$, such that the polynomial q_j which interpolates f at $\xi_i, i = j - 1, j, j + 1$, is a near best L_p -approximation to f on \bar{J}_j :*

$$\|f - q_j\|_{L_p(\bar{J}_j)} \leq C E(\bar{J}_j), \quad j = 1, \dots, n - 1.$$

Proof. We begin with a best quadratic polynomial approximation p_j to f on $J_j, j = 0, \dots, n - 1$, and we put $p_{-1} := p_0$, and $p_{n+1} := p_n := p_{n-1}$. Then,

$$(2.1) \quad \|f - p_j\|_{L_p(J_j)} = E(J_j), \quad j = 0, \dots, n - 1.$$

It follows that

$$\|f - p_{j-1}\|_{L_p(\hat{J}_j)} + \|f - p_j\|_{L_p(\hat{J}_j)} + \|f - p_{j+1}\|_{L_p(\hat{J}_j)} \leq CE([z_{j-1}, z_{j+2}]), \quad j = 0, \dots, n.$$

Hence, for each $j = 0, \dots, n$, we can find a point $\xi_j \in \hat{J}_j$, such that

$$(2.2) \quad |f(\xi_j) - p_i(\xi_j)| \leq C|\hat{J}_j|^{-1/p} E([z_{j-1}, z_{j+2}]), \quad i = j - 1, j, j + 1.$$

Now let q_j be the quadratic polynomial which interpolates f at the points ξ_i , $i = j - 1, j, j + 1$. Then, from (2.2), for $j = 1, \dots, n - 1$,

$$|q_j(\xi_i) - p_j(\xi_i)| \leq C|\bar{J}_j|^{-1/p} E(\bar{J}_j), \quad i = j - 1, j, j + 1.$$

Considering the spacing of the points ξ_i , we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \|q_j - p_j\|_{L_p(\bar{J}_j)} &\leq C|\bar{J}_j|^{1/p} \|q_j - p_j\|_{L_\infty(\bar{J}_j)} \leq C|\bar{J}_j|^{1/p} \max_{i=j-1, j, j+1} |q_j(\xi_i) - p_j(\xi_i)| \\ &\leq CE(\bar{J}_j). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\|f - q_j\|_{L_p(\bar{J}_j)} \leq C(\|f - p_j\|_{L_p(\bar{J}_j)} + \|p_j - q_j\|_{L_p(\bar{J}_j)}) \leq CE(\bar{J}_j). \quad \blacksquare$$

We will build a continuous piecewise quadratic from the polynomials q_j . For this, we shall use the following lemma:

Lemma 2.2. *Let $-1 \leq \zeta_0 < \zeta_1 < \dots < \zeta_n \leq 1$ be arbitrary points and let f be a convex function on $[-1, 1]$. For each $j = 1, \dots, n - 1$, let \tilde{p}_j be the quadratic polynomial which interpolates f at the points ζ_i , $i = j - 1, j, j + 1$. Then the function*

$$(2.3) \quad \tilde{g}(x) := \begin{cases} \tilde{p}_1(x), & x \in [-1, \zeta_1], \\ \max(\tilde{p}_j(x), \tilde{p}_{j+1}(x)), & x \in [\zeta_j, \zeta_{j+1}], \quad j = 1, \dots, n - 2, \\ \tilde{p}_{n-1}(x), & x \in [\zeta_{n-1}, 1]. \end{cases}$$

is a convex C^0 piecewise quadratic with breakpoints at the ζ_j , $j = 1, \dots, n - 1$.

Proof. See K. A. Kopotun [KOP] and K. G. Ivanov and B. Popov [IP] where similar constructions have been used. \blacksquare

We apply Lemma 2.2 to the polynomials q_j and the points $\{\xi_j\}$ and denote by g_0 the resulting C^0 piecewise quadratic. We note that on each interval $[\xi_j, \xi_{j+1}]$, the function g_0 is identical with one of the polynomials q_j or q_{j+1} .

Now g_0 has many of the desired properties but its breakpoints ξ_j are (near but) not exactly the z_j . We are going to modify g_0 to obtain a piecewise quadratic g which has all the desired approximation properties and, in addition, has its break points exactly at the z_j . We let r_j be the (convex) quadratic which interpolates g_0 at z_i , $i = j - 1, j, j + 1$, $j = 1, \dots, n - 1$. We apply Lemma 2.2 to the polynomials r_j and the interpolation points z_j to obtain a C^0 piecewise quadratic g with breakpoints at the z_j , $j = 1, \dots, n - 1$.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $f \in L_p[-1, 1], 0 < p \leq \infty$, be convex. Then, the continuous convex piecewise quadratic g on the partition \mathbf{Z}_n defined above satisfies*

$$(2.4) \quad \|f - g\|_{L_p(J_j)} \leq CE([z_{j-4}, z_{j+5}]), \quad j = 0, \dots, n - 1.$$

Proof. On the interval J_j , g is identical with r_j or with r_{j+1} . In the case $j = 0$, this choice is r_1 and in the case $j = n - 1$, this choice is r_{n-1} . We shall first estimate $\|f - r_j\|_{L_p(J_j)}, 1 \leq j \leq n - 1$. From Lemma 2.1 we have

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \|f - r_j\|_{L_p(J_j)} &\leq C(\|f - q_j\|_{L_p(J_j)} + \|q_j - r_j\|_{L_p(J_j)}) \\ &\leq C(E(\bar{J}_j) + \|q_j - r_j\|_{L_p(J_j)}). \end{aligned}$$

For the sake of convenience in notation in the proof below, we need to set $q_n := q_{n-1}$ and $q_{-1} := q_0 := q_1$. Now recall that at each point $z_i, i = j - 1, j, j + 1, r_j$ interpolates the value $g_0(z_i)$ and this value is the same as either $q_{i-1}(z_i)$ or $q_i(z_i)$. Therefore, from Lemma 2.1,

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \|q_j - r_j\|_{L_p(J_j)} &\leq C|J_j|^{-1/p} \|q_j - r_j\|_{L_\infty(J_j)} \leq C|J_j|^{-1/p} \max_{i=j-1, j, j+1} |q_j(z_i) - r_j(z_i)| \\ &\leq C|J_j|^{-1/p} \max_{j-2 \leq k \leq j+1} \|q_j - q_k\|_{L_\infty[z_{j-1}, z_{j+1}]} \\ &\leq C \max_{j-2 \leq k \leq j+1} \|q_j - q_k\|_{L_p[z_{j-1}, z_{j+1}]} \\ &\leq C(\|f - q_j\|_{L_p[z_{j-1}, z_{j+1}]} + \max_{j-2 \leq k \leq j+1} \|f - q_k\|_{L_p[z_{j-1}, z_{j+1}]}) \\ &\leq C(E(\bar{J}_j) + \max_{j-2 \leq k \leq j+1} E(\bar{J}_k)) \leq E([z_{j-4}, z_{j+4}]). \end{aligned}$$

Using this in (2.5) shows that for $j = 1, \dots, n - 1$,

$$(2.7) \quad \|f - r_j\|_{L_p(J_j)} \leq CE([z_{j-4}, z_{j+4}]).$$

The same analysis with r_j replaced by r_{j+1} gives for $j = 0, \dots, n - 2$,

$$\|f - r_{j+1}\|_{L_p(J_j)} \leq CE([z_{j-3}, z_{j+5}]).$$

We have therefore proved (2.4). ■

3. Construction of Convex Polynomials

In this section, we shall prove Theorem 1.1 on approximation by convex polynomials. We fix the convex function f and $0 < p < \infty$. To prove Theorem 1.1 we shall first approximate f by a convex C^0 piecewise quadratic g given by Theorem 2.3 and then approximate g by an algebraic polynomial. This method of proof has been used several times before but we shall follow most closely the constructions and notation in Kopotun [KOP] (although our notation does vary slightly from Kopotun's especially in the ordering of the knots).

Let $x_{n,j} := \cos[(n - j)/n]\pi, j = 0, \dots, n, x_{n,j} := -1, j < 0$, and $x_{n,j} := 1, j > n$. We will apply the results of Section 2 with the $z_j = x_{n,j}$ for all j . We let $J_{n,j}, \bar{J}_{n,j}$, etc., be the obvious analogues of the intervals in that section. Note that the ratios $|J_{n,j \pm 1}|/|J_j|$

are bounded by a constant independent of n and j , so that the estimates of Section 2 hold with absolute constants (except for the dependence on p).

We shall use some results of Kopotun [KOP] on the approximation of truncated powers. To describe these, we let

$$\chi_{n,j} := \chi_{[x_{n,j},1]} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_{n,j} := \frac{|J_{n,j}|}{|x - x_{n,j}| + |J_{n,j}|}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n - 1.$$

Then Kopotun [KOP, Lemma 2] has constructed three sets of polynomials $\sigma_{n,j}$, $R_{n,j}$, and $\bar{R}_{n,j}$, $j = 1, \dots, n - 1$, of degrees not exceeding some fixed multiple of n , which approximate the truncated powers. Kopotun's applications were primarily in the L_∞ -norm. However, we shall need estimates in the L_p -norm, and as $p \rightarrow 0$, we need better and better estimates. The following estimates can be obtained from Kopotun's proof on a closer look. We shall not indicate the dependence of the polynomials on p and all constants C depend at most on p as $p \rightarrow 0$. The polynomials $\sigma_{n,j}$, $R_{n,j}$, and $\bar{R}_{n,j}$, $j = 1, \dots, n - 1$, are of degree not exceeding $\max\{1, 1/p\}50n$ and satisfy the following estimates:

$$(3.1) \quad |(x - x_{n,j})_+ - \sigma_{n,j}(x)| \leq C\psi_{n,j}^{\max\{1,1/p\}16} |J_{n,j}|, \quad x \in [-1, 1],$$

$$(3.2) \quad |(x - x_{n,j})_+^2 - R_{n,j}(x)| \leq C\psi_{n,j}^{\max\{1,1/p\}16} |J_{n,j}|^2, \quad x \in [-1, 1],$$

and

$$(3.3) \quad |(x - x_{n,j})_+^2 - \bar{R}_{n,j}(x)| \leq C\psi_{n,j}^{\max\{1,1/p\}16} |J_{n,j}|^2, \quad x \in [-1, 1].$$

Also, it is possible to prescribe an (sufficiently large) integer M so that for all n and all $j = 1, \dots, n - 1$,

$$(3.4) \quad (x_{n,j+1} - x_{n,j})\sigma''_{Mn,Mj}(x) - R''_{Mn,Mj}(x) \geq -2\chi_{n,j}(x), \quad x \in [-1, 1],$$

and

$$(3.5) \quad (x_{n,j} - x_{n,j-1})\sigma''_{Mn,Mj}(x) + \bar{R}''_{Mn,Mj}(x) \geq 2\chi_{n,j}(x), \quad x \in [-1, 1].$$

Note that

$$(3.6) \quad |J_{n,j}| \sim |J_{Mn,Mj}|,$$

with constants in this equivalence that are independent of n and $j = 0, \dots, n - 1$.

Now let g_n be the function of Theorem 2.3 for f and the points $\{x_{n,j}\}_{j=0}^n$. We can represent g_n as a sum of the truncated powers $(x - x_{n,j})_+$ and $(x - x_{n,j})_+^2$, $j = 1, \dots, n$. As in Kopotun [KOP], we shall classify the knots $x_{n,j}$ according to four types depending on the second-order divided differences of g_n :

$$(3.7) \quad a_{n,j} := [x_{n,j-1}, x_{n,j}, x_{n,j+1}]g_n, \quad j = 1, \dots, n - 1.$$

For the following comparisons, we define $a_{n,0} = a_{n,n} := \infty$.

Let $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$. We define $x_{n,j}$ to be of type I, if

$$(3.8) \quad a_{n,j+1} < a_{n,j} \leq a_{n,j-1}.$$

We define $x_{n,j}$ to be of type II, if

$$(3.9) \quad a_{n,j-1} < a_{n,j} \leq a_{n,j+1},$$

We define $x_{n,j}$ to be of type III, if

$$(3.10) \quad \max\{a_{n,j-1}, a_{n,j+1}\} < a_{n,j},$$

All other $x_{n,j}$'s are defined to be of type IV. Note that $x_{n,1}$ can only be of type I or type IV, and $x_{n,n-1}$ can only be of type II or type IV.

In order to represent g_n as a sum of truncated powers we define

$$A_{n,j} := a_{n,j} - a_{n,j+1}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n-2, \quad B_{n,j} := -A_{n,j-1}, \quad j = 2, \dots, n-1,$$

and

$$A_{n,0} := [x_{n,0}, x_{n,1}]g - [x_{n,1}, x_{n,2}]g + [x_{n,0}, x_{n,2}]g.$$

It follows from the definitions of type that $A_{n,j} > 0$ for $x_{n,j}$ of types I or III and $B_{n,j} > 0$ if $x_{n,j}$ is of types II or III.

Using the divided difference representation of piecewise polynomials, we obtain (see [KOP]) the following representation for g_n for $x \in [-1, 1]$:

$$(3.11) \quad g_n(x) = g(-1) + A_{n,0}(x+1) + a_{n,1}(x+1)^2 + \sum_{x_{n,j} \in I \cup III} A_{n,j}((x_{n,j+1} - x_{n,j})(x - x_{n,j})_+ - (x - x_{n,j})_+^2) + \sum_{x_{n,j} \in II \cup III} B_{n,j}((x_{n,j} - x_{n,j-1})(x - x_{n,j})_+ + (x - x_{n,j})_+^2).$$

We shall next estimate $A_{n,j}$. We fix n and let $g = g_n$ and $z_j := x_{n,j}$ for all j . Let p_j be a best $L_p[z_{j-1}, z_{j+2}]$ approximation to f by quadratic polynomials. We recall, that on each interval $J_j := [z_j, z_{j+1}]$, g is either r_j or r_{j+1} with the r_j the polynomials of Section 2. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} |A_{n,j}| &= (z_{j+2} - z_{j-1}) |[z_{j-1}, z_j, z_{j+1}, z_{j+2}](g - p_j)| \\ &\leq C |J_j|^{-2} \|g - p_j\|_{L_\infty[z_{j-1}, z_{j+2}]} \leq C |J_j|^{-2} \max_{j-1 \leq i \leq j+2} \|r_i - p_j\|_{L_\infty[z_{j-1}, z_{j+2}]} \\ &\leq C |J_j|^{-2-1/p} \max_{j-1 \leq i \leq j+2} \|r_i - p_j\|_{L_p[z_{j-1}, z_{j+2}]}. \end{aligned}$$

We write $r_i - p_j = f - p_j - (f - r_i)$ and use (2.7) to obtain

$$|A_{n,j}| \leq C |J_j|^{-2-1/p} E([z_{j-5}, z_{j+6}]),$$

where the constant C depends at most on p as $p \rightarrow 0$. With the notation $J_{j,n}^* := [x_{n,j-6}, x_{n,j+6}]$, we obtain

$$(3.12) \quad |A_{n,j}|, |B_{n,j}| \leq C |J_j|^{-2-1/p} E(J_{j,n}^*).$$

As our approximation to f , we take the polynomial

$$\begin{aligned} P_n(x) &:= g(-1) + A_{n,0}(x+1) + a_{n,1}(x+1)^2 \\ &+ \sum_{x_{n,j} \in I \cup III} A_{n,j}((x_{n,j+1} - x_{n,j})\sigma_{Mn,Mj}(x) - R_{Mn,Mj}(x)) \\ &+ \sum_{x_{n,j} \in II \cup III} B_{n,j}((x_{n,j} - x_{n,j-1})\sigma_{Mn,Mj}(x) + \bar{R}_{Mn,Mj}(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Note that P_n is a polynomial of degree at most $50Mn \max(1, 1/p)$ and that we keep the $A_{n,j}$'s and $B_{n,j}$'s and the knots $x_{n,j}$ at level n while taking the polynomials σ , R , and \bar{R} at the level Mn . However, one should observe that $x_{n,j} = x_{Mn,Mj}$ for all $j = 0, \dots, n$. Now by virtue of (3.4) and (3.5), it follows that

$$P_n''(x) \geq g_n''(x) \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in [-1, 1], \quad x \neq x_{n,j}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n-1.$$

Thus P_n is convex on $[-1, 1]$.

We next estimate $\|g_n - P_n\|_{L_p[-1,1]}$ in the case $1 \leq p < \infty$. We claim

$$\begin{aligned} (3.13) \quad \|g_n - P_n\|_{L_p[-1,1]}^p &= \int_{-1}^1 \left| \sum_{x_{n,j} \in \text{I} \cup \text{III}} A_{n,j} [(x_{n,j+1} - x_{n,j})((x - x_{n,j})_+ - \sigma_{Mn,Mj}(x)) \right. \\ &\quad - ((x - x_{n,j})_+^2 - R_{Mn,Mj}(x))] \\ &\quad + \sum_{x_{n,j} \in \text{II} \cup \text{III}} B_{n,j} [(x_{n,j} - x_{n,j-1})((x - x_{n,j})_+ - \sigma_{Mn,Mj}(x)) \\ &\quad \left. + ((x - x_{n,j})_+^2 - \bar{R}_{Mn,Mj}(x))] \right|^p dx \\ &\leq C \int_{-1}^1 \left[\sum_{x_{n,j} \in \text{I} \cup \text{III}} |A_{n,j}| |J_{Mn,Mj}|^2 \psi_{Mn,Mj}^{16}(x) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{x_{n,j} \in \text{II} \cup \text{III}} |B_{n,j}| |J_{Mn,Mj}|^2 \psi_{Mn,Mj}^{16}(x) \right]^p dx \\ &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} |J_{n,j}|^{-1} E(J_{n,j}^*)^p \int_{-1}^1 \psi_{Mn,Mj}^{16}(x) dx \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} E(J_{n,j}^*)^p. \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, the first inequality in (3.13) uses (3.1), (3.2), and (3.3), the second inequality uses Jensen's inequality and our estimates (3.12) for the $A_{n,j}$ and the $B_{n,j}$, and the last inequality uses the straightforward estimate

$$\int_{-1}^1 \psi_{n,j}^{16} dx \leq C |J_{n,j}|.$$

The estimate (3.13) also holds for $0 < p < 1$ with the only change in the proof is that one uses the subadditivity of $\|\cdot\|_{L_p[-1,1]}^p$.

Analogous to (3.13), we obtain the estimate

$$(3.14) \quad \|f - g_n\|_{L_p[-1,1]}^p \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} E(J_{n,j}^*)^p,$$

by adding the local estimates (2.4).

Therefore, we have

$$(3.15) \quad \|f - P_n\|_{L_p[-1,1]}^p \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} E(J_{n,j}^*)^p,$$

By Whitney's theorem (1.5), we have

$$(3.16) \quad E(J) \leq C\omega_3(f, |J|; J)_p$$

for each interval J and with a constant depending only on p as $p \rightarrow 0$. Also, it is proved in [DLY] (see, e.g., (4.1) and (4.5) there) that for any interval $J \in [-1, 1]$

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{aligned} \omega_3(f, |J|; J)_p^p &\leq C \int_J \int_0^{|J|/\varphi(x)} \frac{\varphi(x)}{|J|} |\Delta_{h\varphi(x)}^3(f, x; J)|^p dh dx \\ &\leq Cn \int_0^{C_2/n} \int_J |\Delta_{h\varphi(x)}^3(f, x; J)|^p dx dh. \end{aligned}$$

Note that in [DLY], (3.17) is only stated for $0 < p < 1$ but the proof is the same for all p . Using (3.16) and (3.17) in (3.15), we obtain

$$\|f - P_n\|_{L_p[-1,1]}^p \leq Cn \int_0^{C_2/n} \int_{-1}^1 |\Delta_{h\varphi(x)}^3(f, x)|^p dx dh \leq C\omega_3^\varphi(f, 1/n)_p^p,$$

where we have used the fact that any $x \in [-1, 1]$ appears in at most 12 of the intervals $J_{j,n}^*$. We have therefore proved Theorem 1.1. ■

4. Smoothing Lemmas and the Proof of Theorem 1.2

In Theorem 2.3 of Section 2, we have constructed a convex C^0 piecewise quadratic with good approximation properties. In this section, we shall use this piecewise quadratic and certain methods of smoothing to prove Theorem 1.2.

The following two lemmas will be used to eliminate discontinuities of piecewise polynomials:

Lemma 4.1. *Let $a < 0 < b$, and let $h(x) := \beta x_+$ with $\beta \geq 0$. Then there exists a unique C^1 quadratic spline s on $[a, b]$ such that the only breakpoint of s in (a, b) is a simple knot at 0 and*

$$(4.1) \quad s^{(j)}(a) = h^{(j)}(a), \quad s^{(j)}(b) = h^{(j)}(b), \quad j = 0, 1,$$

and

$$(4.2) \quad s^{(j)}(x) \geq 0, \quad x \in [a, b], \quad x \neq 0, \quad j = 0, 1, 2.$$

Moreover,

$$(4.3) \quad \|s - h\|_{L_p[a,b]} \leq C \|h\|_{L_p[a,b]} \leq C\beta b^{1+1/p},$$

where C depends only on the ratio $|a|/b$, and on p if $p \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. Any C^1 quadratic spline on $[a, b]$, with the only knot at 0, can be represented in the form

$$s(x) = k_0 + k_1(x - a) + k_2(x - a)^2 + k_3x_+^2.$$

Simple computations show that the only such spline which also satisfies (4.1), is given by

$$s(x) = -\frac{\beta b}{2a(b - a)}(x - a)^2 + \frac{\beta(a + b)}{2ab}x_+^2.$$

Now,

$$s''(x) = \begin{cases} -\frac{\beta b}{a(b-a)}, & x < 0, \\ -\frac{\beta a}{b(b-a)}, & x > 0, \end{cases}$$

whence $s'' \geq 0$ on $[a, b]$. Also, s' is piecewise linear (with breakpoint at 0) such that $s'(a) = 0$, $s'(0) = \beta b/(b-a) \geq 0$, and $s'(b) = h'(b) = \beta \geq 0$. Thus $s' \geq 0$ on $[a, b]$ and so s is nondecreasing. Since $s(a) = 0$, we have $s \geq 0$ on $[a, b]$ and the proof of (4.2) is complete.

In order to prove (4.3) we write

$$\begin{aligned} \|s\|_{L_p[a,b]} &\leq (b-a)^{1/p} \|s\|_{C[a,b]} = (b-a)^{1/p} s(b) \\ &= \beta b(b-a)^{1/p} \leq C\beta b^{1+1/p} = C\|h\|_{L_p[a,b]}, \end{aligned}$$

and (4.3) readily follows. ■

Lemma 4.2. *Let $a < 0 < b$, $z_1 = 0$, $0 < z_2 < b$, and let $h(x) := \gamma x_+^2$ with $\gamma \geq 0$. Then there exists a unique C^2 cubic spline s on $[a, b]$ such that the only breakpoints of s in (a, b) are two simple knots z_1 and z_2 and*

$$(4.4) \quad s^{(j)}(a) = h^{(j)}(a), \quad s^{(j)}(b) = h^{(j)}(b), \quad j = 0, 1, 2.$$

Moreover, we have

$$(4.5) \quad s^{(j)}(x) \geq 0, \quad x \in [a, b], \quad j = 0, 1, 2,$$

and

$$(4.6) \quad \|s - h\|_{L_p[a,b]} \leq C\|h\|_{L_p[a,b]} \leq C\gamma b^{2+1/p},$$

where C depends on the ratio $|a|/b$, and also on p if $p \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. As in the proof of the previous lemma, straightforward computations show that the only C^2 cubic spline on $[a, b]$, with knots at $z_1 = 0$ and z_2 , $0 < z_2 < b$, which satisfies (4.4), is

$$\begin{aligned} s(x) &= -\frac{\gamma b z_2}{3a(b-a)(z_2-a)}(x-a)^3 + \frac{\gamma(ab + az_2 + bz_2)}{3abz_2}x_+^3 \\ &\quad + \frac{\gamma ab}{3z_2(z_2-a)(b-z_2)}(x-z_2)_+^3. \end{aligned}$$

We observe that s'' is piecewise linear (with breakpoints at 0 and at z_2) such that $s''(a) = 0$,

$$s''(0) = \frac{2\gamma b z_2}{(b-a)(z_2-a)} \geq 0, \quad s''(z_2) = \frac{2\gamma(b^2 - ab - az_2)}{b(b-a)} \geq 0$$

and $s''(b) = h''(b) = 2\gamma \geq 0$. Thus $s'' \geq 0$ on $[a, b]$, which together with $s'(a) = 0$, implies that $s' \geq 0$ on $[a, b]$. Finally, the latter implies that s is nondecreasing and together with $s(a) = 0$ we get that $s \geq 0$ on $[a, b]$. This completes the proof of (4.5).

In order to prove (4.6) we write

$$\begin{aligned} \|s\|_{L_p[a,b]} &\leq (b-a)^{1/p} \|s\|_{C[a,b]} = (b-a)^{1/p} s(b) \\ &= \gamma b^2(b-a)^{1/p} \leq C\gamma b^{2+1/p} = C\|h\|_{L_p[a,b]}. \end{aligned}$$

This evidently yields (4.6). ■

The following theorem will prove the case of Theorem 1.2 dealing with C^1 piecewise quadratics. We use the notation of the introduction and Section 2. Given the partition $\mathbf{T}_n = \{t_j\}_{j=0}^n$, we recall our notation $I_j^{(1)} := [t_{j-1}, t_{j+1}]$.

Theorem 4.3. *For each partition \mathbf{T}_n , there is a convex, C^1 piecewise quadratic G on \mathbf{T}_n that satisfies*

$$(4.7) \quad \|f - G\|_{L_p(I_j)} \leq CE(I_j^{(1)}), \quad j = 0, \dots, n - 1,$$

where C depends on $\max_{0 \leq j \leq n} (|I_{j+1}|/|I_j|)$ and on p as $p \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. We define $z_j := t_{2j}, j = 0, \dots, m$, with $m := [(n + 1)/2]$ and let $\mathbf{Z}_n := \{z_j\}_{j=0}^m$, and we let the intervals $I_j := [t_j, t_{j+1}]$ correspond to the partition \mathbf{T}_n and the intervals $J_j := [z_j, z_{j+1}]$ correspond to the partition \mathbf{Z}_n . Let g be the convex, C^0 , piecewise quadratic of Theorem 2.3 for the partition \mathbf{Z}_n . We shall use Lemma 4.1 to add a knot t_{2j+1} on each interval $[z_j, z_{j+1}]$ and to create a C^1 piecewise quadratic G which has the desired properties. On each interval $J_j, g = g_j$ with g_j either r_j or r_{j+1} ; the quadratic polynomials r_j are defined in Section 2 preceding Theorem 2.3.

We consider g on any interval $K_j := [t_{2j-1}, t_{2j+1}]$. Since g is continuous with only one breakpoint (at t_{2j}) on this interval, we have

$$g(x) = g_{j-1}(x) + \alpha_j(x - z_j)_+^2 + \beta_j(x - z_j)_+, \quad x \in K_j.$$

Since g is convex, we have $\beta_j \geq 0$. We apply Lemma 4.1 to obtain a C^1 piecewise quadratic s_j on K_j with a simple knot at z_j that approximates $\beta_j(x - z_j)_+$ as described in that lemma. We then define

$$G(x) = g_{j-1}(x) + \alpha_j(x - z_j)_+^2 + s_j(x), \quad x \in K_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, n - 1$$

and $G(x) = g(x)$ for all other $x \in [-1, 1]$. Because of (4.1), G is in C^1 and is a piecewise quadratic on the partition \mathbf{T}_n . Because $g_{j-1}(x) + \alpha_j(x - z_j)_+^2$ has a nonnegative second derivative, property (4.2) guarantees that G is convex on each K_j . Because G is in C^1 , it is convex on $[-1, 1]$.

To complete the proof of the theorem, we need only show that

$$(4.8) \quad \|g - G\|_{L_p(K_j)} \leq CE(|z_{j-5}, z_{j+5}|).$$

According to (4.3), we have

$$(4.9) \quad \|g - G\|_{L_p(K_j)} = \|\beta_j(\cdot - z_j)_+ - s_j\|_{L_p(K_j)} \leq C\beta_j|I_{2j}|^{1+1/p}.$$

We now estimate β_j in order to prove (4.8).

We have

$$\begin{aligned} |\beta_j| &= |g'_j(z_j) - g'_{j-1}(z_j)| \leq \|g'_j - g'_{j-1}\|_{L_\infty(J_{j-1})} \\ &\leq C|z_j - z_{j-1}|^{-1} \|g_j - g_{j-1}\|_{L_\infty(J_{j-1})} \\ &\leq C|J_{j-1}|^{-(1+1/p)} \|g_j - g_{j-1}\|_{L_p(J_{j-1})} \\ &\leq C|J_{j-1}|^{-(1+1/p)} E(|z_{j-5}, z_{j+5}|), \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is derived as in (2.4). Using this in (4.9) gives (4.8). ■

Remark. We have already mentioned in the Introduction that Theorem 4.3 for a partition \mathbf{T}_n of equidistant knots and approximation in the max-norm was proved by Ivanov and Popov [IP]. They inserted two additional knots between any two knots of the piecewise quadratic g . That it suffices to insert one knot between any two knots of g , was first pointed out to us by Dietrich Braess.

Finally, we consider the case of approximation by C^2 piecewise cubics. For the partition $\mathbf{T}_n := (t_j)$, we let $I_j^{(2)} := [t_{j-49}, t_{j+49}]$.

Theorem 4.4. *For any partition \mathbf{T}_n , there is a convex C^2 cubic spline s on \mathbf{T}_n , such that,*

$$(4.10) \quad \|f - s\|_{L_p(I_j)} \leq CE(I_j^{(2)}), \quad j = 0, \dots, n - 1,$$

where C depends on $\max_{0 \leq j \leq n} (|I_{j \pm 1}|/|I_j|)$ and on p as $p \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. We define $\mathbf{Z}_n := \{z_j\}_{j=0}^m$ where $z_j := t_{4j}$, $j = 0, \dots, m$, and $m := \lfloor (n + 3)/4 \rfloor$. According to Theorem 4.3 (for the partition \mathbf{Z}_n), there is a convex, C^1 piecewise quadratic G defined on \mathbf{Z}_n , which satisfies the conditions of that theorem. We will use Lemma 4.2 to smooth G at the interior knots z_j , $j = 1, \dots, m - 1$. We let $G =: G_j$ on $J_j := [z_j, z_{j+1}]$. Then, each G_j is a convex quadratic polynomial and we have

$$G_j(x) - G_{j-1}(x) = \gamma_j(x - z_j)^2.$$

If $\gamma_j \geq 0$, then for $K_j := [t_{4j-2}, t_{4j+2}]$ and $j = 1, \dots, m - 1$, we have

$$G(x) = G_{j-1}(x) + \gamma_j(x - z_j)_+^2, \quad x \in K_j.$$

In this case, we let s_j be the C^2 piecewise cubic with simple knots at t_{4j}, t_{4j+1} given by Lemma 4.2 for the function $h_j(x) = \gamma_j(x - z_j)_+^2$ and $a = t_{4j-2}$, $b = t_{4j+2}$. We define

$$s(x) = G_{j-1}(x) + s_j(x), \quad x \in K_j.$$

Note that $s_j(x)$ has its simple knots at t_{4j}, t_{4j+1} and matches $\gamma_j(x - z_j)_+^2$ in value and first and second derivatives at the points t_{4j-2} and t_{4j+2} . Note also that in the case $j = m - 1$, the points t_{4j+1} and t_{4j+2} may both equal one. In this case, we set $s_{m-1} := 0$.

If $\gamma_j < 0$, we have

$$G(x) = G_j(x) - \gamma_j(z_j - x)_+^2, \quad x \in K_j.$$

In this case, we let s_j be the C^2 piecewise cubic of Lemma 4.2 with the simple knots at z_j and $2z_j - t_{4j-1}$ for the function $h_j(x) = -\gamma_j(x - z_j)_+^2$ and $a = 2z_j - t_{4j+2}$, $b = 2z_j - t_{4j-2}$. We define

$$s(x) := G_j(x) + s_j(2z_j - x), \quad x \in K_j.$$

Note that $s_j(2z_j - x)$ has its simple knots at t_{4j-1}, t_{4j} and matches $-\gamma_j(z_j - x)_+^2$ in value and first and second derivatives at the points t_{4j-2} and t_{4j+2} .

Because of (4.4), s is a C^2 piecewise cubic spline on the partition \mathbf{T}_n . Also, s_j is convex because of (4.5). Hence, s is also convex on $[-1, 1]$. On the interval K_j , we have

from (4.7) and (4.6),

$$(4.11) \quad \|f - s\|_{L_p(K_j)} \leq \|f - G\|_{L_p(K_j)} + \|G - s\|_{L_p(K_j)} \\ \leq CE([z_{j-12}, z_{j+11}]) + C|\gamma_j| |t_{4j+2} - t_{4j-2}|^{2+1/p}.$$

To complete the proof, we need to estimate $|\gamma_j|$. We have

$$(4.12) \quad 2|\gamma_j| = |G_j''(z_j) - G_{j-1}''(z_j)| \\ = \|G_j'' - G_{j-1}''\|_{L_\infty(J_j)} \\ \leq C|J_j|^{-2} \|G_j - G_{j-1}\|_{L_\infty(J_j)} \\ \leq C|J_j|^{-2-1/p} \|G_j - G_{j-1}\|_{L_p(J_j)}.$$

We write $G_j - G_{j-1} = (f - G_{j-1}) - (f - G_j)$ to find

$$\|G_j - G_{j-1}\|_p \leq C(\|f - G_j\|_{L_p(J_j)} + \|f - G_{j-1}\|_{L_p(J_j)}) \\ \leq CE([z_{j-11}, z_{j+11}]) + E([z_{j-12}, z_{j+10}]),$$

where we have used (4.7) and **F2**. If we use this last inequality in (4.12) and then in (4.11), we obtain

$$\|f - s\|_{L_p(K_j)} \leq CE([z_{j-12}, z_{j+11}]).$$

Recalling that $z_j = t_{4j}$ and $K_j = [t_{4j-2}, t_{4j+2}]$, we see that we have proved (4.10). ■

Acknowledgment. The authors thank the referee for suggesting a significant improvement of the proof of Lemma 4.2, which made it both shorter and simpler than the authors' original proof. The first and third authors were supported in part by the BSF Grant 89-00505. The second author was partially supported by the Faculty Research Committee of Georgia Southern University.

References

- [DLE] R. A. DEVORE, D. LEVIATAN (1993): *Convex polynomial approximation in L_p* ($0 < p < 1$). *J. Approx. Theory*, **75**:79–84.
- [DLY] R. A. DEVORE, D. LEVIATAN, X. M. YU (1992): *Polynomial approximation in L_p* ($0 < p < 1$). *Constr. Approx.*, **8**:187–201.
- [DLO] R. A. DEVORE, G. G. LORENTZ (1993): *Constructive Approximation*. Grundlehren Series, Vol. 303, New York: Springer-Verlag.
- [DSH] R. A. DEVORE, R. C. SHARPLEY (1984): *Maximal functions measuring smoothness*. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **293**:1–115.
- [DIT] Z. DITZIAN, V. TOTIK (1987): *Moduli of Smoothness*. New York: Springer-Verlag.
- [HLY] Y. K. HU, D. LEVIATAN, X. M. YU (1994): *Convex polynomial and spline approximation in $C[-1, 1]$* . *Constr. Approx.*, **10**:31–64.
- [IP] K. G. IVANOV, B. POPOV (1996): *On convex approximation by quadratic splines*. *J. Approx. Theory*, **85**:110–114.
- [KOP] K. A. KOPOTUN (1994): *Pointwise and uniform estimates for convex approximation of functions by algebraic polynomials*. *Constr. Approx.*, **10**:153–178.
- [LY] D. LEVIATAN, X. M. YU (1986): *Shape preserving approximation in L^p* . Preprint.

- [SVE] A. S. ŠVEDOV (1981): *Orders of coapproximation of functions by algebraic polynomials*. Translation from *Mat. Zametki*, **29**:117–130. *Math. Notes*, **29**:63–70.
- [Y] X. M. YU (1987): *Convex polynomial approximation in L_p spaces*. *Approx. Theory Appl.*, **3**:72–83.

R. A. DeVore
Department of Mathematics
University of South Carolina
Columbia
SC 29208
USA

Y. K. Hu
Department of Mathematics and Computer Science
Georgia Southern University
Statesboro
GA 30460-8093
USA

D. Leviatan
Department of Mathematics
Raymond and Beverly Sackler Faculty of Exact Sciences
Tel Aviv University
Tel Aviv 69978
Israel