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Abstract. Let $P_{n}(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k, n} z^{k} \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ be a sequence of unimodular polynomials $\left(\left|a_{k, n}\right|=1\right.$ for all $\left.k, n\right)$ which is ultraflat in the sense of Kahane, i.e.,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \max _{|z|=1}\left|(n+1)^{-1 / 2}\right| P_{n}(z)|-1|=0
$$

For continuous functions $f$ defined on $[0,2 \pi]$, and for $q \in(0, \infty)$, we define

$$
\|f\|_{q}:=\left(\int_{0}^{2 \pi}|f(t)|^{q} d t\right)^{1 / q}
$$

We also define

$$
\|f\|_{\infty}:=\lim _{q \rightarrow \infty}\|f\|_{q}=\max _{t \in[0,2 \pi]}|f(t)|
$$

We prove the following conjecture of Queffelec and Saffari, see (1.30) in [QS2]. If $q \in(0, \infty)$ and $\left(P_{n}\right)$ is an ultraflat sequence of unimodular polynomials $P_{n}$ of degree $n$, then for $f_{n}(t):=\operatorname{Re}\left(P_{n}\left(e^{i t}\right)\right)$ we have

$$
\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{q} \sim\left(\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{q+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{q}{2}+1\right) \sqrt{\pi}}\right)^{1 / q} n^{1 / 2}
$$

and

$$
\left\|f_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{q} \sim\left(\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{q+1}{2}\right)}{(q+1) \Gamma\left(\frac{q}{2}+1\right) \sqrt{\pi}}\right)^{1 / q} n^{3 / 2}
$$

where $\Gamma$ denotes the usual gamma function, and the $\sim$ symbol means that the ratio of the left and right hand sides converges to 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. To this end we use results from [Er1] where (as well as in [Er2], [Er3], and [Er4]) we studied the structure of ultraflat polynomials and proved several conjectures of Queffelec and Saffari.
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## 1. Introduction and the New Result

Let $D$ be the open unit disk of the complex plane. Its boundary, the unit circle of the complex plane, is denoted by $\partial D$. Let

$$
\mathcal{K}_{n}:=\left\{p_{n}: p_{n}(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k} z^{k}, \quad a_{k} \in \mathbb{C},\left|a_{k}\right|=1\right\}
$$

The class $\mathcal{K}_{n}$ is often called the collection of all (complex) unimodular polynomials of degree $n$. Let

$$
\mathcal{L}_{n}:=\left\{p_{n}: p_{n}(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k} z^{k}, a_{k} \in\{-1,1\}\right\} .
$$

The class $\mathcal{L}_{n}$ is often called the collection of all (real) unimodular polynomials of degree $n$. By Parseval's formula,

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|P_{n}\left(e^{i t}\right)\right|^{2} d t=2 \pi(n+1)
$$

for all $P_{n} \in \mathcal{K}_{n}$. Therefore

$$
\min _{z \in \partial D}\left|P_{n}(z)\right| \leq \sqrt{n+1} \leq \max _{z \in \partial D}\left|P_{n}(z)\right|
$$

An old problem (or rather an old theme) is the following.

Problem 1.1 (Littlewood's Flatness Problem). How close can a unimodular polynomial $P_{n} \in \mathcal{K}_{n}$ or $P_{n} \in \mathcal{L}_{n}$ come to satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|P_{n}(z)\right|=\sqrt{n+1}, \quad z \in \partial D ? \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously (1.1) is impossible if $n \geq 1$. So one must look for less than (1.1), but then there are various ways of seeking such an "approximate situation". One way is the following. In his paper [Li1] Littlewood had suggested that, conceivably, there might exist a sequence $\left(P_{n}\right)$ of polynomials $P_{n} \in \mathcal{K}_{n}$ (possibly even $P_{n} \in \mathcal{L}_{n}$ ) such that $(n+1)^{-1 / 2}\left|P_{n}\left(e^{i t}\right)\right|$ converge to 1 uniformly in $t \in \mathbb{R}$. We shall call such sequences of unimodular polynomials "ultraflat". More precisely, we give the following definition.

Definition 1.2. Given a positive number $\varepsilon$, we say that a polynomial $P_{n} \in \mathcal{K}_{n}$ is $\varepsilon$-flat if

$$
(1-\varepsilon) \sqrt{n+1} \leq\left|P_{n}(z)\right| \leq(1+\varepsilon) \sqrt{n+1}, \quad z \in \partial D
$$

Definition 1.3. Given a sequence $\left(\varepsilon_{n_{k}}\right)$ of positive numbers tending to 0 , we say that a sequence $\left(P_{n_{k}}\right)$ of unimodular polynomials $P_{n_{k}} \in \mathcal{K}_{n_{k}}$ is $\left(\varepsilon_{n_{k}}\right)$-ultraflat if each $P_{n_{k}}$ is $\left(\varepsilon_{n_{k}}\right)$-flat. We simply say that a sequence $\left(P_{n_{k}}\right)$ of unimodular polynomials $P_{n_{k}} \in \mathcal{K}_{n_{k}}$ is ultraflat if it is $\left(\varepsilon_{n_{k}}\right)$-ultraflat with a suitable sequence $\left(\varepsilon_{n_{k}}\right)$ of positive numbers tending to 0 .

The existence of an ultraflat sequence of unimodular polynomials seemed very unlikely, in view of a 1957 conjecture of P. Erdős (Problem 22 in [Er]) asserting that, for all $P_{n} \in \mathcal{K}_{n}$ with $n \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{z \in \partial D}\left|P_{n}(z)\right| \geq(1+\varepsilon) \sqrt{n+1} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon>0$ is an absolute constant (independent of $n$ ). Yet, refining a method of Körner [Kö], Kahane [Ka] proved that there exists a sequence $\left(P_{n}\right)$ with $P_{n} \in \mathcal{K}_{n}$ which is $\left(\varepsilon_{n}\right)$-ultraflat, where $\varepsilon_{n}=O\left(n^{-1 / 17} \sqrt{\log n}\right)$. (Kahane's paper contained though a slight error which was corrected in [QS2].) Thus the Erdős conjecture (1.2) was disproved for the classes $\mathcal{K}_{n}$. For the more restricted class $\mathcal{L}_{n}$ the analogous Erdős conjecture is unsettled to this date. It is a common belief that the analogous Erdős conjecture for $\mathcal{L}_{n}$ is true, and consequently there is no ultraflat sequence of polynomials $P_{n} \in \mathcal{L}_{n}$. An interesting result related to Kahane's breakthrough is given in [Be]. For an account of some of the work done till the mid 1960's, see Littlewood's book [Li2] and [QS2].

Let $\left(\varepsilon_{n}\right)$ be a sequence of positive numbers tending to 0 . Let the sequence $\left(P_{n}\right)$ of unimodular polynomials $P_{n} \in \mathcal{K}_{n}$ be $\left(\varepsilon_{n}\right)$-ultraflat. We write

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}\left(e^{i t}\right)=R_{n}(t) e^{i \alpha_{n}(t)}, \quad R_{n}(t)=\left|P_{n}\left(e^{i t}\right)\right|, \quad t \in \mathbb{R} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is a simple exercise to show that $\alpha_{n}$ can be chosen so that it is differentiable on $\mathbb{R}$. This is going to be our understanding throughout the paper.

The structure of ultraflat sequences of unimodular polynomials is studied in [Er1], [Er2], [Er3], and [Er4] where several conjectures of Saffari are proved. Here, based on the results in [Er1], we prove yet another conjecture of Saffari and Queffelec, see (1.30) in [QS2].

For continuous functions $f$ defined on $[0,2 \pi]$, and for $q \in(0, \infty)$, we define

$$
\|f\|_{q}:=\left(\int_{0}^{2 \pi}|f(t)|^{q} d t\right)^{1 / q}
$$

We also define

$$
\|f\|_{\infty}:=\lim _{q \rightarrow \infty}\|f\|_{q}=\max _{t \in[0,2 \pi]}|f(t)|
$$

Theorem 1.4. Let $q \in(0, \infty)$. If $\left(P_{n}\right)$ is an ultraflat sequence of unimodular polynomials $P_{n} \in \mathcal{K}_{n}$, and $q \in(0, \infty)$, then for $f_{n}(t):=\operatorname{Re}\left(P_{n}\left(e^{i t}\right)\right)$ we have

$$
\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{q} \sim\left(\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{q+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{q}{2}+1\right) \sqrt{\pi}}\right)^{1 / q} n^{1 / 2}
$$

and

$$
\left\|f_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{q} \sim\left(\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{q+1}{2}\right)}{(q+1) \Gamma\left(\frac{q}{2}+1\right) \sqrt{\pi}}\right)^{1 / q} n^{3 / 2}
$$

where $\Gamma$ denotes the usual gamma function, and the $\sim$ symbol means that the ratio of the left and right hand sides converges to 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

We remark that trivial modifications of the proof of Theorem 1.4 yield that the statement of the above theorem remains true if the ultraflat sequence $\left(P_{n}\right)$ of unimodular polynomials $P_{n} \in \mathcal{K}_{n}$ is replaced by an ultraflat sequence $\left(P_{n_{k}}\right)$ of unimodular polynomials $P_{n_{k}} \in \mathcal{K}_{n_{k}}, 0<n_{1}<n_{2}<\ldots$.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.4

To prove the theorem we need a few lemmas. The first three are from [Er1].

## Lemma 2.1 (Uniform Distribution Theorem for the Angular Speed).

 Suppose $\left(P_{n}\right)$ is an ultraflat sequence of unimodular polynomials $P_{n} \in \mathcal{K}_{n}$. Then, with the notation (1.3), in the interval $[0,2 \pi]$, the distribution of the normalized angular speed $\alpha_{n}^{\prime}(t) / n$ converges to the uniform distribution as $n \rightarrow \infty$. More precisely, we have$$
\operatorname{meas}\left(\left\{t \in[0,2 \pi]: 0 \leq \alpha_{n}^{\prime}(t) \leq n x\right\}\right)=2 \pi x+\gamma_{n}(x)
$$

for every $x \in[0,1]$, where $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \max _{x \in[0,1]}\left|\gamma_{n}(x)\right|=0$. Also, (as it was first observed by Saffari [Sa]), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{n} n \leq \alpha_{n}^{\prime}(t) \leq n-\delta_{n} n \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with suitable constants $\delta_{n}$ converging to 0 .

Lemma 2.2 (Negligibility Theorem for Higher Derivatives). Suppose ( $P_{n}$ ) is an ultraflat sequence of unimodular polynomials $P_{n} \in \mathcal{K}_{n}$. Then, with the notation (1.3), for every integer $r \geq 2$, we have

$$
\max _{0 \leq t \leq 2 \pi}\left|\alpha_{n}^{(r)}(t)\right| \leq \gamma_{n, r} n^{r}
$$

with suitable constants $\gamma_{n, r}>0$ converging to 0 for every fixed $r=2,3, \ldots$.

Lemma 2.3. Let $q>0$. Suppose $\left(P_{n}\right)$ is an ultraflat sequence of unimodular polynomials $P_{n} \in \mathcal{K}_{n}$. Then we have

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\alpha_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{q} d t=\frac{n^{q}}{q+1}+\delta_{n, q} n^{q}
$$

and as a limit case,

$$
\max _{0 \leq t \leq 2 \pi}\left|\alpha_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right|=n+\delta_{n} n
$$

with suitable constants $\delta_{n, q}$ and $\delta_{n}$ converging to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for every fixed $q$.
Our next lemma is a special case of Lemma 4.2 from [Er1].
Lemma 2.4. Suppose $\left(P_{n}\right)$ is an ultraflat sequence of unimodular polynomials $P_{n} \in$ $\mathcal{K}_{n}$. Using notation (1.3), we have

$$
\max _{0 \leq t \leq 2 \pi}\left|R_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right|=\delta_{n} n^{3 / 2}, \quad m=1,2, \ldots
$$

with suitable constants $\delta_{n}$ converging to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
The next lemma follows from the ultraflatness property (see Definition 1.3) and Lemma 2.4.

Lemma 2.5. Let $q \in(0, \infty)$. We have

$$
\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{q}^{q}=\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|n^{1 / 2}\left(1+\delta_{n}(t)\right) \cos \left(\alpha_{n}(t)\right)\right|^{q} d t
$$

and

$$
\left\|f_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{q}^{q}=\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|n^{1 / 2}\left(1+\eta_{n}(t)\right) \sin \left(\alpha_{n}(t)\right) \alpha_{n}^{\prime}(t)+\eta_{n}^{*}(t) n^{3 / 2}\right|^{q} d t
$$

with some numbers $\delta_{n}(t), \eta_{n}(t)$, and $\eta_{n}^{*}(t)$ converging to 0 uniformly on $[0,2 \pi]$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Finally we need the technical lemma below that follows by a simple calculation.
Lemma 2.6. Assume that $A, B \in \mathbb{R}, q>0$, and $I \subset[0,2 \pi]$ is an interval. Then

$$
\int_{I}|\cos (B t+A)|^{q} d t=K(q) \operatorname{meas}(I)+\delta_{1}(A, B, q)
$$

and

$$
\int_{I}|\sin (B t+A)|^{q} d t=K(q) \operatorname{meas}(I)+\delta_{2}(A, B, q)
$$

where, by (6.2.1), (6.2.2), and (6.1.8) from [AS] (see pages 258 and 255), we have

$$
2 \pi K(q):=\int_{0}^{2 \pi}|\sin t|^{q} d t=\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{q+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{q}{2}+1\right) \sqrt{\pi}}
$$

and

$$
\left|\delta_{1}(A, B, q)\right|,\left|\delta_{2}(A, B, q)\right| \leq \pi B^{-1}
$$

Proof of Theorem 1.4. By Lemma 2.5 it is sufficient to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\cos \left(\alpha_{n}(t)\right)\right|^{q} d t \sim 2 \pi K(q):=\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{q+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{q}{2}+1\right) \sqrt{\pi}} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\sin \left(\alpha_{n}(t)\right) n^{-1} \alpha_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{q} d t \sim \frac{2 \pi K(q)}{q+1} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

First we show (2.1). Let $\varepsilon>0$ be fixed. Let $K_{n}:=\gamma_{n, 2}^{-1 / 4}$, where $\gamma_{n, 2}$ is defined in Lemma 2.2. We divide the interval $[0,2 \pi]$ into subintervals

$$
I_{m}:=\left[a_{m-1}, a_{m}\right):=\left[\frac{(m-1) K_{n}}{n}, \frac{m K_{n}}{n}\right), \quad m=1,2, \ldots, N-1:=\left\lfloor\frac{2 \pi n}{K_{n}}\right\rfloor
$$

and

$$
I_{N}:=\left[a_{N-1}, a_{N}\right):=\left[\frac{(N-1) K_{n}}{n}, 2 \pi\right) .
$$

For the sake of brevity let

$$
A_{m-1}:=\alpha_{n}\left(a_{m-1}\right), \quad m=1,2, \ldots, N
$$

and

$$
B_{m-1}:=\alpha_{n}^{\prime}\left(a_{m-1}\right), \quad m=1,2, \ldots, N
$$

Then by Taylor's Theorem

$$
\mid \alpha_{n}(t)-\left(A_{m-1}+B_{m-1}\left(t-a_{m-1}\right) \mid \leq \gamma_{n, 2} n^{2}\left(K_{n} / n\right)^{2} \leq \gamma_{n, 2} \gamma_{n, 2}^{-1 / 2} \leq \gamma_{n, 2}^{1 / 2}\right.
$$

for every $t \in I_{m}$, where $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{n, 2}^{1 / 2}=0$ by Lemma 2.2. Hence the functions

$$
G_{n, q}(t):=\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
\left|\cos \left(A_{0}+B_{0}\left(t-a_{0}\right)\right)\right|^{q}, & t \in I_{1} \\
\left|\cos \left(A_{1}+B_{1}\left(t-a_{0}\right)\right)\right|^{q}, & t \in I_{2} \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
\left|\cos \left(A_{N-1}+B_{N-1}\left(t-a_{N-1}\right)\right)\right|^{q}, & t \in I_{N}
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
F_{n, q}(t):=\mid \cos \left(\left.\alpha_{n}(t)\right|^{q}\right.
$$

satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{t \in[0,2 \pi)}\left|G_{n, q}(t)-F_{n, q}(t)\right|=0 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, in order to prove (2.1), it is sufficient to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} G_{n, q}(t) d t \sim 2 \pi K(q) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using Lemma 2.5, if $\left|B_{m-1}\right| \geq n \varepsilon$, then

$$
\left|\int_{I_{m}} G_{n, q}(t) d t-K(q) \operatorname{meas}\left(I_{m}\right)\right| \leq \frac{\pi}{n \varepsilon}
$$

Therefore $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} K_{n}=\infty$ implies

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\sum_{m} \int_{I_{m}} G_{n, q}(t) d t-K(q) \sum_{m} \operatorname{meas}\left(I_{m}\right)\right| \leq N \frac{\pi}{n \varepsilon} & \leq\left(\frac{2 \pi n}{K_{n}}+1\right) \frac{\pi}{n \varepsilon}  \tag{2.5}\\
& \leq \eta_{n}^{*}(\varepsilon),
\end{align*}
$$

where the summation is taken over all $m=1,2, \ldots, N$ for which $\left|B_{m-1}\right| \geq n \varepsilon$, and where $\left(\eta_{n}^{*}(\varepsilon)\right)$ is a sequence tending to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Now let

$$
E_{n, \varepsilon}:=\bigcup_{m:\left|B_{m-1}\right| \leq n \varepsilon} I_{m}
$$

If $\left|B_{m-1}\right| \leq n \varepsilon$, then we obtain by Lemma 2.2 that

$$
\left|\alpha_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq\left|B_{m-1}\right|+\frac{K_{n}}{n} \max _{t \in I_{m}}\left|\alpha_{n}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right| \leq\left|B_{m-1}\right|+\frac{\gamma_{n, 2}^{-1 / 4}}{n} \gamma_{n, 2} n^{2} \leq 2 n \varepsilon
$$

for every $t \in I_{m}$ if $n$ is sufficiently large. So

$$
E_{n, \varepsilon} \subset\left\{t \in[0,2 \pi]:\left|\alpha_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq 2 n \varepsilon\right\}
$$

for every sufficiently large $n$. Hence we obtain by Lemma 2.1 that

$$
\operatorname{meas}\left(E_{n, \varepsilon}\right) \leq 4 \pi \varepsilon+\eta_{n}^{* *}(\varepsilon)
$$

where $\left(\eta_{n}^{* *}(\varepsilon)\right)$ is a sequence tending to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Combining this with $0 \leq$ $G_{n, q}(t) \leq 1, t \in[0,2 \pi)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sum_{m} \int_{I_{m}} G_{n, q}(t) d t-K(q) \sum_{m} \operatorname{meas}\left(I_{m}\right)\right| \leq\left(4 \pi \varepsilon+\eta_{n}^{* *}(\varepsilon)\right)(1+K(q)), \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n$ is sufficiently large and the summation is taken over all $m=1,2, \ldots, N$ for which $\left|B_{m-1}\right|<n \varepsilon$. Since $\varepsilon>0$ is arbitrary, (2.4) follows from (2.5) and (2.6). The proof of (2.1) is now finished.

Now we prove (2.2). Let $\varepsilon>0$ be fixed. Let the intervals $I_{m}$ and the numbers $A_{m}$ and $B_{m}, m=1,2, \ldots, N$, as in the proof of (2.1). We define

$$
G_{n, q}(t):=\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
\left|\sin \left(A_{0}+B_{0}\left(t-a_{0}\right)\right)\right|^{q}, & t \in I_{1}, \\
\left|\sin \left(A_{1}+B_{1}\left(t-a_{0}\right)\right)\right|^{q}, & t \in I_{2} \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
\left|\sin \left(A_{N-1}+B_{N-1}\left(t-a_{N-1}\right)\right)\right|^{q}, & t \in I_{N}
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
F_{n, q}(t):=\mid \sin \left(\left.\alpha_{n}(t)\right|^{q} .\right.
$$

Similarly to the corresponding argument in the proof of (2.1), we obtain (2.3). Let

$$
G_{n, q}^{*}(t):=\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
\left|\sin \left(A_{0}+B_{0}\left(t-a_{0}\right)\right)\right|^{q}\left|n^{-1} B_{0}\right|^{q}, & t \in I_{1} \\
\left|\sin \left(A_{1}+B_{1}\left(t-a_{0}\right)\right)\right|^{q}\left|n^{-1} B_{1}\right|^{q}, & t \in I_{2} \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
\left|\sin \left(A_{N-1}+B_{N-1}\left(t-a_{N-1}\right)\right)\right|^{q}\left|n^{-1} B_{N-1}\right|^{q}, & t \in I_{N}
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
F_{n, q}^{*}(t):=\left|\sin \left(\alpha_{n}(t)\right)\right|^{q}\left|n^{-1} \alpha_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{q} .
$$

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{n, q}^{*}(t)=G_{n, q}(t) H_{n, q}(t), \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
H_{n, q}(t):=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\left|n^{-1} B_{0}\right|^{q}, & t \in I_{1} \\
\left|n^{-1} B_{1}\right|^{q}, & t \in I_{2} \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
\left|n^{-1} B_{N-1}\right|^{q}, & t \in I_{N}
\end{array}\right.
$$

It follows from Lemma 2.2 that
for every $t \in I_{m}$. Since $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{n, 2}^{3 / 4}=0$, we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{t \in[0,2 \pi)}\left|H_{n, q}(t)-\left|n^{-1} \alpha_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{q}\right|=0 \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t \in[0,2 \pi)} \mid \sin \left(\left.\alpha_{n}(t)\right|^{q} \leq 1\right. \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and by Lemma 2.1 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t \in[0,2 \pi)}\left|n^{-1} \alpha_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{q} \leq 2^{q} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all sufficiently large $n$. Now (2.3), (2.8), (2.9), (2.10), and (2.7) imply

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{t \in[0,2 \pi)}\left|G_{n, q}^{*}(t)-F_{n, q}^{*}(t)\right|=0
$$

Therefore, in order to prove (2.2), it is sufficient to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} G_{n, q}^{*}(t) d t \sim \frac{2 \pi K(q)}{q+1} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a special case of (2.10), we have

$$
\left|n^{-1} B_{m-1}\right|^{q} \leq 2^{q}, \quad m=1,2, \ldots, N
$$

for all sufficiently large $n$. Hence, if $n$ is sufficiently large and $\left|B_{m-1}\right| \geq n \varepsilon$, then, with the help of Lemma 2.6, we obtain that

$$
\left.\left|\int_{I_{m}} G_{n, q}^{*}(t) d t-K(q) \operatorname{meas}\left(I_{m}\right)\right| n^{-1} B_{m-1}\right|^{q} \left\lvert\, \leq 2^{q} \frac{\pi}{n \varepsilon}\right.
$$

Therefore $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} K_{n}=\infty$ implies

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.\left|\sum_{m} \int_{I_{m}} G_{n, q}(t) d t-K(q) \sum_{m} \operatorname{meas}\left(I_{m}\right)\right| n^{-1} B_{m-1}\right|^{q} \mid & \leq 2^{q} N \frac{\pi}{n \varepsilon}  \tag{2.12}\\
& \leq 2^{q}\left(\frac{2 \pi n}{K_{n}}+1\right) \frac{\pi}{n \varepsilon} \\
& \leq \eta_{n, q}^{*}(\varepsilon)
\end{align*}
$$

where the summation is taken over all $m=1,2, \ldots, N$ for which $\left|B_{m-1}\right| \geq n \varepsilon$, and where $\left(\eta_{n, q}^{*}(\varepsilon)\right)$ is a sequence tending to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Now let

$$
E_{n, \varepsilon}:=\bigcup_{m:\left|B_{m-1}\right| \leq n \varepsilon} I_{m}
$$

As in the proof of (2.1) we have

$$
\operatorname{meas}\left(E_{n, \varepsilon}\right) \leq 4 \pi \varepsilon+\eta_{n}^{* *}(\varepsilon)
$$

where $\left(\eta_{n}^{* *}(\varepsilon)\right)$ is a sequence tending to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Combining this with (2.9) and (2.10), and recalling the definition of $G_{n, q}^{*}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\quad\left|\sum_{m} \int_{I_{m}} G_{n, q}^{*}(t) d t-K(q) \sum_{m} \operatorname{meas}\left(I_{m}\right)\right| n^{-1} B_{m-1}\right|^{q} \mid  \tag{2.13}\\
& \leq\left(4 \pi \varepsilon+\eta_{n}^{* *}(\varepsilon)\right) 2^{q}(1+K(q)),
\end{align*}
$$

where $n$ is sufficiently large and the summation is taken over all $m=1,2, \ldots, N$ for which $\left|B_{m-1}\right|<n \varepsilon$. Since $\varepsilon>0$ is arbitrary, from (2.12) and (2.13) we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} G_{n, q}^{*}(t) d t \sim K(q) \int_{0}^{2 \pi} H_{n, q}(t) d t \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

However (2.8) and Lemma 2.3 imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} H_{n, q}(t) d t \sim n^{-q} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\alpha_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{q} d t \sim \frac{2 \pi}{q+1} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The statement under (2.11) now follows by combining (2.14), and (2.15). As we have remarked before, (2.11) implies (2.2).
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