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$$

TAmÁs ERdÉLYI

Finding all three-term arithmetic progressions of squares is easy. We are led to the equation $a^{2}+c^{2}=2 b^{2}$, which is equivalent to $(a+c)^{2}+(a-c)^{2}=(2 b)^{2}$, so the family of all three-term arithmetic progressions of squares can be given by using the known formula for the Pythagorean triples [4, p. 396].

In [3, p. 199] Erdős and Surányi remark that Euler proved that four squares cannot make an arithmetic progression with positive difference. They also mention that it can also be proved that the equation $a(a+d)(a+2 d)(a+3 d)=x^{2}$ cannot be solved in positive integers (which obviously implies Euler's result). I was not able to get a reference for either of these results. After communicating with a few experts in number theory, I learned that the stronger result can be obtained from some known results on elliptic curves. For example, an expert gave me the following outline: If $y^{2}=a(a+d)(a+2 d)(a+3 d)$, then dividing both sides by $a^{4}$ and setting $y^{\prime}=y / a^{2}$ and $x^{\prime}=d / a$ yields $y^{\prime 2}=\left(1+x^{\prime}\right)\left(1+2 x^{\prime}\right)\left(1+3 x^{\prime}\right)$, a nice elliptic curve. We can rewrite this as $y^{\prime 2}=6\left(x^{\prime}+1\right)\left(x^{\prime}+1 / 2\right)\left(x^{\prime}+1 / 3\right)$. If we multiply both sides by $6^{2}$ and set $u=6 y^{\prime}$ and $v=6 x^{\prime}$, we get $u^{2}=(v+2)(v+3)(v+6)$. Finally, if we replace $v$ by $v-4$, we get $u^{2}=(v-1)\left(v^{2}-4\right)$, which is curve number 24 B of the Antwerp tables [1]. There or from John Cremona' tables [2] we learn that it has rank 0 . Reducing mod 5 and mod 7 , we see that the only torsion points are the points of order 2 (the conductor is 24 ) and we can deduce that the original equation has only trivial rational solutions.

This argument is comprehensible only to specialists in elliptic curves and is far from being self-contained. The purpose of this note is to present a totally elementary proof of the fact that the equation $a(a+d)(a+2 d)(a+3 d)=x^{2}$ cannot be solved in positive integers. The method of proof is an infinite descent with respect to $(a+d)(a+2 d)$. This is a proof that Fermat could have found, but there is no trace of this in the literature. The canonical example that textbooks present as an application of the method of infinite descent is Fermat's proof of the fact that $x^{4}+y^{4}=z^{2}$ is not solvable in positive integers. In fact, it is hard to find non-trivial applications of infinite descent; the current proof is one.

Theorem. The equation $a(a+d)(a+2 d)(a+3 d)=x^{2}$ cannot be solved in positive integers.

Proof. Assume that $(a, d, x)$ satisfies $a(a+d)(a+2 d)(a+3 d)=x^{2}$ for some positive integers for which $(a+d)(a+2 d)$ is minimal. We show that there exists a triple $(A, D, X)$ of positive integers for which $A(A+D)(A+2 D)(A+3 D)=X^{2}$ and
$(A+D)(A+2 D)<(a+d)(a+2 d)$, which implies that $a(a+d)(a+2 d)(a+3 d)=x^{2}$ cannot be solved in positive integers.

We may assume that $a$ and $d$ are relative primes, otherwise we may divide by $(a ; d)^{4}$, where, and in what follows, $(m ; n)$ denotes the greatest common divisor of the nonnegative integers $m$ and $n$. Observe that $a(a+d)(a+2 d)(a+3 d)=x^{2}$ can be written as

$$
\left(a^{2}+3 a d+d^{2}\right)^{2}=x^{2}+d^{4}
$$

so by the well-known formula for the Pythagorean triples [4, p. 396] we have one of the following two cases.

Case 1. $a^{2}+3 a d+d^{2}=u^{2}+v^{2} ; \quad d^{2}=2 u v ; \quad u$ and $v$ are positive integers, $(u ; v)=1$, and $u v$ is even.

Case 2. $a^{2}+3 a d+d^{2}=u^{2}+v^{2} ; \quad d^{2}=u^{2}-v^{2} ; \quad u$ and $v$ are positive integers, $(u ; v)=1$, and $u v$ is even.

First we study Case 1. Since $(2 u ; v)=1$, we have $2 u=\left(2 u_{1}\right)^{2}$ and $v=v_{1}^{2}$ with some positive integers $u_{1}$ and $v_{1}$. These lead to

$$
a^{2}+3 a d+d^{2}=u^{2}+v^{2}=4 u_{1}^{4}+v_{1}^{4} \quad \text { and } \quad d=2 u_{1} v_{1}
$$

Hence the discriminant of the quadratic equation

$$
f(a)=a^{2}+6 u_{1} v_{1} a+4 u_{1}^{2} v_{1}^{2}-4 u_{1}^{4}-v_{1}^{4}=0
$$

is a square, so

$$
36 u_{1}^{2} v_{1}^{2}-16 u_{1}^{2} v_{1}^{2}+16 u_{1}^{4}+4 v_{1}^{4}=y^{2},
$$

that is

$$
4 u_{1}^{4}+v_{1}^{4}+5 u_{1}^{2} v_{1}^{2}=y_{1}^{2}
$$

with some positive integers $y$ and $y_{1}:=y / 2$. We conclude

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(u_{1}^{2}+v_{1}^{2}\right)\left(4 u_{1}^{2}+v_{1}^{2}\right)=y_{1}^{2} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left(u_{1}^{2}+v_{1}^{2}\right) ;\left(4 u_{1}^{2}+v_{1}^{2}\right)\right)=1 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, if $q \mid u_{1}^{2}+v_{1}^{2}$ and $q \mid 4 u_{1}^{2}+v_{1}^{2}$, then $q \mid 3 u_{1}^{2}$ and $q \mid 3 v_{1}^{2}$. As $\left(u_{1} ; v_{1}\right)=1$, we have $q=1$ or $q=3$. However, $q \mid u_{1}^{2}+v_{1}^{2}$ implies $q \neq 3$, otherwise $3 \mid u_{1}$ and $3 \mid v_{1}$, which is impossible. Hence $q=1$, indeed. Now (1) and (2) imply that

$$
u_{1}^{2}+v_{1}^{2}=e^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad\left(2 u_{1}\right)^{2}+v_{1}^{2}=f^{2}
$$

with some positive integers $e$ and $f$. Using the formula for the Pythagorean triples [4, p. 396] again, and using also that $v_{1}$ is odd and $\left(u_{1} ; v_{1}\right)=1$, we obtain

$$
u_{1}=2 u_{2} v_{2} \quad \text { and } \quad v_{1}=u_{2}^{2}-v_{2}^{2}
$$

and

$$
2 u_{1}=2 u_{3} v_{3} \quad \text { and } \quad v_{1}=u_{3}^{2}-v_{3}^{2}
$$
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with some positive integers $u_{3}$ and $v_{3}$. That is,

$$
u_{3} v_{3}=2 u_{2} v_{2} \quad \text { and } \quad u_{3}^{2}-v_{3}^{2}=u_{2}^{2}-v_{2}^{2},
$$

so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(u_{3}^{2}-v_{3}^{2}\right)^{2}+u_{3}^{2} v_{3}^{2}=\left(u_{2}^{2}-v_{2}^{2}\right)^{2}+4 u_{2}^{2} v_{2}^{2}=\left(u_{2}^{2}+v_{2}^{2}\right)^{2} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that the solutions of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2}+y^{2}-x y=z^{2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

in positive integers can be expressed by the formulae

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\frac{1}{3} t b_{1}\left(2 a_{1}-b_{1}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad y=\frac{1}{3} t a_{1}\left(2 b_{1}-a_{1}\right), \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{1}$ and $b_{1}$ are positive integers. This can be obtained by rewriting (4) as

$$
z^{2}-(x-y / 2)^{2}=3(y / 2)^{2}
$$

and modifying the proof of the well-known formula giving all the Pythagorean triples [4, p. 396]. From (3) and (5) we obtain

$$
\frac{9}{t^{2}} u_{3}^{2} v_{3}^{2}=a_{1} b_{1}\left(2 a_{1}-b_{1}\right)\left(2 b_{1}-a_{1}\right)=a_{2}\left(a_{2}+b_{2}\right)\left(a_{2}+2 b_{2}\right)\left(a_{2}+3 b_{2}\right),
$$

where $a_{2}=2 a_{1}-b_{1}$ and $b_{2}=b_{1}-a_{1}$. Observe that $b_{2} \neq 0$, otherwise $a_{1}=b_{1}$, that is $x=y, u_{3}=v_{3}, v_{1}=0$, and $d^{2}=0$. Also,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(a_{2}+b_{2}\right)\left(a_{2}+2 b_{2}\right) & <\frac{1}{2} a_{2}\left(a_{2}+b_{2}\right)\left(a_{2}+2 b_{2}\right)\left(a_{2}+3 b_{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{9}{2 t^{2}} u_{3}^{2} v_{3}^{2}=\frac{9}{2 t^{2}} u_{1}^{2}=\frac{9}{8 t^{2}} 4 u_{1}^{2} \leq \frac{9}{8 t^{2}} 4 u_{1}^{2} v_{1}^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{9}{8} 4 u_{1}^{2} v_{1}^{2}=\frac{9}{8} d^{2}<2 d^{2}<a^{2}+3 a d+2 d^{2}=(a+d)(a+2 d) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By this the proof is finished in Case 1.
Now we study Case 2, where we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{2}+3 a d+d^{2}=u^{2}+v^{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left[(u+v)^{2}+(u-v)^{2}\right] \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
d^{2}=u^{2}-v^{2}=(u+v)(u-v) . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $(u ; v)=1$ and $u v$ is even, we have $(u+v ; u-v)=1$. This, together with $d^{2}=(u+v)(u-v)$, gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
u+v=x_{1}^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad u-v=x_{2}^{2} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

with positive integers $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$. Also, $d=x_{1} x_{2}$. Combining (8) with (6) and (7) shows that the discriminant of the quadratic equation

$$
f(a)=a^{2}+3 x_{1} x_{2} a+x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} x_{1}^{4}-\frac{1}{2} x_{2}^{4}=0
$$

is a square, so

$$
9 x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}-4 x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}+2 x_{1}^{4}+2 x_{2}^{4}=y^{2}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(2 x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right)\left(2 x_{2}^{2}+x_{1}^{2}\right)=y^{2} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

with a positive integer $y$. It is impossible that both $3 \mid x_{1}$ and $3 \mid x_{2}$, since $\left(x_{1} ; x_{2}\right)=1$. It is also impossible that both $3 \mid x_{1}$ and $3 \nmid x_{2}$ or both $3 \mid x_{2}$ and $3 \nmid x_{1}$ hold, since in these cases

$$
\left(2 x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right)\left(2 x_{2}^{2}+x_{1}^{2}\right) \equiv 2 \quad(\bmod 3)
$$

which contradicts (9). We conclude that $3 \nmid x_{1}$ and $3 \nmid x_{2}$, hence

$$
3 \mid 2 x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad 3 \mid 2 x_{2}^{2}+x_{1}^{2}
$$

We conclude that

$$
x_{2}^{2} \cdot \frac{2 x_{2}^{2}+x_{1}^{2}}{3} \cdot \frac{2 x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}}{3} \cdot x_{1}^{2}=x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2} y^{2}=: y_{1}^{2}
$$

that is,

$$
a_{2}\left(a_{2}+b_{2}\right)\left(a_{2}+2 b_{2}\right)\left(a_{2}+3 b_{2}\right)=y_{1}^{2}
$$

with a positive integer $y_{1}$, where $a_{2}:=x_{2}^{2}$ and $b_{2}:=\frac{1}{3}\left(x_{1}^{2}-x_{2}^{2}\right)$. Here $b_{2} \neq 0$ otherwise $x_{1}=x_{2}$, that is $u+v=u-v, v=0, a^{2}+3 a d+d^{2}=d^{2}, a^{2}+3 a d=0$, and $a=d=0$, which contradicts our assumption. Also

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(a_{2}+b_{2}\right)\left(a_{2}+2 b_{2}\right) & =\frac{1}{9}\left(2 x_{1}^{4}+2 x_{2}^{4}+5 x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& <\frac{1}{9}\left(4\left(a^{2}+3 a d+d^{2}\right)+5 d^{2}\right)<\frac{1}{9}\left(9\left(a^{2}+3 a d+d^{2}\right)\right) \\
& =a^{2}+3 a d+d^{2}<(a+d)(a+2 d)
\end{aligned}
$$

By this the proof is finished in Case 2 as well.
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