Equivariant Cohomology and the Pattern Map Combinatorics of Symmetric Functions AMS Meeting in Athens, GA, 5 March 2016



### Frank Sottile

sottile@math.tamu.edu



H. Praise Adeyemo ph.adeyemo@ui.edu.ng



arXiv.org/1506.04411

### Equivariant Cohomology of Flag Manifolds

The (torus) equivariant cohomology of flag manifold  $\mathcal{F} = G/B$  has three algebraic/combinatorial presentations.

• Borel:  $H_T^*(\mathcal{F}) = S \otimes_{S^W} S$ , where W is the Weyl group S = symmetric algebra of character group of T (polynomials).

• GKM:  $H_T^*(\mathcal{F}) \subset \operatorname{Functions}(W, S)$ , subspace of  $\phi$  satisfying GKM-relations:

For every edge  $u \rightarrow v$  in the moment graph,  $\phi(v) - \phi(u)$  is divisible by v - u, the linear form giving the edge direction.



### Schubert Basis

• Schubert:  $H_T^*(\mathcal{F}) = \bigoplus_{w \in W} S \cdot \mathfrak{S}_w$ , where  $\mathfrak{S}_w$  is the equivariant class of a Schubert variety,  $X_w$ .

Schubert classes have (known) expressions in the other presentations, which generalize Schur polynomials.

Expanding  $\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha} \cdot \mathfrak{S}_{\beta}$  in the Schubert basis for  $H^*_T(\mathcal{F})$ ,

$$\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha} \cdot \mathfrak{S}_{\beta} = \sum_{\gamma \in W} c_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma} \mathfrak{S}_{\gamma},$$

defines equivariant Schubert structure constants  $c_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma} \in S$ .

These generalizations of Littlewood-Richardson coefficients are positive in the sense of Graham.

### Geometry of Permutation Patterns

Billey-Braden ('03): G: Semisimple linear algebraic group. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the flag variety of G, parametrizing Borel subgroups. Let  $\eta \in G$  be semisimple. Set  $G_{\eta} := Z_G(\eta)$ .

 $B \mapsto B_{\eta} := B \cap G_{\eta}$  defines the geometric pattern map,  $\pi_{\eta}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}^{\eta} := \{B \in \mathcal{F} \mid \eta \in B\} \xrightarrow{\pi_{\eta}} \mathcal{F}_{\eta} := G_{\eta}/B_{\eta}.$ Let  $W, W_{\eta}$  be the Weyl groups of  $G, G_{\eta}$ . If  $\pi_{\eta} : W \to W_{\eta}$  is

the Billey-Postnikov generalised pattern map, then we have

Theorem [BB].  $\pi_{\eta}(X_w \cap \mathcal{F}^{\eta}) = X_{\pi_{\eta}(w)}$ .

 $\mathbb{F}\ell(2) \times \mathbb{F}\ell(2) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{F}\ell(4)$ 

Set 
$$\eta = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha I_2 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta I_2 \end{pmatrix}$$
, so that  $GL(4)_{\eta} = GL(2) \times GL(2)$ .

 $\mathbb{F}\ell(4)_{\eta} = GL(4)_{\eta}/B_{\eta} = \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}.$ Moment graph of  $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$  is a square.

$$\mathbb{F}\ell(4)^\eta$$
 is six  $= {4 \choose 2}$  copies of  $\mathbb{P}^1{ imes}\mathbb{P}^1$ .

Each section  $\iota_{\varsigma} \colon \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{F}\ell(4)^{\eta}$ of pattern map is given by a shuffle  $\varsigma$ , which is a minimal right coset representative of  $W_{\eta}$  in W.

We compute  $\iota_{c}^{*}$  in each of the three presentations.



## Pattern Map: Borel & GKM

In the Borel presentation,  $H_T^*(\mathcal{F}) = S \otimes_{S^W} S$ , the left copy of S is the coefficient ring  $H_T^*(pt)$ , and the right copy is generated by equivariant Chern classes.

Given a section of the pattern map  $\iota_{\varsigma}$ , we have

$$\iota_{\varsigma}^*(f \otimes g) = f \otimes \varsigma(g) \in S \otimes_{S^{W_{\eta}}} S = H_T^*(\mathcal{F}_{\eta}).$$

In the GKM presentation, the map  $\iota_{\varsigma}^*$ : Functions $(W, S) \rightarrow$ Functions $(W_{\eta}, S)$  is simply restriction of functions:

$$\iota_{\varsigma}^*(\phi)(v) = \phi(\iota_{\varsigma}(v)) = \phi(v\varsigma),$$

for  $\phi \colon W \to S$  and  $v \in W_{\eta}$ .

#### Frank Sottile, Texas A&M University

Pattern Map: Schubert Basis

Expanding  $\iota_{\varsigma}^*\mathfrak{S}_w$  in the Schubert basis for  $H_T^*(\mathcal{F}_\eta)$ ,

$$\iota_{\varsigma}^*\mathfrak{S}_w = \sum_{v \in W_{\eta}} d_{w,\varsigma}^v \mathfrak{S}_v,$$

defines decomposition coefficients  $d_{w,\varsigma}^v \in S$ .

Using the formula  $\pi_\eta(X_w\cap \mathcal{F}^\eta) = X_{\pi_\eta(w)}$ , we obtain

Theorem.  $d_{w,\varsigma}^v = c_{w,\varsigma}^{v\varsigma}$ 

### Algorithm:

Expand the product  $\mathfrak{S}_w \cdot \mathfrak{S}_{\varsigma}$  in Schubert basis for  $H_T^*(\mathcal{F})$ . Restrict to terms of the form  $\mathfrak{S}_{v\varsigma}$  for  $v \in W_{\eta}$ . Replace  $\mathfrak{S}_{v\varsigma}$  by  $\mathfrak{S}_v$  to obtain formula for  $\iota_{\varsigma}^*(\mathfrak{S}_w)$ .

#### Frank Sottile, Texas A&M University

# Example

$$\begin{split} G &= C_4, \ S = \mathbb{Q}[t_1, \dots, t_4], \ G_\eta = A_3, \ \text{and} \ \varsigma = \overline{2} \,\overline{1} \,3 \,4 \\ \mathfrak{C}_{3\,\overline{1}\,4\,2} \cdot \mathfrak{C}_{\overline{2}\,\overline{1}\,3\,4} &= \ 2(t_1^2 + t_1 t_3) \mathfrak{C}_{\overline{3}\,\overline{1}\,4\,2} \ + \ 2(t_1 + t_3) \mathfrak{C}_{\overline{1}\,\overline{3}\,4\,2} \\ &+ \ 2t_1 \mathfrak{C}_{\overline{4}\,\overline{1}\,3\,2} \ + \ 2(t_1 + t_2 + t_3) \mathfrak{C}_{\overline{3}\,\overline{2}\,4\,1} \\ &+ \ 2(t_1 + t_2) \mathfrak{C}_{3\,\overline{2}\,4\,\overline{1}} \ + \ \mathfrak{C}_{\overline{3}\,\overline{2}\,4\,\overline{1}} \ + \ 2\mathfrak{C}_{2\,\overline{3}\,4\,\overline{1}} \\ &+ \ 2\mathfrak{C}_{\overline{4}\,\overline{3}\,1\,2} \ + \ 2\mathfrak{C}_{\overline{2}\,\overline{3}\,4\,1} \ + \ 2\mathfrak{C}_{\overline{4}\,\overline{2}\,3\,1} \ . \end{split}$$

As only the first and last four indices have the form  $v\varsigma$ ,

$$\iota_{\varsigma}^{*}(\mathfrak{C}_{3\overline{1}42}) = 2(t_{1}^{2} + t_{1}t_{3})\mathfrak{S}_{1342} + 2(t_{1} + t_{3})\mathfrak{S}_{3142} + 2t_{1}\mathfrak{S}_{1432} + 2(t_{1} + t_{2} + t_{3})\mathfrak{S}_{2341} + 2\mathfrak{S}_{3412} + 2\mathfrak{S}_{3241} + 2\mathfrak{S}_{4132} + 2\mathfrak{S}_{2431}.$$

#### Frank Sottile, Texas A&M University