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#### Abstract

An infinite Markov system $\left\{f_{0}, f_{1}, \ldots\right\}$ of $C^{2}$ functions on $[a, b]$ has dense span in $C[a, b]$ if and only if there is an unbounded Bernstein inequality on every subinterval of $[a, b]$. That is if and only if, for each $[\alpha, \beta] \subset[a, b]$ and $\gamma>0$, we can find $g \in \operatorname{span}\left\{f_{0}, f_{1}, \ldots\right\}$ with $\left\|g^{\prime}\right\|_{[\alpha, \beta]}>\gamma\|g\|_{[a, b]}$. This is proved under the assumption $\left(f_{1} / f_{0}\right)^{\prime}$ does not vanish on $(a, b)$.


Extension to higher derivatives are also considered. An interesting consequence of this is that functions in the closure of the span of a non-dense $C^{2}$ Markov system are always $C^{n}$ on some subinterval.

The principal result of this paper will be a characterization of denseness of the span of a Markov system by whether or not it possesses an unbounded Bernstein Inequality. In order to make sense of this result we require the following definitions.

Definition 1 (Chebyshev System). Let $C[a, b]$ be the collection of the real valued continuous functions on $[a, b]$. Suppose that $\operatorname{span}\left\{f_{0}, \ldots, f_{n}\right\}$ over $\mathbb{R}$ is an $n+1$ dimensional subspace of $C[a, b]$. Then $\left\{f_{0}, \ldots, f_{n}\right\}$ is called a Chebyshev system of dimension $n+1$ if any element of $\operatorname{span}\left\{f_{0}, \ldots, f_{n}\right\}$ that has $n+1$ distinct zeros in $[a, b]$ is identically zero. If $\left\{f_{0}, \ldots, f_{n}\right\}$ is a Chebyshev system, then $\operatorname{span}\left\{f_{0}, \ldots, f_{n}\right\}$ is called a Chebyshev space.

Definition 2 (Markov System). We say that $\left\{f_{0}, \ldots, f_{n}\right\}$ is a Markov system on $[a, b]$ if each $f_{i} \in C[a, b]$ and $\left\{f_{0}, \ldots, f_{m}\right\}$ is a Chebyshev system for every $m \geq 0$. (We allow $n$ to tend to $+\infty$ in which case we call the system an infinite Markov system.) If $\left\{f_{0}, \cdots, f_{n}\right\}$ is a Markov system then $\operatorname{span}\left\{f_{0}, \ldots, f_{n}\right\}$ is called a Markov space.

Definition 3 (Unbounded Bernstein Inequality). Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a subset of
$C^{1}[a, b]$. We say that $\mathcal{A}$ has an everywhere unbounded Bernstein inequality if for every $[\alpha, \beta] \subset[a, b], \quad \alpha \neq \beta$

[^0]$$
\sup \left\{\frac{\left\|p^{\prime}\right\|_{[\alpha, \beta]}}{\|p\|_{[a, b]}}: p \in \mathcal{A}, p \neq 0\right\}=\infty
$$

If for some $[\alpha, \beta]$ the above sup is finite the Bernstein inequality is said to be bounded in $[\alpha, \beta]$.

Note that the collection of all polynomials of the form

$$
\left\{x^{2} p(x): p \text { is a polynomial }\right\}
$$

has an everywhere unbounded Bernstein inequality on $[-1,1]$ despite the fact that every element has derivative vanishing at zero.

We now state the main result.
Theorem 1. Suppose $\mathcal{M}:=\left\{f_{0}, f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ is an infinite Markov system on $[a, b]$ with each $f_{i} \in C^{2}[a, b]$, and suppose that $\left(f_{1} / f_{0}\right)^{\prime}$ does not vanish on $(a, b)$. Then span $\mathcal{M}$ is dense in $C[a, b]$ if and only if span $\mathcal{M}$ has an everywhere unbounded Bernstein inequality.

The additional assumption that $\left(f_{1} / f_{0}\right)^{\prime}$ does not vanish on $(a, b)$ is quite weak. It holds, for example, for any ECT system. Note that $f_{1} / f_{0}$ is strictly monotone if $\mathcal{M}$ is a Markov system.

The proof requires examining the Chebyshev polynomials associated with a Chebyshev system. These we now discuss.

Suppose

$$
H_{n}:=\operatorname{span}\left\{f_{0}, \ldots, f_{n}\right\}
$$

is a Chebyshev space on $[a, b]$. We can define the Chebyshev polynomial

$$
T_{n}(x):=T_{n}\left\{f_{0}, \ldots, f_{n} ;[a, b]\right\}(x)
$$

associated with $H_{n}$
by

$$
T_{n}(x)=c\left(f_{n}(x)-\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_{k} f_{k}(x)\right)
$$

where the $\left\{a_{k}\right\}_{k=0}^{n-1}$ are chosen to minimize

$$
\left\|f_{n}-\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_{k} f_{k}\right\|_{[a, b]}
$$

and where $c$ is a normalization constant chosen so that

$$
\left\|T_{n}\right\|_{[a, b]}=1 \quad \text { and } \quad T_{n}(b)>0 .
$$

We will call $T_{n}$ the associated Chebyshev polynomial for $H_{n}$. This is a unique "generalized" polynomial in $\operatorname{span}\left\{f_{0}, \ldots, f_{n}\right\}$ that alternates between $\pm 1$ exactly
$n+1$ times and has exactly $n$ zeros on $[a, b]$. With $f_{i}:=x^{i}$, this generates the usual Chebyshev polynomials. These equioscillating polynomials encode much of the information of how the space $H_{n}$ behaves with respect to the supremum norm. See [2], [3], [4] and [6].

Suppose

$$
\mathcal{M}=\left\{f_{0}, f_{1}, \ldots\right\}
$$

is a fixed infinite Markov system on $[a, b]$. For each $n$

$$
H_{n}:=\left\{f_{0}, f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right\}
$$

is then a Chebyshev system. So there is a sequence $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$ of associated Chebyshev polynomials where, for each $n, T_{n}$ is associated with $H_{n}$. These we call the associated Chebyshev polynomials for the infinite Markov system $\mathcal{M}$.

Note that

$$
\left\{T_{0}, T_{1}, \ldots\right\}
$$

is a Markov system again with the same span as $\mathcal{M}$.
In [2] we showed that the span of a $C^{1}$ Markov system $\mathcal{M}$ is dense in $C[a, b]$ in the uniform norm (i.e. the uniform closure of $\operatorname{span} \mathcal{M}$ on $[a, b]$ equals $C[a, b]$ ) if and only if the zeros of the associated Chebyshev polynomials are dense. To state this result, which we will need, we require the following notation.

Suppose $T_{n}$ has zeros $a \leq x_{1}<x_{2}<\cdots<x_{n} \leq b$, and let $x_{0}:=a$ and $x_{n+1}:=b$. Then the mesh of $T_{n}$ is defined by

$$
M_{n}:=M_{n}\left(T_{n}:[a, b]\right):=\max _{1 \leq i \leq n+1}\left|x_{i}-x_{i-1}\right| .
$$

For a sequence of Chebyshev polynomials $T_{n}$ from a fixed Markov system on $[a, b]$ we have

$$
M_{n} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { iff } \quad \underline{\lim } M_{n}=0
$$

as follows from the interlacing of the zeros of $T_{n}$ and $T_{n+1}$ (see [6]).
Our main result requires the following theorem from [2].
Theorem 2. Suppose $\mathcal{M}:=\left\{1, f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ is an infinite Markov system on $[a, b]$ with each $f_{i} \in C^{1}[a, b]$. Then span $\mathcal{M}$ is dense in $C[a, b]$ in the uniform norm if and only if

$$
M_{n} \rightarrow 0
$$

(where $M_{n}$ is the mesh of the associated Chebyshev polynomials).
The next result we need shows that in most instances the Chebyshev polynomial is close to extremal for Bernstein-type inequalities.

Theorem 3. Let $H_{n}:=\left\{1, f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right\}$ be a Chebyshev system of $C^{1}$ functions on $[a, b]$. Let $T_{n}$ be the associated Chebyshev polynomial. Then

$$
\frac{\left|p_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right|}{\left\|p_{n}\right\|_{[a, b]}} \leq \frac{2}{1-\left|T_{n}\left(x_{0}\right)\right|}\left|T_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right|
$$

for every $0 \neq p_{n} \in \operatorname{span}\left\{1, f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right\}$ and every $x_{0} \in[a, b]$ with $\left|T_{n}\left(x_{0}\right)\right| \neq 1$.
Proof. Let $a=y_{0}<y_{1}<\ldots<y_{n}=b$ denote the extreme points of $T_{n}$, so

$$
T_{n}\left(y_{i}\right)=(-1)^{n-i}, \quad i=0,1, \ldots, n .
$$

Let $y_{k} \leq x_{0} \leq y_{k+1}$ and $0 \neq p_{n} \in H_{n}$. If $p_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=0$, then there is nothing to prove. So assume that $p_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right) \neq 0$. Then we may normalize $p_{n}$ so that

$$
\left\|p_{n}\right\|_{[a, b]}=1
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{sign}\left(p_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\operatorname{sign}\left(p\left(y_{k+1}\right)-p\left(y_{k}\right)\right) .\right.
$$

Let $\delta:=\left|T_{n}\left(x_{0}\right)\right|$. Let $\epsilon \in(0,1)$ be fixed. Then there exists a constant $\eta$ with $|\eta| \leq \delta+(1-\delta) / 2$ so that

$$
\eta+\frac{(1-\delta)}{2}(1-\epsilon) p_{n}\left(x_{0}\right)=T_{n}\left(x_{0}\right) .
$$

Now let

$$
q_{n}(x):=\eta+\frac{(1-\delta)}{2}(1-\epsilon) p_{n}(x) .
$$

Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|q_{n}\right\|_{[a, b]} \leq 1 \\
q_{n}\left(x_{0}\right)=T_{n}\left(x_{0}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{sign}\left(q_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)=\operatorname{sign}\left(T_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)
$$

If the desired inequality does not hold for $p_{n}$ then for a sufficiently small $\epsilon>0$

$$
\left|q_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right|>\left|T_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right|,
$$

so

$$
h_{n}(x):=q_{n}(x)-T_{n}(x)
$$

will have at least 3 zeros in $\left(y_{k}, y_{k+1}\right)$. But $h_{n}$ has at least one zero in each of $\left(x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right)$. Hence $h_{n} \in H_{n}$ has at least $n+2$ zeros in $[a, b]$, which is a contradiction.

We need the following technical result concerning Chebyshev polynomials.
Lemma 1. Suppose $\mathcal{M}:=\left\{1, f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ is an infinite Markov system of $C^{2}$ functions on $[a, b]$ and $f_{1}^{\prime}$ does not vanish on ( $a, b$ ). Suppose that the associated Chebyshev polynomials $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$ has a subsequence $\left\{T_{n_{i}}\right\}$ with no zeros on some subinterval of $[a, b]$. Then there exists another subinterval $[c, d]$ and another infinite subsequence $\left\{T_{n_{i}}\right\}$ so that for some $\delta>0, \gamma>0$

$$
\left\|T_{n_{i}}\right\|_{[c, d]}<1-\delta
$$

and

$$
\left\|T_{n_{i}}^{\prime}\right\|_{[c, d]}<\gamma
$$

for all $n_{i}$.

Proof. For both inequalities we first choose a subinterval $\left[c_{1}, d_{1}\right] \subset[a, b]$ and a subsequence $\left\{n_{i, 1}\right\}$ of $\left\{n_{i}\right\}$ so that all oscillations of each $T_{n_{i, 1}}$ take place away from $\left[c_{1}, d_{1}\right]$. We now choose a subsequence $\left\{n_{i, 2}\right\}$ of $\left\{n_{i, 1}\right\}$ so that either each $T_{n_{i, 2}}$ is increasing or each $T_{n_{i, 2}}$ is decreasing on $\left[c_{1}, d_{1}\right]$. We treat the first case, the second one is analogous. Let $\left[c_{2}, d_{2}\right]$ be the middle third of $\left[c_{1}, d_{1}\right]$. If the first inequality fails to hold with $\left[c_{2}, d_{2}\right]$ and $\left\{n_{i, 2}\right\}$ then there is a subsequence $\left\{n_{i, 3}\right\}$ of $\left\{n_{i, 2}\right\}$ so that $\left\|T_{n_{i, 3}}\right\|_{\left[c_{2}, d_{2}\right]} \rightarrow 1$ as $n_{i, 3} \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, there is a subsequence $\left\{n_{i, 4}\right\}$ of $\left\{n_{i, 3}\right\}$ so that either

$$
\max _{c_{2} \leq x \leq d_{2}} T_{n_{i, 4}}(x) \rightarrow 1 \quad \text { or } \quad \min _{c_{2} \leq x \leq d_{2}} T_{n_{i, 4}}(x) \rightarrow-1 .
$$

Once again we treat the first case, the second one is analogous. Since each $T_{n_{i, 3}}$ is increasing on $\left[c_{1}, d_{1}\right]$,

$$
\lim _{n_{i, 4} \rightarrow \infty}\left\|1-T_{n_{i, 4}}\right\|_{\left[d_{2}, d_{1}\right]}=0 .
$$

Now take $g:=a_{0}+a_{1} f_{1}+a_{2} f_{2}$ so that $g$ has two distinct zeros $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ in $\left[d_{2}, d_{1}\right],\|g\|_{\left[\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right]}<1$ and $g$ is positive on $\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right)$. Let $\beta:=\max _{\alpha_{1} \leq x \leq \alpha_{2}} g(x)$ and $\tilde{g}:=g+1-\beta$. One can now deduce that $T_{n_{i, 4}}-\tilde{g}$ has at least $n+1$ distinct zeros in $[a, b]$ if $n_{i, 4}$ is large enough, which is a contradiction.

For the second inequality, by $[8],\left\{f_{1}^{\prime}, f_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots\right\}$ is a weak Markov system on $[a, b]$, and so is

$$
\left\{\left(T_{2}^{\prime} / T_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime},\left(T_{3}^{\prime} / T_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}, \ldots\right\}
$$

on every closed subinterval of $(a, b)$. (In the definitions of weak Markov systems and weak Chebyshev systems we only count zeros where the sign changes.) The assumption that $f_{1}^{\prime}$ does not vanish on $(a, b)$ implies that $T_{1}^{\prime}$ does not vanish on $(a, b)$.
¿From this we deduce that each $\left(T_{n_{i, 2}}^{\prime} / T_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}$ has at most one sign change in $\left[c_{2}, d_{2}\right]$. Choose a subinterval $\left[c_{3}, d_{3}\right] \subset\left[c_{2}, d_{2}\right]$ and a subsequence $\left\{n_{i, 5}\right\}$ of $\left\{n_{i, 2}\right\}$ so that none of $\left(T_{n_{i, 5}}^{\prime} / T_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}$ changes sign in $\left[c_{3}, d_{3}\right]$. Choose a subsequence $\left\{n_{i, 6}\right\}$ of $\left\{n_{i, 5}\right\}$ so that either each $T_{n_{i, 6}}^{\prime} / T_{1}^{\prime}$ is increasing or each $T_{n_{i, 6}}^{\prime} / T_{1}^{\prime}$ is decreasing on $\left[c_{3}, d_{3}\right]$. We only study the first case, the second one is similar. Let $\left[c_{4}, d_{4}\right]$ be the middle third of $\left[c_{3}, d_{3}\right]$. If the second inequality fails to hold with $\left[c_{4}, d_{4}\right]$ and $\left\{n_{i, 6}\right\}$ then there is a subsequence $\left\{n_{i, 7}\right\}$ so that either

$$
\max _{c_{4} \leq x \leq d_{4}} T_{n_{i, 7}}^{\prime}(x) / T_{1}^{\prime}(x) \rightarrow \infty
$$

or

$$
\min _{c_{4} \leq x \leq d_{4}} T_{n_{i, 7}}^{\prime}(x) / T_{1}^{\prime}(x) \rightarrow-\infty
$$

Again we treat only the first case, the second one is analogous. Then for every $K>0$ there is $N$ so that for every $n_{i, 7} \geq N$ we have

$$
T_{n_{i, 7}}^{\prime}(x)>K, \quad x \in\left[d_{4}, d_{3}\right]
$$

hence

$$
K\left(d_{3}-d_{4}\right) \leq \int_{d_{4}}^{d_{3}} T_{n_{i, 7}}^{\prime}(x) d x=T_{n_{i, 7}}\left(d_{3}\right)-T_{n_{i, 7}}\left(d_{4}\right) \leq 2,
$$

which is a contradiction.
Lemma 2. Suppose $\mathcal{M}:=\left\{f_{0}, f_{1}, \ldots\right\}$ is a $C^{1}[a, b]$ infinite Markov system and suppose $g \in C^{1}[a, b]$ and $g$ is strictly positive on $[a, b]$. Then $\mathcal{N}=\left\{g f_{0}, g f_{1}, \ldots\right\}$ is also a $C^{1}[a, b]$ infinite Markov system. Furthermore span $\mathcal{M}$ has a bounded Bernstein inequality on $[\alpha, \beta] \subset[a, b]$ if and only if $\operatorname{span} \mathcal{N}$ also has bounded Bernstein inequality on $[\alpha, \beta]$.

Proof. Consider differentiating $g f$ with $f \in \operatorname{span} \mathcal{M}$ by the product rule. If span $\mathcal{M}$ has a bounded Bernstein inequality on $[\alpha, \beta]$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|(g f)^{\prime}\right\|_{[\alpha, \beta]} & \leq\left\|g^{\prime} f\right\|_{[\alpha, \beta]}+\left\|g f^{\prime}\right\|_{[\alpha, \beta]} \\
& \leq c_{1}\|g f\|_{[\alpha, \beta]}+c_{2}\|g f\|_{[a, b]}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the first constant arises since

$$
g^{\prime}(x) / g(x)
$$

is uniformly bounded on $[a, b]$ and the second constant comes from the bounded Bernstein inequality for $f$.

Proof of Theorem 1. The only if part of this theorem is obvious. A good uniform approximation to a function with uniformly large derivative on a subinterval $[\alpha, \beta] \subset[a, b]$ must have large derivative at some points in $[\alpha, \beta]$.

In the other direction we first note that by Lemma 2 we may assume $f_{0} \equiv 1$. We use Theorem 2 and Lemma 1 in the following way. If span $\mathcal{M}$ is not dense then there exists a subinterval $[\alpha, \beta] \subset[a, b]$ by Theorem 2 , where a subsequence of the associated Chebyshev polynomials have no zeros. By Lemma 1 from this subsequence we can pick another subsequence $T_{n_{i}}$ and a subinterval $[c, d] \subset[\alpha, \beta]$ with

$$
\left\|T_{n_{i}}\right\|_{[c, d]}<1-\delta
$$

and

$$
\left\|T_{n_{i}}^{\prime}\right\|_{[c, d]}<\gamma
$$

for some positive constants $\delta$ and $\gamma$. The result now follows from Theorem 3.
Corollary 1. Suppose $\mathcal{M}=\left\{f_{0}, f_{1}, \ldots\right\}$ is an infinite Markov system of $C^{2}$ functions on $[a, b]$ so that span $\mathcal{M}$ fails to be dense in $C[a, b]$ in the uniform norm. Then there exists a subinterval $[\alpha, \beta]$ of $[a, b]$ so that if $g$ is in the uniform closure of $\operatorname{span} \mathcal{M}$ then $g$ is differentiable on $[\alpha, \beta]$.

Proof. By Theorem 1, there exists an interval $[\alpha, \beta]$ where $\left\|h^{\prime}\right\|_{[\alpha, \beta]} /\|h\|_{[a, b]}$ is uniformly bounded for every $h \in \operatorname{span} \mathcal{M}$. Suppose $h_{n} \rightarrow g, h_{n} \in \operatorname{span} \mathcal{M}$. Then we can choose $n_{i}$ so that

$$
\left\|g-h_{n_{i}}\right\|_{[a, b]} \leq \frac{1}{2^{i}} \quad i=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

and hence

$$
g=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(h_{n_{i}}-h_{n_{i-1}}\right)+h_{n_{0}} .
$$

Since

$$
\left\|\left(h_{n_{i}}-h_{n_{i-1}}\right)^{\prime}\right\|_{[\alpha, \beta]} \leq \frac{c}{2^{i}}
$$

for some constant $c$ independent of $i$, if follows that $g$ is differentiable on $[\alpha, \beta]$.

Suppose $\mathcal{M}=\left\{f_{0}, f_{1}, \ldots\right\}$ is an extended complete Markov system of $C^{\infty}$ functions on $[a, b]$ (the extra requirement being that the multiplicity of the zeros matters in the definition: so if $f:=\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{i} f_{i}$ has $n+1$ zeros by counting multiplicities then $f=0$ identically). In this case the differential operator $D$ defined by

$$
D(f):=\left(\frac{f}{f_{0}}\right)^{\prime}
$$

maps $\mathcal{M}$ to $\mathcal{M}_{D}$ where

$$
\mathcal{M}_{D}=\left\{\left(\frac{f_{1}}{f_{0}}\right)^{\prime},\left(\frac{f_{2}}{f_{0}}\right)^{\prime}, \ldots\right\}
$$

and $\mathcal{M}_{D}$ is once again an extended complete Markov system of $C^{\infty}$ functions (see Nürnberger [5]). We define the differential operators $D^{(n)}(f)$ for $n$ times differentiable functions $f$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{i, 0} & :=f_{i}, \quad F_{i, n}:=\left(\frac{F_{i+1, n-1}}{F_{0, n-1}}\right)^{\prime}, \quad i=0,1, \ldots, n=1,2, \ldots, \\
D^{(0)}(f) & :=f, \quad D^{(n)}(f):=\left(\frac{D^{(n-1)}(f)}{F_{0, n-1}}\right)^{\prime}, \quad n=1,2, \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that if span $\mathcal{M}_{D}$ is dense in $C[a, b]$ in the uniform norm then so is span $\mathcal{M}$. The "if" part of the next theorem can be proved from Theorem 1 by induction on $n$, while the "only if" part is obvious.

Theorem 4. Suppose $\mathcal{M}=\left\{f_{0}, f_{1}, \ldots\right\}$ is an extended complete Markov system of $C^{\infty}$ functions on $[a, b]$. Let $n$ be a fixed positive integer. Then span $\mathcal{M}$ is dense in $C[a, b]$ in the uniform norm if and only if

$$
\sup \left\{\frac{\left\|D^{(n)}(f)\right\|_{[\alpha, \beta]}}{\|f\|_{[a, b]}}: f \in \operatorname{span} \mathcal{M}, f \neq 0\right\}=\infty
$$

for every $[\alpha, \beta] \subset[a, b], \alpha \neq \beta$.

Corollary 2. Suppose $\mathcal{M}$ is an extended complete Markov system of $C^{\infty}$ functions on $[a, b]$ so that $\operatorname{span} \mathcal{M}$ fails to be dense in $C[a, b]$ in the uniform norm. Then for each $n$ there exists an interval $\left[\alpha_{n}, \beta_{n}\right] \subset[a, b]$ of positive length where all elements of the uniform closure of span $\mathcal{M}$ are $n$ times continuously differentiable.

Proof. Use Theorem 4 as in Corollary 1. We omit the technical details.
Suppose that $\mathcal{M}$, as in Corollary 2, has the property that $\operatorname{span} \mathcal{M}$ fails to be dense in the uniform norm on any proper subinterval of $[a, b]$, as in the case of Müntz systems

$$
\mathcal{M}:=\left\{x^{\lambda_{0}}, x^{\lambda_{1}}, \ldots\right\}, \quad 0 \leq \lambda_{0}<\lambda_{1}<\cdots, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_{i}}<\infty, \quad 0 \leq a<b .
$$

Then the uniform closure of span $\mathcal{M}$ on $[a, b]$ contains only functions that are $C^{\infty}$ on a dense subset of $[a, b]$. In this non-dense Müntz case the closure actually contains only analytic functions on ( $a, b$ ) (Achiezer [1], Schwartz [7]).

We record one final corollary.
Corollary 3. Suppose $\left\{\alpha_{k}\right\} \subset \mathbb{R} \backslash[-1,1]$ is a sequence of distinct numbers. Then

$$
\operatorname{span}\left\{1, \frac{1}{x-\alpha_{1}}, \frac{1}{x-\alpha_{2}}, \cdots\right\}
$$

is dense in $C[-1,1]$ if and only if

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{\alpha_{k}^{2}-1}=\infty
$$

Proof. The inequality

$$
\left|p^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^{2}}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\sqrt{\alpha_{k}^{2}-1}}{\left|\alpha_{k}-x\right|}\|p\|_{[-1,1]}
$$

holds for any

$$
p \in \operatorname{span}\left\{1, \frac{1}{x-\alpha_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{1}{x-\alpha_{n}}\right\} .
$$

See [3]. This together with Theorem 1 gives the "only if" part of the corollary.
In [3] the Chebyshev "polynomials" $T_{n}$ (of the first kind) and $U_{n}$ (of the second kind) for the Chebyshev space

$$
\operatorname{span}\left\{1, \frac{1}{x-\alpha_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{1}{x-\alpha_{n}}\right\}
$$

are introduced. Properties of

$$
\widetilde{T}_{n}(t):=T_{n}(\cos t)
$$

and

$$
\widetilde{U}_{n}(t):=U_{n}(\cos t) \sin t
$$

established in [3] include

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{T}_{n}(t)^{2}+\widetilde{U}_{n}(t)^{2}=1, \quad t \in \mathbb{R} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\widetilde{T}_{n}\right\|_{\mathbb{R}}=1 \quad \text { and } \quad\left\|\widetilde{U}_{n}\right\|_{\mathbb{R}}=1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{T}_{n}^{\prime}(t)^{2}+\widetilde{U}_{n}^{\prime}(t)^{2}=\widetilde{B}_{n}(t)^{2}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{T}_{n}^{\prime}(t)=-\widetilde{B}_{n}(t) \widetilde{U}_{n}(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\widetilde{B}_{n}(t)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\sqrt{\alpha_{k}^{2}-1}}{\left|\alpha_{k}-\cos t\right|}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R} .
$$

Suppose

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{\alpha_{k}^{2}-1}=\infty
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \min _{t \in[\alpha, \beta]} \widetilde{B}_{n}(t)=\infty, \quad 0<\alpha<\beta<\pi . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that there is a subinterval $[a, b]$ of $(-1,1)$ so that

$$
\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|T_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{[a, b]}<\infty
$$

Let $\alpha:=\arccos b$ and $\beta:=\arccos a$. Then by properties (4) and (6)

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\widetilde{U}_{n}\right\|_{[\alpha, \beta]}=0
$$

hence by property (2)

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\widetilde{T}_{n}^{2}-1\right\|_{[\alpha, \beta]}=0
$$

Thus by properties (5) and (6)

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \min _{t \in[\alpha, \beta]}\left|\widetilde{U}_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right|=\infty
$$

that is

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\widetilde{U}_{n}(\beta)-\widetilde{U}_{n}(\alpha)\right|=\infty
$$

which contradicts property (1). Hence

$$
\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\left\|T_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{[a, b]}}{\left\|T_{n}\right\|_{[-1,1]}}=\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|T_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{[a, b]}=\infty
$$

for every subinterval $[a, b]$ of $(-1,1)$ which together with Theorem 1 finishes the "if" part of the proof.

Corollary 3 is to be found in Achieser [1, p. 255] proven by entirely different methods.
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