

A yes or no answer or an answer with no justification will not be acceptable. Remember to write neatly, clearly, and in sentences.

1. (20) Define the following terms and/or symbols, and give an example of each. No example, no credit.

(a) contrapositive

---

**Ans:** If we have an implication  $P \rightarrow Q$ , its contrapositive is the implication  $\neg Q \rightarrow \neg P$ . As an example, the contrapositive of the statement, 'If 2 is less than 3, then 3 is less than 4', is the statement, 'if 3 is greater than or equal to 4 then 2 is greater than or equal to 3'.

---

(b) tautology

---

**Ans:** A tautology is a statement that is always true regardless of the truth values of its component statements. An example of a tautology is  $P \vee \neg P$ .

---

(c)  $A - B$ , where  $A$  and  $B$  are two sets

---

**Ans:**  $A - B$  is defined as the set of elements that are in  $A$  and not in  $B$ . If we let  $A = \{1, 2, 3\}$  and  $B = \{1, 2\}$ , then  $A - B = \{3\}$ .

---

(d)  $\bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} A_\gamma$

---

**Ans:** In this notation,  $\Gamma$  is referred to as an indexing set. That is, each member  $\gamma$  of  $\Gamma$  has a set associated with it,  $A_\gamma$ , and the notation  $\bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} A_\gamma$  represents the set which consists of all elements that are in at least one of the sets  $A_\gamma$ . For an example, let  $\Gamma = \{1, 2, 3\}$ ,  $A_1 = \{2\}$ ,  $A_2 = \{3\}$ , and  $A_3 = \{4\}$ . Then,  $\bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} A_\gamma = \{2, 3, 4\}$ .

---

2. (20) Is the following a tautology, a contradiction, or neither?

$$[(P \rightarrow Q) \wedge (Q \rightarrow P)] \rightarrow (P \wedge Q) \vee (\neg P \wedge \neg Q)$$

---

**Ans:** This is a tautology. One way to see this is to construct a truth table for this statement and verify that it is always true.

---

3. (20) Prove or disprove the following:

$$(A - B) - C = A - (B - C),$$

where  $A$ ,  $B$ , and  $C$  are sets.

---

**Ans:** This is not a true statement. To see how to construct a counter example notice that the LHS can contain no elements in the set  $C$ , while that is not true for the RHS. Thus, let  $A = \{1\}$ ,  $B = \{2\}$ , and  $C = \{1\}$ . Then  $(A - B) - C = \emptyset$ , and  $A - (B - C) = \{1\}$ .

---

4. (15) Assign a grade of A (correct), C (partially correct), F (wrong) to the following “proof”. Justify all grades other than an A.

Claim: Let  $\mathcal{N}$  denote the natural numbers. If  $X = \{x \in \mathcal{N} : x^2 < 14\}$  and  $Y = \{1, 2, 3\}$ , then  $X = Y$ .

Proof: Since  $1^2 = 1 < 14$ ,  $2^2 = 4 < 14$ , and  $3^2 = 9 < 14$ , we have  $X = Y$ .

---

**Ans:** I would give a grade of C. This proof is not complete. It does correctly show that the set  $Y$  is a subset of the set  $X$ . However, there is no indication that the author of this ‘proof’ ever worried about whether or not  $X$  is a subset of  $Y$ .

---

5. (15) Let  $A = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}(A)$  denote the power set of  $A$ . Which, if any, of the following are true statements? Be sure to explain.

(a)  $1 \in \mathcal{P}(A)$

---

**Ans:** This is not true. The element 1 is a member of  $A$ , but it is not a subset of  $A$ . Since  $\mathcal{P}(A)$  is defined to be the collection of all subsets of  $A$ , 1 does not belong to  $\mathcal{P}(A)$ .

---

(b)  $\{1, 2\} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(A)$

---

**Ans:** This is a false statement. Each of the elements 1 and 2 belong to  $A$ . Thus,  $\{1, 2\}$  is a subset of  $A$  and belongs to  $\mathcal{P}(A)$ . Thus, we should write  $\{1, 2\} \in \mathcal{P}(A)$ . When we write  $\{1, 2\} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(A)$ , we are saying that  $\{1, 2\}$  is a subset of  $\mathcal{P}(A)$ . This means we are saying that both of the elements 1 and 2 belong to  $\mathcal{P}(A)$ , and as we saw in part (a), this is not true.

---

(c)  $A \in \mathcal{P}(A)$ .

---

**Ans:** This is a true statement. Clearly every element of  $A$  belongs to  $A$ . Thus,  $A$  is a subset of itself, and  $A$  is a member of  $\mathcal{P}(A)$ .

---

6. (10) For each  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$  let  $A_\alpha$  be a set. In class we saw that the following set inclusion is true:

$$\bigcup_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{A}} (A_\alpha - A_\beta) \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} A_\alpha - \bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} A_\alpha.$$

Is it possible for the left hand side to be a proper subset of the right hand side or must the two sides always equal each other?

---

**Ans:** It is not possible for the LHS to be a proper subset of the RHS. The two sets are equal. To see this suppose  $x$  belongs to the RHS. Then there are  $\alpha_0$  and  $\beta_0$  such that  $x \in A_{\alpha_0}$  and  $x \notin A_{\beta_0}$ . Thus, we have  $x \in A_{\alpha_0} - A_{\beta_0}$ . Hence  $x$  belongs to the LHS also, and we have shown the RHS is a subset of the left hand side. Since we already know that the LHS is a subset of the RHS, the two sets are equal.

---