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Abstract. Let $\mathcal{P}_{n}^{c}$ denote the set of all algebraic polynomials of degree at most $n$ with complex coefficients. Let

$$
D^{+}:=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z| \leq 1, \quad \operatorname{Im}(z) \geq 0\}
$$

For integers $0 \leq k \leq n$ let $\mathcal{F}_{n, k}^{c}$ be the set of all polynomials $P \in \mathcal{P}_{n}^{c}$ having at least $n-k$ zeros in $D^{+}$. Let

$$
\|f\|_{A}:=\sup _{z \in A}|f(z)|
$$

for complex-valued functions defined on $A \subset \mathbb{C}$. We prove that there are absolute constants $c_{1}>0$ and $c_{2}>0$ such that

$$
c_{1}\left(\frac{n}{k+1}\right)^{1 / 2} \leq \inf _{P} \frac{\left\|P^{\prime}\right\|_{[-1,1]}}{\|P\|_{[-1,1]}} \leq c_{2}\left(\frac{n}{k+1}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

for all integers $0 \leq k \leq n$, where the infimum is taken for all $0 \not \equiv P \in \mathcal{F}_{n, k}^{c}$ having at least one zero in $[-1,1]$. This is an essentially sharp reverse Markov-type inequality for the classes $\mathcal{F}_{n, k}^{c}$ extending earlier results of Turán and Komarov from the case $k=0$ to the cases $0 \leq k \leq n$.

## 1. Introduction and Notation

Let $\mathcal{P}_{n}$ denote the set of all algebraic polynomials of degree at most $n$ with real coefficients Let $\mathcal{P}_{n}^{c}$ denote the set of all algebraic polynomials of degree at most $n$ with complex coefficients. Let

$$
\|f\|_{A}:=\sup _{z \in A}|f(z)|
$$

for complex-valued functions defined on $A \subset \mathbb{C}$. Turán [32] proved that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|P^{\prime}\right\|_{[-1,1]} \geq \frac{\sqrt{n}}{6}\|P\|_{[-1,1]} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$
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for all $P \in \mathcal{P}_{n}^{c}$ of degree $n$ having all their zeros in the interval $[-1,1]$. The examples $P(x)=\left(x^{2}-1\right)^{m}$ and $P(x)=\left(x^{2}-1\right)^{m}(x+1)$ show that Turán's reverse Markov-type inequality (1.1) is essentially sharp, even though the multiplicative constant $1 / 6$ in (1.1) is not the best possible. Note that the best possible multiplicative constant $c=c_{n}$ in (1.1) had been found by Erőd [10], see also [11]. Another simple observation of Turán [32] is the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|P^{\prime}\right\|_{D} \geq \frac{n}{2}\|P\|_{D} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $P \in \mathcal{P}_{n}^{c}$ of degree $n$ having all their zeros in the closed unit disk $D \subset \mathbb{C}$. Malik [23] established an extension of (1.2) proving that

$$
\left\|P^{\prime}\right\|_{D} \geq \frac{n}{1+R}\|P\|_{D}
$$

for all $P \in \mathcal{P}_{n}^{c}$ of degree $n$ having all their zeros in the disk $D(0, R) \subset \mathbb{C}$ of radius $R \leq 1$ centered at 0 , while Govil [16] showed that

$$
\left\|P^{\prime}\right\|_{D} \geq \frac{n}{1+R^{n}}\|P\|_{D}
$$

for all $P \in \mathcal{P}_{n}^{c}$ of degree $n$ having all its zeros in the disk $D(0, R) \subset \mathbb{C}$ of radius $R \geq 1$ centered at 0. See also [18, Section 4].

Let $\varepsilon \in[0,1]$ and let $D_{\varepsilon}$ be the ellipse of the complex plane with large axis $[-1,1]$ and small axis $[-i \varepsilon, i \varepsilon]$. Let $\mathcal{P}_{n}^{c}\left(D_{\varepsilon}\right)$ denote the collection of all $P \in \mathcal{P}_{n}^{c}$ of degree $n$ having all their zeros in $D_{\varepsilon}$. Extending Turán's reverse Markov-type inequality (1.1), Erőd [10, III. tétel] proved that there are absolute constants $c_{1}>0$ and $c_{2}>0$ such that

$$
c_{1}(n \varepsilon+\sqrt{n}) \leq \inf _{P} \frac{\left\|P^{\prime}\right\|_{D_{\varepsilon}}}{\|P\|_{D_{\varepsilon}}} \leq c_{2}(n \varepsilon+\sqrt{n})
$$

where the infimum is taken for all $P \in \mathcal{P}_{n}^{c}\left(D_{\varepsilon}\right)$. Levenberg and Poletsky [21] proved that

$$
\frac{\sqrt{n}}{20 \operatorname{diam} K} \leq \inf _{P} \frac{\left\|P^{\prime}\right\|_{K}}{\|P\|_{K}}
$$

for all compact convex set $K \subset \mathbb{C}$, where the infimum is taken for all $P \in \mathcal{P}_{n}^{c}$ of degree $n$ having all their zeros in $K$.

Let $\varepsilon \in[0,1]$ and let $S_{\varepsilon}$ be the diamond of the complex plane with diagonals $[-1,1]$ and [ $-i \varepsilon, i \varepsilon]$. Let $\mathcal{P}_{n}^{c}\left(S_{\varepsilon}\right)$ denote the collection of all $P \in \mathcal{P}_{n}^{c}$ of degree $n$ having all their zeros in $S_{\varepsilon}$. It has been proved in [5] that there are absolute constants $c_{1}>0$ and $c_{2}>0$ such that

$$
c_{1}(n \varepsilon+\sqrt{n}) \leq \inf _{P} \frac{\left\|P^{\prime}\right\|_{S_{\varepsilon}}}{\|P\|_{S_{\varepsilon}}} \leq c_{2}(n \varepsilon+\sqrt{n})
$$

where the infimum is taken for all $P \in \mathcal{P}_{n}^{c}\left(S_{\varepsilon}\right)$ with the property

$$
|P(z)|=|P(-z)|, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}
$$

or where the infimum is taken for all $P \in \mathcal{P}_{n}^{c}\left(S_{\varepsilon}\right)$ with real coefficients. It is an interesting question whether or not the lower bound in the above inequality holds for all $P \in \mathcal{P}_{n}^{c}\left(S_{\varepsilon}\right)$. Another result in [5] shows that this is the case at least when $\varepsilon=1$, that is, there are absolute constants $c_{1}>0$ and $c_{2}>0$ such that

$$
c_{1} n \leq \inf _{P} \frac{\left\|P^{\prime}\right\|_{S_{1}}}{\|P\|_{S_{1}}} \leq c_{2} n
$$

where the infimum is taken for all (complex) $P \in \mathcal{P}_{n}^{c}\left(S_{1}\right)$. Motivated by the above results Révész [28] established the right order Turán-type reverse Markov inequalities on convex domains of the complex plane. His main theorem contains the above mentioned results in [5] as special cases. It states that

$$
\frac{\left\|P^{\prime}\right\|_{K}}{\|P\|_{K}} \geq c(K) n \quad \text { with } \quad c(K)=0.0003 \frac{w(K)}{d(K)^{2}}
$$

for all $P \in \mathcal{P}_{n}^{c}$ of degree $n$ having all their zeros in a bounded convex set $K \subset \mathbb{C}$, where $d(K)$ is the diameter of $K$ and

$$
w(K):=\min _{\gamma \in[-\pi, \pi]}\left(\max _{z \in K} \operatorname{Re}\left(z e^{-i \gamma}\right)-\min _{z \in K} \operatorname{Re}\left(z e^{-i \gamma}\right)\right)
$$

is the minimal width of $K$. The proof given by Révész is elementary, but rather subtle. Results on Turán and Erőd type reverses of Markov- and Bernstein-type inequalities include [25], [34], [9], [33], [21], [19], and [27]. The research on Turán and Erőd type reverses of Markov- and Bernstein-type inequalities got a new impulse suddenly in 2006 in large part by the work of Sz. Révész [28], see [5], [6], [8], [14], [15], and [29], for example.
G.G. Lorentz, M. von Golitschek, and Y. Makovoz devotes Chapter 3 of their book [22] to incomplete polynomials. E.B. Saff and R.S. Varga were among the researchers having contributed significantly to this topic. See [1], [30], and [31], for instance.

Let $\mathcal{P}_{n, k}$ be the set of all algebraic polynomials, with real coefficients, of degree at most $n+k$ having at least $n+1$ zeros at 0 . That is, every $P \in \mathcal{P}_{n, k}$ is of the form

$$
P(x)=x^{n+1} R(x), \quad R \in \mathcal{P}_{k-1} .
$$

Let

$$
V_{a}^{b}(f):=\int_{a}^{b}\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right| d x
$$

denote the total variation of a continuously differentiable function $f$ on an interval $[a, b]$. In [7] a question [12] asked by A. Eskenazis and P. Ivanisvili related to their paper [13] as well as to [26] is answered by proving that there are absolute constants $c_{3}>0$ and $c_{4}>0$ such that

$$
c_{3} \frac{n}{k} \leq \min _{0 \neq P \in \mathcal{P}_{n, k}} \frac{\left\|P^{\prime}\right\|_{[0,1]}}{V_{0}^{1}(P)} \leq \min _{0 \neq P \in \mathcal{P}_{n, k}} \frac{\left\|P^{\prime}\right\|_{[0,1]}}{|P(1)|} \leq c_{4}\left(\frac{n}{k}+1\right)
$$

for all integers $n \geq 1$ and $k \geq 1$. Here $c_{3}=1 / 12$ is a suitable choice.

In [7] we also proved that there are absolute constants $c_{3}>0$ and $c_{4}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{3}\left(\frac{n}{k}\right)^{1 / 2} & \leq \min _{0 \neq P \in \mathcal{P}_{n, k}} \frac{\left\|P^{\prime}(x) \sqrt{1-x^{2}}\right\|_{[0,1]}}{V_{0}^{1}(P)} \\
& \leq \min _{0 \not \equiv P \in \mathcal{P}_{n, k}} \frac{\left\|P^{\prime}(x) \sqrt{1-x^{2}}\right\|_{[0,1]}}{|P(1)|} \leq c_{4}\left(\frac{n}{k}+1\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all integers $n \geq 1$ and $k \geq 1$. Here $c_{3}=1 / 8$ is a suitable choice.
Let

$$
D^{+}:=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z| \leq 1, \quad \operatorname{Im}(z) \geq 0\}
$$

In [20] Komarov proved that

$$
\left\|P^{\prime}\right\|_{[-1,1]} \geq A \sqrt{n}\|P\|_{[-1,1]}, \quad A=\frac{2}{3 \sqrt{210 e}}=0.0279 \ldots
$$

for all polynomials $P$ of degree $n$ having all their zeros in the closed upper half-disk $D^{+}$.
For integers $0 \leq k \leq n$ let $\mathcal{F}_{n, k}^{c}$ be the set of all polynomials $P \in \mathcal{P}_{n}^{c}$ having at least $n-k$ zeros in $D^{+}$. In this paper we prove an essentially sharp reverse Markov-type inequality for the classes $\mathcal{F}_{n, k}^{c}$ extending the above mentioned results of Turán and Komarov from the case $k=0$ to the cases $0 \leq k \leq n$.

## 2. New Results

The lower bound of Theorem 2.1 below is quite a new result even in the case when the infimum is taken for polynomials $P \in \mathcal{P}_{n}^{c}$ having at least $n-k$ zeros only in $[-1,1]$ rather than $D^{+}$.

Theorem 2.1. There are absolute constants $c_{1}>0$ and $c_{2}>0$ such that

$$
c_{1}\left(\frac{n}{k+1}\right)^{1 / 2} \leq \inf _{P} \frac{\left\|P^{\prime}\right\|_{[-1,1]}}{\|P\|_{[-1,1]}} \leq c_{2}\left(\frac{n}{k+1}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

for all integers $0 \leq k \leq n$, where the infimum is taken for all $0 \not \equiv P \in \mathcal{F}_{n, k}^{c}$ having at least one zero in $[-1,1]$. Here $c_{1}=1 / 636$ is a suitable choice. When $0 \leq k \leq n / 100000$ the lower bound remains valid even if the infimum is taken for all $0 \not \equiv P \in \mathcal{F}_{n, k}^{c}$.

Theorem 2.1 follows from the results below.
Theorem 2.2. Let $1 \leq k \leq n / 100000$. We have

$$
\left\|P^{\prime}\right\|_{[-1,1]} \geq \frac{1}{144 e}\left(\frac{n-k}{2 k}\right)^{1 / 2}\|P\|_{[-1,1]}
$$

for all $P \in \mathcal{F}_{n, k}^{c}$.

Corollary 2.3. Let $1 \leq k \leq n$. We have

$$
\left\|P^{\prime}\right\|_{[-1,1]} \geq \max \left\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{448}\left(\frac{n-k}{2 k}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\}\|P\|_{[-1,1]}
$$

for all $P \in \mathcal{F}_{n, k}^{c}$ with at least one zero in $[-1,1]$.
Theorem 2.4. There is an absolute constant $c_{2}>0$ and there are polynomials $0 \not \equiv P=$ $P_{n, k} \in \mathcal{F}_{2 n, 2 k}^{c}$ of the form

$$
P(x)=\left(x^{2}-1\right)^{n-k} R(x), \quad R \in \mathcal{P}_{2 k}
$$

such that

$$
\frac{\left\|P^{\prime}\right\|_{[-1,1]}}{\|P\|_{[-1,1]}} \leq c_{2}\left(\frac{n}{k}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

for every $1 \leq k \leq n$.
We remark that the upper bound of Theorem 2.1 remains valid if we replace the closed upper half-disk $D^{+}$with the closed unit disk $D$ in the definition of $\mathcal{F}_{n, k}^{c}$, as then the infimum is taken for a larger class of polynomials. However, the lower bound of Theorem 2.1 does not remain valid if we replace the closed upper half-disk $D^{+}$with the closed unit disk $D$ in the definition of $\mathcal{F}_{n, k}^{c}$, not even in the case that $k=0$. This can be seen by the example given in [20] (see also [21], where the case of star-shaped compact sets was considered). For completeness we present here a slight modification of the calculation made in [20] in a few lines. Given $\varepsilon>0$, let $m$ be the even integer for which $1 / \varepsilon<m \leq 1 / \varepsilon+2$. We claim that for every $\varepsilon>0$ and for every integer $n \geq 1$ there is a $P_{n} \in \mathcal{P}_{m n}^{c}$ of degree $m n$ having all its zeros on the unit circle $\partial D$ such that

$$
\left\|P_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{[-1,1]} \leq(1 / \varepsilon+2)^{1-\varepsilon}(m n)^{\varepsilon}\left\|P_{n}\right\|_{[-1,1]} .
$$

To see this let $P_{n} \in \mathcal{P}_{m n}^{c}$ be defined by $P_{n}(z):=\left(z^{m}-1\right)^{n}$. Observe that $\left\|P_{n}\right\|_{[-1,1]}=1$ (as $m$ is even), and the function

$$
\left|P_{n}^{\prime}(x)\right|=m n\left(1-x^{m}\right)^{n-1}|x|^{m-1}
$$

achieves its maximum on $[-1,1]$ at the point $a \in(0,1)$, where

$$
a^{m}=\frac{m-1}{m n-1} \leq \frac{1}{n} .
$$

Hence

$$
\left|P_{n}^{\prime}(a)\right| \leq m n a^{m-1} \leq m n n^{1 / m-1} \leq m n^{\varepsilon} \leq m^{1-\varepsilon}(m n)^{\varepsilon} \leq(1 / \varepsilon+2)^{1-\varepsilon}(m n)^{\varepsilon}
$$

## 3. Lemmas

Our proof of Theorem 2.2 is based on the following two non-trivial results. Lemma 3.1 below is proved in [17].

Lemma 3.1. If $Q \in \mathcal{F}_{n, 0}^{c}$ and

$$
E_{\delta}:=\left\{x \in[-1,1]:\left|\frac{Q^{\prime}(x)}{Q(x)}\right| \leq n \delta\right\}, \quad \delta>0
$$

then

$$
m\left(E_{\delta}\right)<A \delta, \quad \delta>0
$$

where $A:=70 e$ is a suitable choice.
Lemma 3.2 below was first proved in [24]. Its proof may also be found in [4, Section 7.2 ] with the larger constant $B=8 \sqrt{2}$.

Lemma 3.2. If $R \in \mathcal{P}_{k}^{c}$ and

$$
F_{\alpha}:=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}:\left|\frac{R^{\prime}(x)}{R(x)}\right| \geq \alpha\right\}, \quad \alpha>0
$$

then

$$
m\left(F_{\alpha}\right) \leq \frac{B k}{\alpha}, \quad \alpha>0
$$

where $B:=2 e$ is a suitable choice.
To prove Theorem 2.4 we need the following two lemmas. Lemma 3.3 below is stated and proved as Theorem 2.1 in [7] by using deep results from [2] and [3]. Recall that $\mathcal{P}_{n-k, k}$, $0 \leq k \leq n$, denotes the set of all algebraic polynomials with real coefficients, of degree at most $n$ having at least $n-k+1$ zeros at 0 .

Lemma 3.3. There are absolute constants $c_{3}>0$ and $c_{4}>0$ such that

$$
c_{3} \frac{n-k}{k} \leq \min _{0 \neq P \in \mathcal{P}_{n-k, k}} \frac{\left\|P^{\prime}\right\|_{[0,1]}}{V_{0}^{1}(P)} \leq \min _{0 \neq P \in \mathcal{P}_{n-k, k}} \frac{\left\|P^{\prime}\right\|_{[0,1]}}{|P(1)|} \leq c_{4} \frac{n}{k}
$$

for all integers $1 \leq k \leq n-1$. Here $c_{3}=1 / 12$ is a suitable choice.
Lemma 3.4 below follows directly from Lemma 3.2 in [7].
Lemma 3.4. Let $1 \leq k \leq n / 11$ and let $S(x):=x^{n-k} R(x)$ with $R \in \mathcal{P}_{k}$. We have

$$
|S(x)|<\|S\|_{[0,1]}, \quad x \in\left[0,1-\frac{10 k}{n-k}\right]
$$

Lemma 3.5 below follows simply from Lemma 3.4.

Lemma 3.5. Let $1 \leq k \leq(n-10) / 20$ and let $W(x):=(1-x)^{n-k} V(x)$ with $0 \not \equiv V \in \mathcal{P}_{k}$. We have

$$
\left|y^{1 / 2} W(y)\right|<\left\|u^{1 / 2} W(u)\right\|_{[0,1]}, \quad y \in\left[\frac{10(2 k+1)}{n}, 1\right] .
$$

Proof of Lemma 3.5. Replacing $n$ by $2 n+1$ and $k$ by $2 k+1$ in Lemma 3.4 we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|S(x)|<\|S\|_{[0,1]}, \quad x \in\left[0,1-\frac{10(2 k+1)}{n}\right] \subset\left[0,1-\frac{10(2 k+1)}{2 n-2 k}\right] \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever $1 \leq k \leq(n-10) / 20 \leq n / 2$ and $S(x):=x^{2 n-2 k} R(x)$ with $R \in \mathcal{P}_{2 k+1}$. Replacing the variable $x$ by $1-x$ in (3.1) yields that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|S(x)|<\|S\|_{[0,1]}, \quad x \in\left[\frac{10(2 k+1)}{n}, 1\right] \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever $1 \leq k \leq(n-10) / 20$ and $S(x):=(1-x)^{2 n-2 k} R(x)$ with $R \in \mathcal{P}_{2 k+1}$. Now let $1 \leq k \leq(n-10) / 20$ and let $W(x):=(1-x)^{n-k} V(x)$ with $0 \not \equiv V \in \mathcal{P}_{k}$. Applying (3.2) to $S$ defined by

$$
S(x)=x W(x)^{2}=(1-x)^{2 n-2 k}\left(x V(x)^{2}\right), \quad V \in \mathcal{P}_{k}
$$

we get the conclusion of the lemma.

## 4. Proof of the Theorems

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Let $0 \not \equiv P \in \mathcal{F}_{n, k}^{c}$, that is, $P=Q R$, where $Q \in \mathcal{F}_{n-k, 0}^{c}$ and $R \in \mathcal{P}_{k}^{c}$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{P^{\prime}}{P}=\frac{Q^{\prime}}{Q}+\frac{R^{\prime}}{R} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 3.1 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
m\left(E_{\delta}\right)<A \delta, \quad \delta>0, \quad A:=70 e \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\delta}:=\left\{x \in[-1,1]:\left|\frac{Q^{\prime}(x)}{Q(x)}\right| \leq(n-k) \delta\right\}, \quad \delta>0 \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 3.2 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
m\left(F_{\delta}\right) \leq B \delta, \quad \delta>0, \quad B:=2 e \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\delta}:=\left\{x \in[-1,1]:\left|\frac{R^{\prime}(x)}{R(x)}\right| \geq \frac{k}{\delta}\right\}, \quad \delta>0 \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we choose $\delta>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{k}{\delta}=\frac{1}{2}(n-k) \delta, \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta:=\left(\frac{2 k}{n-k}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, combining (4.1)-(4.7), we can deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{P^{\prime}(x)}{P(x)}\right| \geq\left|\frac{Q^{\prime}(x)}{Q(x)}\right|-\left|\frac{R^{\prime}(x)}{R(x)}\right| \geq(n-k) \delta-\frac{k}{\delta}=\left(\frac{(n-k) k}{2}\right)^{1 / 2}, \quad x \in[-1,1] \backslash H_{\delta} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H_{\delta}:=E_{\delta} \cup F_{\delta}$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
m\left(H_{\delta}\right)<(A+B) \delta=72 e \delta \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that

$$
1 \leq k \leq \frac{n}{100000}
$$

implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
72 e \delta=72 e\left(\frac{2 k}{n-k}\right)^{1 / 2} \leq 72 e\left(\frac{2}{99999}\right)^{1 / 2}<1 \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choose an $x_{0} \in[-1,1]$ such that $\left|P\left(x_{0}\right)\right|:=\|P\|_{[-1,1]}$. It follows from (4.10) that the length of the interval $\left[x_{0}-72 e \delta, x_{0}+72 e \delta\right] \cap[-1,1]$ is at least $72 e \delta$, and hence (4.9) implies that there is a

$$
\begin{equation*}
y \in\left[x_{0}-72 e \delta, x_{0}+72 e \delta\right] \cap[-1,1] \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
y \in[-1,1] \backslash H_{\delta} . \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

If

$$
\begin{equation*}
|P(y)| \geq \frac{1}{2}\|P\|_{[-1,1]} \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

then combining (4.12), (4.8) and (4.13), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|P^{\prime}\right\|_{[-1,1]} & \geq\left|P^{\prime}(y)\right| \geq\left(\frac{1}{2}(n-k) k\right)^{1 / 2}|P(y)| \\
& \geq\left(\frac{1}{2}(n-k) k\right)^{1 / 2} \frac{1}{2}\|P\|_{[-1,1]} \geq \frac{1}{144 e}\left(\frac{n-k}{2 k}\right)^{1 / 2}\|P\|_{[-1,1]}
\end{aligned}
$$

and the theorem follows. If (4.13) does not hold, that is, $|P(y)|<\frac{1}{2}\|P\|_{[-1,1]}$, then it follows from the Mean Value Theorem and (4.11) that there is a value $\xi$ in the open interval between $y$ and $x_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|P^{\prime}\right\|_{[-1,1]} & \geq\left|P^{\prime}(\xi)\right| \geq\left|\frac{P(y)-P\left(x_{0}\right)}{y-x_{0}}\right| \geq \frac{1}{2}\|P\|_{[-1,1]}\left|y-x_{0}\right|^{-1} \\
& \geq(144 e \delta)^{-1}\|P\|_{[-1,1]}=\frac{1}{144 e}\left(\frac{n-k}{2 k}\right)^{1 / 2}\|P\|_{[-1,1]}
\end{aligned}
$$

and the theorem follows.
Proof of Corollary 2.3. Let $1 \leq k \leq n$. Suppose $0 \not \equiv P \in \mathcal{F}_{n, k}^{c}$ has at least one zero in $[-1,1]$. Choose $a, b \in[-1,1]$ such that $P(a)=0$, and $|P(b)|=\|P\|_{[-1,1]}$. By the Mean Value Theorem there is a $\xi \in(-1,1)$ between $a$ and $b$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|P^{\prime}\right\|_{[-1,1]} \geq\left|P^{\prime}(\xi)\right| \geq\left|\frac{P(b)-P(a)}{b-a}\right| \geq \frac{1}{2}\|P\|_{[-1,1]} \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $1 \leq k \leq \frac{n}{100000}$, the result follows from Theorem 2.2 and (4.14) as $1 / 448 \leq(144 e)^{-1}$. If $\frac{n}{100000}<k \leq n$, then

$$
\frac{1}{448}\left(\frac{n-k}{2 k}\right)^{1 / 2} \leq \frac{1}{448}\left(\frac{99999}{2}\right)^{1 / 2}<\frac{1}{2}
$$

and the result follows simply from (4.14).
Proof of Theorem 2.4. For $k=n$ the polynomials $P=P_{n, n} \in \mathcal{F}_{2 n, 2 n}^{c}$ defined by $P(x):=x$ show the theorem with $c_{2}=1$. Let $1 \leq k \leq n-1$. By the upper bound of Lemma 3.3 there is an absolute constant $c_{4}>0$ and there are polynomials

$$
0 \not \equiv Q=Q_{n, k} \in \mathcal{P}_{n-k, k}
$$

such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left\|Q^{\prime}\right\|_{[0,1]}}{\|Q\|_{[0,1]}} \leq c_{4} \frac{n}{k} . \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \not \equiv R(x)=R_{n, k}(x)=Q(1-x) . \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously $R$ is of the form

$$
R(x)=(1-x)^{n-k+1} U(x), \quad U \in \mathcal{P}_{k-1},
$$

and $R^{\prime}$ is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{\prime}(x)=(1-x)^{n-k} V(x), \quad V \in \mathcal{P}_{k-1} \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $0 \not \equiv P=P_{n, k}$ be defined by $P(x):=R\left(x^{2}\right)$. Observe that $P$ is of the form

$$
P(x)=\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{n-k+1} U(x), \quad U \in \mathcal{P}_{2 k-2}^{c}
$$

hence $P \in \mathcal{F}_{2 n, 2 k}^{c}$. Observe that $P(x):=R\left(x^{2}\right)$ and (4.16) imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|P\|_{[-1,1]}=\|R\|_{[0,1]}=\|Q\|_{[0,1]} \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{\prime}(x)=2 x R^{\prime}\left(x^{2}\right) . \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

First assume that $1 \leq k \leq(n-10) / 20$. Let $y:=x^{2}$. Using (4.19), (4.17), $R^{\prime} \not \equiv 0$, and Lemma 3.5, we obtain

$$
\left|P^{\prime}(x)\right|=\left|2 x R^{\prime}\left(x^{2}\right)\right|=\left|2 y^{1 / 2} R^{\prime}(y)\right|<\left\|2 u^{1 / 2} R^{\prime}(u)\right\|_{[0,1]}=\left\|P^{\prime}\right\|_{[-1,1]}
$$

for every $y=x^{2} \in[10(2 k+1) / n, 1]$, and hence there is an

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \in\left[0,\left(\frac{10(2 k+1)}{n}\right)^{1 / 2}\right] \subset[0,1] \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|P^{\prime}(a)\right|=\left\|P^{\prime}\right\|_{[0,1]} . \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $1 \leq k \leq(n-10) / 20$ implies that $a \in[0,1]$. Using (4.19), (4.21), (4.19) again, (4.20), (4.15), and (4.18), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|P^{\prime}\right\|_{[-1,1]} & =\left\|P^{\prime}\right\|_{[0,1]}=\left|P^{\prime}(a)\right|=\left|2 a R^{\prime}\left(a^{2}\right)\right| \\
& \leq 2\left(\frac{10(2 k+1)}{n}\right)^{1 / 2}\left\|R^{\prime}\right\|_{[0,1]}=2\left(\frac{10(2 k+1)}{n}\right)^{1 / 2}\left\|Q^{\prime}\right\|_{[0,1]} \\
& \leq 2\left(\frac{10(2 k+1)}{n}\right)^{1 / 2} c_{4} \frac{n}{k}\|Q\|_{[0,1]} \\
& \leq c_{2}\left(\frac{n}{k}\right)^{1 / 2}\|Q\|_{[0,1]}=c_{2}\left(\frac{n}{k}\right)^{1 / 2}\|P\|_{[-1,1]}
\end{aligned}
$$

with the absolute constant $c_{2}=12 c_{4}>0$.

Now assume that in addition to $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ we have $(n-10) / 20 \leq k \leq n-1$. Hence $k \geq n / 30$ also holds. Choose an $a \in[0,1]$ such that (4.21) holds. Using (4.19), (4.21), (4.19) again, (4.15), $k \geq n / 30$, (4.18), and $1 \leq k \leq n$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|P^{\prime}\right\|_{[-1,1]} & =\left\|P^{\prime}\right\|_{[0,1]}=\left|P^{\prime}(a)\right|=\left|2 a R^{\prime}\left(a^{2}\right)\right| \leq 2\left\|R^{\prime}\right\|_{[0,1]}=2\left\|Q^{\prime}\right\|_{[0,1]} \\
& \leq 2 c_{4} \frac{n}{k}\|Q\|_{[0,1]}=60 c_{4}\|Q\|_{[0,1]}=60 c_{4}\|P\|_{[-1,1]} \leq c_{2}\left(\frac{n}{k}\right)^{1 / 2}\|P\|_{[-1,1]}
\end{aligned}
$$

with the absolute constant $c_{2}=60 c_{4}>0$.
Proof of Theorem 2.1. The case that $k=0$ is the result of Komarov [20] mentioned in the Introduction, so we may assume that $1 \leq k \leq n$, in which cases the lower bound of the theorem follows immediately from Corollary 2.3. To see that $c_{1}:=1 / 636$ can be chosen in the lower bound of the theorem we distinguish three cases. If $k=0$, then Komarov's result mentioned in the Introduction gives the lower bound of the theorem with $c_{1}:=1 / 636$ as

$$
\frac{1}{636}<\frac{2}{3 \sqrt{210 e}}
$$

If $1 \leq k \leq n / 318$, then Corollary 2.3 gives the lower bound of the theorem with $c_{1}:=1 / 636$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{636}\left(\frac{n}{k+1}\right)^{1 / 2} & \leq \frac{1}{636}\left(\frac{n}{k}\right)^{1 / 2}=\frac{1}{636}\left(\frac{2 n}{n-k}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{n-k}{2 k}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& =\frac{\sqrt{2}}{636}\left(1+\frac{k}{n-k}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{n-k}{2 k}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{449}\left(1+\frac{1}{317}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{n-k}{2 k}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{448}\left(\frac{n-k}{2 k}\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $n / 318 \leq k \leq n$, then $n / k \leq 318$, and hence Corollary 2.3 gives the lower bound of the theorem with $c_{1}:=1 / 636$ again as

$$
\frac{1}{636}\left(\frac{n}{k+1}\right)^{1 / 2} \leq \frac{1}{636}\left(\frac{n}{k}\right)^{1 / 2} \leq \frac{1}{636} \sqrt{318} \leq \frac{1}{2}
$$

To see the upper bound of the theorem let $f(n, k)$ defined by

$$
f(n, k):=\min _{0 \neq P \in \mathcal{F}_{n, k}^{c}} \frac{\left\|P^{\prime}\right\|_{[-1,1]}}{\|P\|_{[-1,1]}} .
$$

When $k=0$ and $n=2 \nu$ is even the polynomial $P$ defined by $P(x)=\left(x^{2}-1\right)^{\nu}$ shows the upper bound of the theorem. Observe that for a fixed positive integer $n$ the function $f(n, k)$ is decreasing on the set of integers $0 \leq k \leq n$, and for a fixed integer $1 \leq k \leq n$ we have $f(n, k) \leq f(n-1, k-1)$. So it is sufficient to show the upper bound of the theorem only for even numbers $n=2 \nu$ and $k=2 \kappa$ satisfying $1 \leq \kappa \leq \nu$ in which cases the upper bound of the theorem follows from Theorem 2.4.
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