

1. (25) Let $f : A \rightarrow B$. Suppose X_1 and X_2 are subsets of A , and Y_1 and Y_2 are subsets of B . Determine whether or not the statements below are true or false. If true, supply a proof, and if false, provide a counter example.

(a) $f(X_1 \cup X_2) = f(X_1) \cup f(X_2)$

This is a true statement. To verify that it is true suppose $y \in f(X_1 \cup X_2)$. Then there is an $x \in X_1 \cup X_2$ such that $f(x) = y$. Now $x \in X_1$ or $x \in X_2$. Thus, $y = f(x) \in f(X_1)$ or $y \in f(X_2)$. Thus, $y \in f(X_1) \cup f(X_2)$. Conversely suppose $y \in f(X_1) \cup f(X_2)$. Then $y \in f(X_1)$ or $y \in f(X_2)$. If $y \in f(X_1)$, then there is an $x \in X_1$ such that $f(x) = y$. But then $x \in X_1 \cup X_2$ and $y = f(x) \in f(X_1 \cup X_2)$. A similar statement is true if $x \in X_2$. Since both sides of the equality have been shown to be subsets of each other, the two sets are equal.

(b) $f^{-1}(Y_1 \cup Y_2) = f^{-1}(Y_1) \cup f^{-1}(Y_2)$

This is also a true statement. Suppose $x \in f^{-1}(Y_1 \cup Y_2)$. Then $f(x) \in Y_1 \cup Y_2$. Hence $f(x) \in Y_1$ or $f(x) \in Y_2$, or $x \in f^{-1}(Y_1)$ or $x \in f^{-1}(Y_2)$. Thus, $x \in f^{-1}(Y_1) \cup f^{-1}(Y_2)$. Now suppose that $x \in f^{-1}(Y_1) \cup f^{-1}(Y_2)$. Then $x \in f^{-1}(Y_1)$ or $x \in f^{-1}(Y_2)$. This means that $f(x) \in Y_1$ or $f(x) \in Y_2$. Hence $f(x) \in Y_1 \cup Y_2$, or $x \in f^{-1}(Y_1 \cup Y_2)$. This shows that the two sets are equal, since each is a subset of the other.

2. (25) Let $f : A \rightarrow R$ be defined by $f(x) = x^3 - x$, where A is defined below.

(a) If the domain A of f is the set R of all real numbers, show that f is not one-to-one.

The easiest way to see that f is not one-to-one is to exhibit two or more values of x for which $f(x)$ has the same value. Note that $x^3 - x = x(x^2 - 1)$. This expression has three distinct roots. That is, three different values of x for which $f(x) = 0$. They are -1 , 0 , and 1 .

(b) If the domain A of f is the set $\{x : x \geq 1\}$, show that f is one-to-one.

Suppose x_1 and x_2 are both greater than or equal to one, that they are not equal, and

$$x_1^3 - x_1 = x_2^3 - x_2 .$$

Then the following equations are true

$$\begin{aligned} x_1^3 - x_2^3 &= x_1 - x_2 \\ (x_1 - x_2)(x_1^2 + x_1x_2 + x_2^2) &= x_1 - x_2 \\ x_1^2 + x_1x_2 + x_2^2 &= 1 . \end{aligned}$$

However, this last equation cannot hold. Each of x_1 and x_2 is no smaller than 1, which implies $x_1^2 + x_1x_2 + x_2^2 \geq 3$, a clear contradiction of the last equality. Hence if $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$ we must have $x_1 = x_2$.

(c) If the domain A of f is the set $\{x : x \geq 1\}$, what is the image of f ?

We note that $f(1) = 0$, that $f'(x) = 3x^2 - 1 > 0$ on the set A . Thus, f is an increasing function, with limit ∞ as x tends to ∞ . Since f is continuous, the image of f must contain every real number greater than or equal to zero. That is, the image of f equals $[0, \infty)$.

(d) Let B denote your answer to part c. Thus, $f : \{x : x \geq 1\} \rightarrow B$ is one-to-one and onto, and has an inverse function. Let f^{-1} denote this inverse function. Which of the following are true?

i. $f^{-1}(0) = -1$

This is not true, since -1 does not belong to the domain of f .

ii. $f^{-1}(4) = 2$

If this was true then $f(2)$ must equal 4. However,

$$f(2) = 2^3 - 2 = 6 .$$

So $f^{-1}(4) \neq 2$. Moreover, this calculation shows that $f^{-1}(6) = 2$.

iii. $f^{-1}(6) = 2$

This is true as we saw in part ii.

3. (25) Let the collection of sets $\{P_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a partition of the set A . That is, no set P_i is the empty set, and

$$\begin{aligned} P_i \cap P_j &= \emptyset, \text{ if } i \neq j \\ \cup_{i \in I} P_i &= A \end{aligned}$$

Use this partition to define a relation R on the set A that is an equivalence relation such that the equivalence classes defined by R are the same sets P_i we started with. Be sure to prove your claims.

Define a relation R on the set A by aRb if and only if a and b are in the same set P_i . To see that R is an equivalence relation we need to show that it is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive. Since $\cup_{i \in I} P_i = A$, we know that for any $a \in A$ there is an i such that $a \in P_i$. Thus, aRa . Symmetry is obvious. For if aRb , then for some i a and b are in the set P_i , but then b and a are in the set P_i , and we have bRa . Suppose next that aRb and bRc . Then there is an i and j such that a and b are in P_i , and b and c are in P_j . This means that $b \in P_i \cap P_j$. Hence, since the collection of sets $\{P_i\}$ are mutually disjoint, we have $P_i = P_j$. Thus, a and c are in the same set, and we have aRc . This shows that R is an equivalence relation.

Now let $[a]$ denote the equivalence class generated by the relation R and the element a . Since $[a] = \{b \in A : aRb\} = \{b \in A : a \text{ and } b \text{ are in the same set } P_i\} = P_i$, where P_i is that one set, amongst all of the partitioning sets, that contains the point a . Thus, the equivalence classes are the same sets used to define the equivalence relation R .

4. (25) Let R be a relation on Z defined by mRn if $m - n = 3k$ for some integer k .

- (a) Show that R is an equivalence relation.

R is reflexive, since for any integer n , we have $n - n = 3 \cdot 0$. Thus, nRn . To see that R is symmetric suppose that nRm , then we have $n - m = 3k$ or $m - n = 3(-k)$. Thus, mRn . Finally suppose that mRn and nRp . That is, there are integers k_1 and k_2 such that

$$\begin{aligned} m - n &= 3k_1 \\ n - p &= 3k_2 \end{aligned}$$

Adding these two equations together we get

$$m - p = 3(k_1 + k_2).$$

Hence mRp and we see that R is an equivalence relation.

- (b) How many different equivalence classes does R define?

There are 3 different equivalence classes. They are

$$[0], [1], \text{ and } [2].$$

The easiest way to see this is the following: let n be any integer, then by the division algorithm we have integers q and r such that

$$n = 3q + r,$$

where $r = 0, 1, \text{ or } 2$. Thus, for any integer n , the number $n - r$ is divisible by 3, for r equal to one of 0, 1, or 2. This means that n belongs to one of the three equivalence classes $[0]$, $[1]$, or $[2]$. Moreover, it is clear that $r_1 - r_2$ can never be divisible by three when the r_i 's are 0, 1, or 2, unless they are equal. Thus, the three listed equivalence classes are all different.