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#### Abstract

Various important weighted polynomial inequalities, such as Bernstein, Marcinkiewicz, Nikolskii, Schur, Remez, etc. inequalities, have been proved recently by Giuseppe Mastroianni and Vilmos Totik under minimal assumptions on the weights. In most of the cases this minimal assumption is the doubling condition. Sometimes however, like in the weighted Nikolskii inequality, the slightly stronger $A_{\infty}$ condition is used. Throughout their paper the $L_{p}$ norm is studied under the assumption $1 \leq p<\infty$. In this note we show that their proofs can be modified so that many of their inequalities hold even if $0<p<1$. The crucial tool is an estimate for quadrature sums for the $p$ th power $(0<p<\infty$ is arbitrary) of trigonometric polynomials established by Lubinsky, Máté, and Nevai. For technical reasons we discuss only the trigonometric cases.


## 1. The Weights

For Introduction we refer to Sections 1 and 2 of the Mastroianni-Totik paper [12] and the references therein. See [1] - [9], [11], and [13]. Here we just formulate the original and some equivalent definitions that we shall use. In Sections $2-7$ we shall work with integrable, $2 \pi$-periodic weight functions $W$ satisfying the so-called doubling condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(2 I) \leq L W(I) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for intervals $I \subset \mathbb{R}$, where $L$ is a constant independent of $I, 2 I$ is the interval with length $2|I|(|I|$ denotes the length of the interval $I)$ and with midpoint at the midpoint of $I$, and

$$
W(I):=\int_{I} W(u) d u
$$

In other words, $W$ has the doubling property if the measure of a twice enlarged interval is less than a constant times the measure of the original interval. An integrable, $2 \pi$ periodic weight function on $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying the doubling condition will be called a doubling weight. We start with the following elementary observation.

[^0]Lemma 1.1. Associated with an integrable, $2 \pi$-periodic weight function $W$ on $\mathbb{R}$, let

$$
W_{n}(x):=n \int_{x-1 / n}^{x+1 / n} W(u) d u
$$

Then $W$ is a doubling weight if and only if there are constants $s>0$ and $K>0$ depending only on $W$ such that

$$
W_{n}(y) \leq K(1+n|x-y|)^{s} W_{n}(x)
$$

holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. Here, if $L$ is the doubling constant, then $s=\log _{2} L$ and $K:=L$ are suitable choices.

## 2. The Main Theorem

The following basic theorem is stated for $1 \leq p<\infty$ in [12]. Here we extend its validity to the case $0<p \leq 1$. The proof is a modification of Mastroianni's and Totik's arguments, but for the sake of completeness we present the whole proof. Also, note that the case $1 \leq p<\infty$ follows immediately from the case $0<p \leq 1$. Let $\mathcal{T}_{n}$ denote the class of all real trigonometric polynomials of degree at most $n$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $W$ be a doubling weight, and let $W_{n}$ be as in Lemma 1.1. Let $0<p<\infty$ be arbitrary. Then there is a constant $C>0$ depending only on $p$ and on the doubling constant $L$ such that for every $T_{n} \in \mathcal{T}_{n}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
C^{-1} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|T_{n}\right|^{p} W \leq \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|T_{n}\right|^{p} W_{n} \leq C \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|T_{n}\right|^{p} W \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Seemingly we have not gained too much, but, as the next lemma shows, $W_{n}$ is very close to be a nonnegative trigonometric polynomial of degree at most $n$.

Theorem 2.2. Suppose $W$ satisfies the doubling condition. Let $0<p<\infty$. Then there are constants $B_{1}>0, B_{2}>0$, and $B_{3}>0$ depending only on $p$ and on the doubling constant $L$, and for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a nonnegative trigonometric polynomial $Q_{n}$ of degree at most $N:=\left(\left(\log _{2} L\right) / p+4\right) n$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{1} W_{n}(x) \leq Q_{n}(x)^{p} \leq B_{2} W_{n}(x) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|Q_{n}^{\prime}(x)\right|^{p} \leq B_{3} n^{p} W_{n}(x) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly in $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof of Theorem 2.2. We define $2 m$ as the smallest even number not less than $\left(\log _{2} L\right) / p+2$. In particular $2 m \leq\left(\log _{2} L\right) / p+4$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n}(t)=n^{-(2 m-1)}\left(\frac{\sin ((n+1 / 2) t)}{\sin (t / 2)}\right)^{2 m} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the Jackson kernel. Then $S_{n}$ is a trigonometric polynomial of degree at most $2 m n \leq\left(\left(\log _{2} L\right) / p+4\right) n$. It is well known that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{-2 m}(\cos 1)^{2 m} n^{-l} \leq \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}|t|^{l} S_{n}(t) d t \leq 4 \pi^{2 m} n^{-l} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $0 \leq l<2 m-2$. Indeed, the inequalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{n}(t) \leq 9^{m} n, \quad|t| \leq 1 / n, \\
& S_{n}(t) \leq \pi^{2 m} n^{-(2 m-1)} t^{-2 m}, \quad 1 / n \leq|t| \leq \pi,
\end{aligned}
$$

are easy to establish, from where

$$
\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}|t|^{l} S_{n}(t) d t \leq\left(\frac{2 \pi^{2 m}}{|l-2 m+1|}+2 \cdot 9^{m}\right) n^{-l} \leq 4 \pi^{2 m} n^{-l}
$$

is obvious for each $0 \leq l<2 m-2$. On the other hand

$$
S_{n}(t) \geq(\cos 1)^{2 m} n, \quad|t| \leq 1 / n
$$

from where

$$
\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}|t|^{l} S_{n}(t) d t \geq 2^{-l}(\cos 1)^{2 m} n^{-l}
$$

for each $0 \leq l<2 m-2$ follows. By this (2.5) is completely shown. It clearly implies that with $s=\log _{2} L$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{-2 m}(\cos 1)^{2 m} \leq \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}(1+n|t|)^{s / p} S_{n}(t) d t \leq 4(2 \pi)^{2 m} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{n}(x):=\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} W_{n}(t)^{1 / p} S_{n}(x-t) d t \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $Q_{n}$ is a nonnegative trigonometric polynomial of degree $2 m n$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{n}^{\prime}(x)=\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} W_{n}(t)^{1 / p} S_{n}^{\prime}(x-t) d t \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying Lemma 1.1 and (2.6), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{n}(x) & =\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} W_{n}(x-t)^{1 / p} S_{n}(t) d t \\
& \leq \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} W_{n}(x)^{1 / p} K^{1 / p}(1+n|t|)^{s / p} S_{n}(t) d t \\
& \leq L^{1 / p} 4(2 \pi)^{\left(\log _{2} L\right) / p+4} W_{n}(x)^{1 / p}
\end{aligned}
$$

The opposite inequality is simpler. For $|t| \leq 1 /(2 n)$, we have

$$
W_{n}(x) \leq L W_{n}(x-t)
$$

and

$$
S_{n}(t) \geq(\cos 1)^{2 m} n
$$

therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{n}(x) & \geq \int_{-1 /(2 n)}^{1 /(2 n)} W_{n}(x-t)^{1 / p} S_{n}(t) d t \\
& \geq L^{-1 / p}(\cos 1)^{2 m} W_{n}(x)^{1 / p} \int_{-1 /(2 n)}^{1 /(2 n)} n d t \\
& \geq L^{-1 / p}(\cos 1)^{\left(\log _{2} L\right) / p+4} W_{n}(x)^{1 / p}
\end{aligned}
$$

and the proof of (2.2) is complete. To prove (2.3), observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|S_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right| & \leq 2 \cdot 9^{m} m n^{2}, & |t| & \leq 1 / n \\
\left|S_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right| & \leq 7 \pi^{2 m} m n^{-(2 m-2)} t^{-2 m}, & 1 / n & \leq|t| \leq \pi
\end{aligned}
$$

which follows from direct differentiation and from Bernstein's inequality

$$
\max _{-\pi \leq t \leq \pi}\left|S_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq 2 m n \max _{-\pi \leq t \leq \pi}\left|S_{n}(t)\right| \leq 2 \cdot 9^{m} m n^{2}
$$

since (2.4) implies

$$
\max _{-\pi \leq t \leq \pi}\left|S_{n}(t)\right| \leq 9^{m} n
$$

We have

$$
\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}|t|^{l} S_{n}^{\prime}(t) d t \leq\left(\frac{2 \cdot 7 \pi^{2 m}}{|l-2 m+1|}+4 \cdot 9^{m} m\right) n^{1-l} \leq 18 \pi^{2 m} m n^{1-l}
$$

It clearly implies that with $s=\log _{2} L$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}(1+n|t|)^{s / p} S_{n}^{\prime}(t) d t \leq 18(2 \pi)^{2 m} m n \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now combining (2.8) and (2.9), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{n}^{\prime}(x) & =\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} W_{n}(x-t)^{1 / p} S_{n}^{\prime}(t) d t \\
& \leq \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} W_{n}(x)^{1 / p} K^{1 / p}(1+n|t|)^{s / p} S_{n}^{\prime}(t) d t \\
& \leq L^{1 / p} 18(2 \pi)^{\left(\log _{2} L\right) / p+4}\left(\frac{\log _{2} L}{p}+4\right) n W_{n}(x)^{1 / p}
\end{aligned}
$$

By this (2.3) is proved.
Proof of Theorem 2.1. As we have already remarked the case $1 \leq p<\infty$ of the theorem follows immediately from the case $0<p \leq 1$. To see this, if $1 \leq p<\infty$ then let $m$ be the smallest integer not less than $p$. The $1 \leq p<\infty$ part of the
theorem now follows by applying our theorem with $n$ and $p$ replaced by $n m$ and $p / m \leq 1$, respectively.

So from now on let $0<p \leq 1$. However, our next observation is valid for all $0<p<\infty$. Namely we verify that there is a constant $B_{4}$ depending only on $p$ and the doubling constant $L$ such that for every $T_{n} \in \mathcal{T}_{n}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|T_{n}^{\prime}\right|^{p} W_{n} \leq B_{4} n^{p} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|T_{n}\right|^{p} W_{n} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

That is, Bernstein's inequality in $L_{p}, 0<p<\infty$, holds for trigonometric polynomials $T_{n}$ of degree at most $n$ with the weight $W_{n}$. Indeed, by Theorem 2.2,

$$
B_{1} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|T_{n}^{\prime}\right|^{p} W_{n} \leq \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|T_{n}^{\prime} Q_{n}\right|^{p} \leq B_{2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|T_{n}^{\prime}\right|^{p} W_{n}
$$

Here

$$
T_{n}^{\prime} Q_{n}=\left(T_{n} Q_{n}\right)^{\prime}-T_{n} Q_{n}^{\prime}
$$

therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|T_{n}^{\prime}\right|^{p} W_{n} & \leq 2^{p}\left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|\left(T_{n} Q_{n}\right)^{\prime}\right|^{p}+\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|T_{n} Q_{n}^{\prime}\right|^{p}\right) \\
& \leq 2^{p}(n+N)^{p} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|T_{n} Q_{n}\right|^{p}+2^{p} B_{3} n^{p} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|T_{n}\right|^{p} W_{n} \\
& \leq B_{4} n^{p} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|T_{n}\right|^{p} W_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

with a constant $B_{4}>0$ depending only on $p$ and on the doubling constant $L$, where at the first inequality we used that $(A+B)^{p} \leq 2^{p}\left(A^{p}+B^{p}\right)$ for arbitrary $A, B, p>0$; at the second inequality, to estimate the first term, we used Bernstein's inequality [1] in $L_{p}$ for $0<p<\infty$ and for trigonometric polynomials of degree at most $n+N$ ( $N$ is defined in Theorem 2.2); while to estimate the second term, the bound for $\left|Q_{n}^{\prime}\right|$ given by Theorem 2.2 has been used; in the third inequality Theorem 2.2 has been used again. Thus the proof of (2.10) is complete.

Now let $M$ be a large positive integer to be chosen later, and set

$$
I_{k}:=\left[\frac{2 k \pi}{M n}, \frac{2(k+1) \pi}{M n}\right], \quad k=0,1, \ldots, M n-1 .
$$

Let $\zeta_{k} \in I_{k}$ be the place where $\left|T_{n}\right|$ attains its maximum on $I_{k}$, and let $\theta_{k} \in I_{k}$ be a place where $W_{n}$ attains its maximum on $I_{k}$ (note that $W_{n}$ is positive continuous). Finally we define

$$
R_{n}:=\sum\left|T_{n}\left(\zeta_{k}\right)\right|^{p} W_{n}\left(\theta_{k}\right),
$$

where, and in what follows, the summation is taken for $k=0,1, \ldots, M n-1$. Let $\xi_{k} \in I_{k}$ be arbitrary. Using $0<p \leq 1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{n} & -\sum\left|T_{n}\left(\xi_{k}\right)\right|^{p} W_{n}\left(\theta_{k}\right)=\sum\left(\left|T_{n}\left(\zeta_{k}\right)\right|^{p}-\left|T_{n}\left(\xi_{k}\right)\right|^{p}\right) W_{n}\left(\theta_{k}\right) \\
& \leq \sum\left(\left|T_{n}\left(\zeta_{k}\right)\right|-\left|T_{n}\left(\xi_{k}\right)\right|\right)^{p} W_{n}\left(\theta_{k}\right) \leq \sum\left|T_{n}\left(\zeta_{k}\right)-T_{n}\left(\xi_{k}\right)\right|^{p} W_{n}\left(\theta_{k}\right) \\
& \leq \sum\left|T_{n}^{\prime}\left(\tau_{k}\right)\left(\zeta_{k}-\xi_{k}\right)\right|^{p} W_{n}\left(\theta_{k}\right) \leq(M n)^{-p} \sum\left|T_{n}^{\prime}\left(\tau_{k}\right)\right|^{p} W_{n}\left(\theta_{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with appropriate $\tau_{k} \in I_{k}$. Using the fact that for $u, v \in I_{k}$ we have

$$
L^{-1} W_{n}(u) \leq W_{n}(v) \leq L W_{n}(u)
$$

uniformly, then applying Theorem 2.2, we can continue

$$
\leq(M n)^{-p} L \sum\left|T_{n}^{\prime}\left(\tau_{k}\right)\right|^{p} W_{n}\left(\tau_{k}\right) \leq(M n)^{-p} L B_{1}^{-1} \sum\left|T_{n}^{\prime}\left(\tau_{k}\right)\right|^{p}\left|Q_{n}\left(\tau_{k}\right)\right|^{p}
$$

Now using Theorem 2 of Lubinsky, Máté, and Nevai from [10] (see Lemma 2.3 after the proof), then applying Theorem 2.2, and (2.10), we can continue

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq(M n)^{-p} L B_{1}^{-1} \frac{9}{2} M n \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|T_{n}^{\prime} Q_{n}\right|^{p} \\
& \leq(M n)^{-p} L B_{1}^{-1} \frac{9}{2} B_{2} M n \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|T_{n}^{\prime}\right|^{p} W_{n} \\
& \leq(M n)^{-p} L B_{1}^{-1} \frac{9}{2} B_{2} B_{4} M n n^{p} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|T_{n}\right|^{p} W_{n} \\
& =L B_{1}^{-1} \frac{9}{2} B_{2} B_{4} M^{1-p} n \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|T_{n}\right|^{p} W_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we assume that $M \geq\left(\log _{2} L\right) / p+5$, that is $M n \geq\left(\left(\log _{2} L\right) / p+5\right) n \geq N+n$, ( $N$ is defined in Theorem 2.2), and where $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ are the same as in Theorem 2.2 , while $B_{4}$ is defined earlier in this proof.

Now it is clear that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|T_{n}\right|^{p} W_{n} & =\sum \int_{I_{k}}\left|T_{n}\right|^{p} W_{n} \\
& \leq \sum\left|I_{k}\right|\left|T_{n}\left(\zeta_{k}\right)\right|^{p} W_{n}\left(\theta_{k}\right)=\frac{2 \pi}{M n} R_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

So we have proven

$$
R_{n}-\sum\left|T_{n}\left(\xi_{k}\right)\right|^{p} W_{n}\left(\theta_{k}\right) \leq L B_{1}^{-1} \frac{9}{2} B_{2} B_{4} \frac{2 \pi}{M^{p}} R_{n}
$$

from which it follows that

$$
R_{n}-\sum\left|T_{n}\left(\xi_{k}\right)\right|^{p} W_{n}\left(\theta_{k}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2} R_{n}
$$

provided

$$
\begin{equation*}
M \geq\left(4 \pi L B_{1}^{-1} \frac{9}{2} B_{2} B_{4}\right)^{1 / p}+\frac{\log _{2} L}{p}+5 \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using also that

$$
L^{-1} W_{n}\left(\theta_{k}\right) \leq W_{n}\left(\eta_{k}\right) \leq L W_{n}\left(\theta_{k}\right)
$$

uniformly whenever $\eta_{k} \in I_{k}$, we obtain that for any $\xi_{k}, \eta_{k} \in I_{k}$ we have

$$
\sum\left|T_{n}\left(\xi_{k}\right)\right|^{p} W_{n}\left(\eta_{k}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2 L} R_{n}
$$

provided (2.11). In particular, this is true for the points $\xi_{k}$ and $\eta_{k}$ where $\left|T_{n}\right|$ and $W_{n}$, respectively, attain their minimum on $I_{k}$, from which we obtain that all possible sums

$$
\sum\left|T_{k}\left(u_{k}\right)\right|^{p} W_{n}\left(v_{k}\right), \quad u_{k}, v_{k} \in I_{k}
$$

are uniformly of the same size (they are between $(2 L)^{-1} R_{n}$ and $R_{n}$ ). If we also observe that $v_{k} \in I_{k}$ implies

$$
n \int_{I_{k}} W \leq W_{n}\left(v_{k}\right) \leq L^{\left(\log _{2} M\right)+1} n \int_{I_{k}} W
$$

it follows that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{n}{2 L} \sum \int_{I_{k}}\left(\max _{v \in I_{k}}\left|T_{n}(v)\right|^{p}\right) W(u) d u \leq \sum\left|T_{n}\left(u_{k}\right)\right|^{p} W_{n}\left(v_{k}\right) \\
\quad \leq 2 L L^{\left(\log _{2} M\right)+1} n \sum \int_{I_{k}}\left(\left.\min _{v \in I_{k}} T_{n}(v)\right|^{p}\right) W(u) d u
\end{gathered}
$$

whenever $u_{k}, v_{k} \in I_{k}$. Setting $u_{k}=v_{k}=2 k \pi /(M n)+t$ and integrating this with respect to $t \in[0,1 /(M n)]$, it follows that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{1}{2 M L} \sum \int_{I_{k}}\left(\max _{v \in I_{k}}\left|T_{n}(v)\right|^{p}\right) W(u) d u \leq \sum \int_{I_{k}}\left|T_{n}(t)\right|^{p} W_{n}(t) d t \\
\quad \leq 2 L \frac{L^{\left(\log _{2} M\right)+1}}{M} \sum \int_{I_{k}}\left(\left.\min _{v \in I_{k}} T_{n}(v)\right|^{p}\right) W(u) d u
\end{gathered}
$$

We now conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2 M L} \sum \int_{I_{k}}\left|T_{n}(t)\right|^{p} W(t) d t & \leq \sum \int_{I_{k}}\left|T_{n}(t)\right|^{p} W_{n}(t) d t \\
& \leq 2 L \frac{L^{\left(\log _{2} M\right)+1}}{M} \sum \int_{I_{k}}\left|T_{n}(t)\right|^{p} W(t) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

which we wanted to prove.

We remark that the crucial step of the proof of Theorem 2.1 is two applications of Theorem 2 of Lubinsky, Máté, and Nevai from [10]. This can be formulated as follows.

Lemma 2.3. Let $0<p<\infty$. Let $\psi$ be a convex, nonnegative, and nondecreasing function on $[0, \infty)$. Let

$$
\delta:=\min \left\{\tau_{2}-\tau_{1}, \tau_{3}-\tau_{2}, \ldots, \tau_{m}-\tau_{m-1}, 2 \pi-\left(\tau_{m}-\tau_{1}\right)\right\}>0
$$

Then

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{m} \psi\left(\left|S_{n}\left(\tau_{j}\right)\right|^{p}\right) \leq\left(2 n+\delta^{-1}\right)(2 \pi)^{-1} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \psi\left(\left|S_{n}(u)\right|^{p}(p+1) e / 2\right) d u
$$

for every trigonometric polynomial $S_{n}$ of degree at most $n$.

To demonstrate the power of Theorem 2.1 (together with Theorem 2.2) we prove Bernstein's Inequality in $L_{p}, 0<p<\infty$, with doubling weighs. This has been done in the case $1 \leq p<\infty$ in the Mastroianni-Totik paper [12]. We state the extended versions of most of the remaining results of [12]. The proofs are left to the reader who needs to observe only that in the appropriate places of the proofs in [12], one needs to apply our Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 rather than their Theorems 3.1 and 3.2.

## 3. Bernstein's Inequality in $L_{p}, 0<p<\infty$, with Doubling Weights

Bernstein inequality plays a basic role in proving inverse theorems of approximation. The next result is a Bernstein-type inequality in $L_{p}, 0<p<\infty$, with respect to doubling weights.

Theorem 3.1. Let $W$ be a doubling weight, and let $0<p<\infty$ arbitrary. Then there is a constant $C>0$ depending only on $p$ and on the doubling constant $L$ so that

$$
\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|T_{n}^{\prime}\right|^{p} W \leq C n^{p} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|T_{n}\right|^{p} W
$$

holds for every $T_{n} \in \mathcal{T}_{n}$.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. With the help of Theorem 2.1 and with a piece of its proof, the proof of the theorem is a triviality now. We have already proven the theorem with $W$ replaced by $W_{n}$, see (2.9). What remains to observe is that Theorem 2.1 allows us to replace $W_{n}$ by $W$.

## 4. The Christoffel Function for $0<p<\infty$ with Doubling Weights

For $0<p<\infty$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we define

$$
\lambda_{n}(x)=\lambda_{n}(W, p, x):=\inf _{\left|T_{n}(x)\right|=1} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|T_{n}\right|^{p} W
$$

where the infimum is taken for all $T_{n} \in \mathcal{T}_{n}$ for which $\left|T_{n}(x)\right|=1$. Estimates for the Christoffel functions are useful in comparing different norms of trigonometric polynomials, and (in the algebraic case) their magnitude plays an important role in the study of orthogonal polynomials (mostly in the classical $p=2$ setting). The size of $\lambda_{n}(W, p, x)$, where $W$ is a doubling weight and $0<p<\infty$ is arbitrary is given by the next theorem.

Theorem 4.1. Let $W$ be a doubling weight, and let $0<p<\infty$ be arbitrary. Then there is a constant $C>0$ depending only on $p$ and on the doubling constant $L$ so that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$
\frac{C^{-1}}{n} W_{n}(x) \leq \lambda_{n}(W, p, x) \leq \frac{C}{n} W_{n}(x)
$$

## 5. The Marcinkiewicz Inequalities for

 $0<p<\infty$ with Doubling WeightsMarcinkiewicz-type inequalities offer a basic tool by which the (weighted) $L_{p}$ norm of a trigonometric polynomial can be replaced by a finite sum. The next theorem offers such inequalities involving doubling weights.

Theorem 5.1. Let $W$ be a doubling weight, and let $0<p<\infty$ be arbitrary. Then there are two constants $M>0$ and $C>0$ depending only on $p$ and on the doubling constant $L$ such that

$$
\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|T_{n}\right|^{p} W \leq\left.\frac{C}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{S} T_{n}\left(\xi_{j}\right)\right|^{p} W_{n}\left(\xi_{j}\right)
$$

for every $T_{n} \in \mathcal{T}_{n}$ provided the points $\xi_{0}<\xi_{1}<\cdots<\xi_{S}$ satisfy $\xi_{j+1}-\xi_{j} \leq 1 /(M n)$ and $\xi_{S} \geq \xi_{0}+2 \pi$. Furthermore, for every $M>0$ there is a constant $C>0$ depending only on $p, M$ and on the doubling constant $L$ such that

$$
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{S}\left|T_{n}\left(\xi_{j}\right)\right|^{p} W_{n}\left(\xi_{j}\right) \leq C \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|T_{n}\right|^{p} W
$$

for every $T_{n} \in \mathcal{T}_{n}$ provided the points $\xi_{0}<\xi_{1}<\cdots<\xi_{S}$ satisfy $\xi_{j+1}-\xi_{j} \geq 1 /(M n)$ and $\xi_{S} \leq \xi_{0}+2 \pi$.

## 6. Schur Inequality for $0<p<\infty$ with Doubling Weights

Sometimes we need to get rid of a factor in an algebraic polynomial or trigonometric polynomial and one needs an estimate to see how the norm changes under such a transformation. Schur-type inequalities are used in such a situation. The next theorem offers a Schur-type inequality involving doubling weights and generalized Jacobi weights.

Theorem 6.1. Let $W$ be a doubling weight, and let $0<p<\infty$ be arbitrary. Let $H$ be a generalized Jacobi weight of the form

$$
H(t)=h(t) \prod_{j=1}^{k}\left|t-x_{j}\right|^{\gamma_{j}}, \quad x_{j}, t \in[-\pi, \pi), \quad \gamma_{j}>0
$$

where $h$ is a positive measurable function bounded away from 0 and $\infty$. Then

$$
\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|T_{n}\right|^{p} W \leq C n^{\Gamma} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|T_{n}\right|^{p} W H
$$

for every $T_{n} \in \mathcal{T}_{n}$, where $\Gamma:=\max \left\{\gamma_{j}: j=1,2, \ldots, k\right\}$ and $C$ is a constant independent of $n$.

## 7. Remez Inequality for $0<p<\infty$ with $A_{\infty}$ Weights

The periodic weight $W$ on $\mathbb{R}$ is called an $A_{\infty}$ weight (or is said to satisfy the $A_{\infty}$ condition) if for every $\alpha>0$ there is a $\beta>0$ such that

$$
W(E) \geq \beta W(I)
$$

for any interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ and any measurable set $E \subset I$ with $|E| \geq \alpha|I|$. Similarly to doubling weights, many equivalent definitions are known, see [12], for instance. $A_{\infty}$ weights are obviously doubling weights; the $A_{\infty}$ condition is slightly stronger than the doubling condition. The following full analogue of the trigonometric Remez inequality [6] (see also [12]) holds with $A_{\infty}$ weights.

Theorem 7.1. Let $W$ be an $A_{\infty}$ weight, and let $0<p<\infty$ be arbitrary. Then there is a constant $C>0$ depending only on $p$ and on the weight $W$ so that if $T_{n} \in \mathcal{T}_{n}$ and $E$ is a measurable subset of $[0,2 \pi]$ of measure at most $s \in(0,1]$, then

$$
\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|T_{n}\right|^{p} W \leq C^{1+n s} \int_{[0,2 \pi] \backslash E}\left|T_{n}\right|^{p} W
$$

The same inequality with doubling weights holds provided that the exceptional set $E$ is not too complicated. We have

Theorem 7.2. Let $W$ be a doubling weight, and let $0<p<\infty$ be arbitrary. Then there is a constant $C>0$ depending only on $p$ and on the doubling constant $L$ so that if $T_{n} \in \mathcal{T}_{n}$ and $E$ is a measurable subset of $[0,2 \pi]$ of measure at most $s \in(0,1]$ that is a union of intervals of length at least $c / n$, then

$$
\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|T_{n}\right|^{p} W \leq\left(\frac{C}{c}\right)^{1+n s} \int_{[0,2 \pi] \backslash E}\left|T_{n}\right|^{p} W
$$

## 8. Nikolskit Inequality for $0<p<q<\infty$ with $A_{\infty}$ Weights

Sometimes we would like to compare the $L_{p}$ and $L_{q}$ norms of trigonometric polynomials. The following theorem offers such Nikolskii-type inequalities with respect to $A_{\infty}$ weights.

Theorem 8.1. Let $W$ be an $A_{\infty}$ weight and let $0<p<q<\infty$ be arbitrary. Then there is a constant $C>0$ depending only on $p$ and $q$ and on the weight $W$ so that

$$
\left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|T_{n}\right| W\right)^{1 / q} \leq C n^{1 / p-1 / q}\left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|T_{n}\right|^{p} W^{p / q}\right)^{1 / p}
$$

for all $T_{n} \in \mathcal{T}_{n}$.
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