

Problems in Real Variables, II (Math608), Solutions

Prof.: Thomas Schlumprecht

Problem 1. (Old Qualifying Exam) Assume we consider on \mathbb{R} the Lebesgue measure.

- Prove for $1 \leq p < \infty$ that the continuous functions on \mathbb{R} with compact support are dense in $L_p(\mathbb{R})$.
- For $1 < p, q < \infty$, with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $f \in L_p(\mathbb{R})$, and $g \in L_q(\mathbb{R})$ define

$$f * g(x) = \int f(z) \cdot g(x - z) dz, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The map $f * g$ is called the *convolution of f and g* . Show that the function $x \mapsto f * g(x)$ is continuous on \mathbb{R} and that $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |f * g(x)| \leq \|f\|_p \cdot \|g\|_q$.

Proof. First note that every $f \in L_p$ is the L_p -limit of elements in L_p with compact support. Indeed choose $f_n = \chi_{[-n, n]} f$, then f_n converges pointwise to f , secondly $|f - f_n|^p \leq 2^p |f|^p$, thus by the Dominated Convergence Theorem $\|f - f_n\|_p \rightarrow 0$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$. Secondly, note that the bounded measurable functions with compact support are dense in L_p . This follows from the fact that the simple functions are dense (as shown in class).

Now let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $(\mathcal{B}_{[-n, n]})$ denote the Borel sets in $[-n, n]$, and $C_c(\mathbb{R})$ are the continuous functions on \mathbb{R} with compact support)

$$\mathcal{D} = \{A \in \mathcal{B}_{[-n, n]} : \forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists f \in C_c(\mathbb{R}) : \|\chi_A - f\|_p < \varepsilon\}.$$

It is easy to see that \mathcal{D} is a Dynkin system which contains the subintervals of $[-n, n]$. Since step functions with bounded support are dense in $L_p(\mathbb{R})$, we deduce our claim.

(b) First note that if $g \in L_q$ then for each $x \in \mathbb{R}$ that the map $g(\cdot - x) : \mathbb{R} \ni z \mapsto g(z - x)$ is in L_q and $\|g(\cdot - x)\|_q = \|g\|_q$ (translation invariance of Lebesgue measure) Therefore, it follows from the Theorem of Hölder that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$

$$|f * g(x)| \leq \|f\|_p \cdot \|g(\cdot - x)\|_q = \|f\|_p \cdot \|g\|_q,$$

which means that $f * g$ is bounded.

If g is continuous with compact support it follows again from the Theorem of Hölder for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, that

$$|f * g(x) - f * g(y)| \leq \|f\|_p \cdot \|g(\cdot - x) - g(\cdot - y)\|_q.$$

Since continuous functions on compact spaces are uniformly continuous it follows that $f * g$ is continuous if g is continuous with compact support.

Assume now that $g \in L_q$ is general, let $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. First choose by (a) a continuous \tilde{g} with compact support so that $\|g - \tilde{g}\|_q < \varepsilon/3(1 + \|f\|_p)$ then choose $\delta > 0$ so that for all $z \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|x - z| < \delta$ it follows that

$|f * \tilde{g}(x) - f * \tilde{g}(z)| < \varepsilon/3$. For all $z \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|x - z| < \delta$ it then follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |f * g(x) - f * g(z)| &\leq |f * g(x) - f * \tilde{g}(x)| + |f * \tilde{g}(x) - f * \tilde{g}(z)| + |f * \tilde{g}(z) - f * g(z)| \\ &\leq 2\|f\|_p \|g - \tilde{g}\|_q + \varepsilon/3 < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Problem 2. (The case $p = \infty$) Let (X, \mathcal{M}, μ) be a measure space. For measurable $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (or \mathbb{C} instead of \mathbb{R}), we define

$$\|f\|_\infty = \inf \{r \geq 0 : \mu(\{x \in X : |f(x)| > r\}) = 0\},$$

and let

$$L_\infty(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu) = L_\infty(\mu) = \{f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : \text{mble. } \|f\|_\infty < \infty\}$$

- a) (Theorem of Hölder for $p = \infty$ and $q = 1$) For $f \in L_\infty(\mu)$ and $g \in L_1(\mu)$, we have $f \cdot g \in L_1(\mu)$, and $\|fg\|_1 \leq \|f\|_\infty \cdot \|g\|_1$. Characterize when $\|fg\|_1 = \|f\|_\infty \cdot \|g\|_1$.
- b) $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ is norm on L_∞ ,
- c) For $(f_n) \subset L_\infty(\mu)$, and $f \in L_\infty(\mu)$, we have that $f_n \rightarrow f$ in $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ if and only if there is a μ -null set E so that f_n converges uniformly to f outside of E .
- d) $L_\infty(\mu)$ is a Banach space.
- e) Simple functions are dense in $L_\infty(\mu)$.
- f) Continuous bounded functions on \mathbb{R} are not dense in $L_\infty(\mathbb{R})$

Proof. (a) Let $f \in L_\infty(\mu)$ and $g \in L_1(\mu)$. For an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$, it follows from the definition of $\|f\|_\infty$ that the set

$$A = \{x \in X : |f(x)| \geq \varepsilon + \|f\|_\infty\}$$

is a μ -Nullset. Thus it follows that

$$\int |g(x)f(x)| \leq \int |g(x)| \cdot (\|f\|_\infty + \varepsilon) d\mu = \|g\|_1(\|f\|_\infty + \varepsilon).$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary we deduce the claim.

Assume that $\|gf\|_1 = \|g\|_1 \|f\|_\infty$ and thus

$$\int (\|f\|_\infty - f(x))g(x) d\mu = 0.$$

Since $f \leq \|f\|_\infty$ μ a.e. it follows that $f = \|f\|_\infty$, μ -a.e. Conversely, if $f = \|f\|_\infty$, μ -a.e. it follows clearly that $\|fg\|_1 = \|g\|_1$.

(b) For $f, g \in L_\infty(\mu)$ and a scalar α we observe:

$$\|f\|_\infty = 0 \iff \forall r > 0, \quad |f| < r \text{ } \mu\text{-a.e.} \iff f = 0 \text{ } \mu\text{-a.e.},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\alpha f\|_\infty &= \inf \{r > 0 : \mu(\{x \in X : |\alpha f(x)| > r\}) = 0\} \\
&= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \alpha = 0 \\ \inf \{r > 0 : \mu(\{x \in X : |f(x)| > r/\alpha\}) = 0\} & \text{if } \alpha \neq 0 \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \alpha = 0 \\ \inf \{|\alpha|\rho : \rho > 0 : \mu(\{x \in X : |f(x)| > \rho\}) = 0\} & \text{if } \alpha \neq 0 \end{cases} \\
&= |\alpha| \|f\|_\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Thirdly we compute for an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mu(\{x \in X : |f(x) + g(x)| > \|f\|_\infty + \|g\|_\infty + 2\varepsilon\}) \\
&\leq \mu(\{x \in X : |f(x)| > \|f\|_\infty + \varepsilon\}) + \mu(\{x \in X : |g(x)| > \|g\|_\infty + \varepsilon\}) = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\|f + g\|_\infty \leq 2\varepsilon + \|f\|_\infty + \|g\|_\infty$, and thus, since $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, that $\|f + g\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_\infty + \|g\|_\infty$,

(c) Let $r_n = \|f_n - f\|_\infty$ and

$$A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ x \in X : |f_n(x) - f(x)| \leq r_n + \frac{1}{n} \right\}.$$

Then A is a null set and $f_n(x)$ converges uniformly to $f(x)$ on $X \setminus A$.

(d) Assume that f_n is absolutely converging in $L_\infty(\mu)$. Let

$$A = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{x \in X : |f_n(x)| \geq 2^n + \|f_n\|_\infty\}.$$

A is a μ -nullset and $f(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_n(x)$ exists for all $x \in X \setminus A$.

Moreover for $x \in X \setminus A$,

$$|f(x)| \leq 1 + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|f_n\|$$

which implies that $f \in L_\infty(\mu)$, and, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in X \setminus A$

$$\left| \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} f_j(x) \right| \leq \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} |f_j(x)| \leq 1 + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \|f_j\|_\infty,$$

which implies that $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_n$ converges in $L_\infty(\mu)$ to f .

(e) This essentially follows from the same argument with which we proved that positive measurable functions are limits of step functions. Indeed, let $f \in L_\infty(\mu)$, and define $r = \|f\|_\infty + 1$ (the point being that r needs to be slightly bigger than $\|f\|_\infty$). Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and choose $N \in \mathbb{N}$, with $N > 1/\varepsilon$. Then define for $j = 1, 2, \dots, \lceil 2rN \rceil$

$$A_j = \left[-r + \frac{j-1}{N}, -r + \frac{j}{N} \right),$$

And then take

$$\tilde{f} = \sum_{j=1}^{\lceil 2rN \rceil} \frac{j-1}{N} \chi_{f^{-1}(A_j)}.$$

(f). We note that the L_∞ - distance of $\chi_{[0,1]}$ to any continuous function is at least $1/2$. Indeed, let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function, and assume that $\|f - \chi_{[0,1]}\|_\infty = r < \frac{1}{2}$. Then $f \geq r$ a.e. on $[0,1]$. But this implies by the continuity of f that there is a $\delta > 0$ so that $f(x) > 1/2$ for all $x \in (-\delta, 0)$ (let $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2} - r$), which means that

$$m(\{x \in (-\delta, 0) : f(x) > \frac{1}{2}\}) \neq 0$$

and thus we get a contradiction.

Problem 3. Let $1 < p < \infty$. Find a function $f : [0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is in $\bigcap_{r < p} L_r[0,1]$, but $f \notin L_p[0,1]$ and find a function $g : [0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is in $L_p[0,1]$ but not in $\bigcap_{r > p} L_r[0,1]$.

Proof. Put $f = x^{-1/p}$, $0 < x \leq 1$.

$$\|f\|_p^p = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_\varepsilon^1 \frac{1}{x} dx = -\ln \varepsilon \rightarrow \infty_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0},$$

Thus $f \notin L_p[0,1]$. But since $f \geq 0$ and since $x^{r/p}$ is Riemann intergrable for all $r < p$, it follows that $f \in L_r(\mu)$, for $r < p$.

In order to define g we let (r_n) be decreasing sequence in $(p, 1]$ which converges to p , and define for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $g_n(x) = x^{1/r_n}$, $0 < x \leq 1$. By the above observations it follows that $g_n \in L_p[0,1]$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $g_n \notin L_{r_n}[0,1]$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

Define

$$g(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} \frac{g_n(x)}{\|g_n\|_p},$$

then the series is absolute convergent in $L_p[0,1]$ and thus convergent, and $\|g\|_p = 1$. But for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\|g\|_{r_n} \geq 2^{-n} \|g_n\|_{r_n} / \|g_n\|_p = \infty.$$

Since $L_r[0,1]$ is a subset of $L_{r'}[0,1]$ if $r > r'$, it follows that g cannot be in any $L_r[0,1]$, with $r > p$.

Problem 4. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. For sequence $(f_n) \subset L_p(\mu)$ ((X, \mathcal{M}, μ) measure space) and $f \in L_p(\mu)$ it follows that

$$f_n \rightarrow_{n \rightarrow \infty} f \text{ in } L_p \iff f_n \rightarrow f \text{ in measure and } \|f_n\|_p \rightarrow_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f\|_p.$$

Proof. “ \Rightarrow ” is easy, by noting that for $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\mu(\{|f_n - f| > \varepsilon\}) = \mu(\{|f_n - f|^p > \varepsilon^p\}) \leq \varepsilon^{-p} \int_{|f_n - f|^p > \varepsilon^p} |f_n - f|^p d\mu \leq \varepsilon^{-p} \|f - f_n\|_p^p.$$

“ \Leftarrow ” There are two proofs. The one “by foot” I came up with, and the other, more elegant proof, which uses the generalized Dominated Convergence Theorem.

: Long Proof

We first note that we can assume that f_n converges μ a.e. to f . Indeed, every subsequence of (f_n) has a further subsequence which converges a.e. and it is enough to show that every subsequence of (f_n) has a further subsequence which is convergent to f in L_p . It is also enough to show that there is a subsequence of (f_n) which converges in L_p to f , because this would mean that we have shown that every subsequence has a further subsequence which converges in L_p to f .

We first claim that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f + f_n\|_p = 2\|f\|_p$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} 2\|f\|_p &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f\|_p + \|f\|_p \\ &\geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f + f_n\|_p \quad (\text{By triangle-inequality}) \\ &= \left[\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int |f + f_n|^p d\mu \right]^{1/p} \\ &\geq \left[\int \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} |f + f_n|^p d\mu \right]^{1/p} \quad (\text{By Fatou}) \\ &= \left[\int |2f|^p d\mu \right]^{1/p} = 2\|f\|_p. \end{aligned}$$

Next we claim that for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a $C > 0$ so that

$$\|f_n \chi_{\{|f_n| > C|f|\}}\|_p < \varepsilon, \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Assume that where not true, then we could, by passing again to a subsequence, assume w.l.o.g. that there is an ε_0

$$\|f_n \chi_{\{|f_n| > 2^n |f|\}}\|_p \geq \varepsilon_0, \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Note that $g_n = f_n \chi_{\{|f_n| > 2^n |f|\}}$ converges in measure to 0, indeed

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\{|g_n| > r\}) &\leq \mu(\{|f - f_n| \chi_{\{|f_n| \geq 2^n |f|\}} > r/2\}) + \mu(\{|f| \chi_{\{|f_n| \geq 2^n |f|\}} \geq r/2\}) \\ &\leq \mu(\{|f - f_n| > r/2\}) + \mu(\{|f| \chi_{\{|f_n| \geq 2^n r/2\}} \geq r/2\}) \rightarrow_{n \rightarrow \infty} 0. \end{aligned}$$

(Note that $\mu(\{|f_n| \geq 2^n r/2\}) \rightarrow_{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$). After passing to a subsequence we may assume that g_n converges to 0 a.e..

Thus, as above (using Fatou) we can conclude that for $\tilde{f}_n = f_n - g_n = f_n \chi_{\{|f_n| \leq 2^n |f|\}}$, that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f + \tilde{f}_n\|_p \geq 2\|f\|_p.$$

But on the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \|f + \tilde{f}_n\|_p &\leq \|f\|_p + \|\tilde{f}_n\|_p \\ &= \|f\|_p + [\|f_n\|_p^p - \|g_n\|_p^p]^{1/p} \quad (\text{Disjointness of supports}) \\ &\leq \|f\|_p + [\|f_n\|_p^p - \varepsilon_0^p]^{1/p} \rightarrow \|f\|_p + [\|f\|_p^p - \varepsilon_0^p]^{1/p} < 2\|f\|_p. \end{aligned}$$

Now the ‘‘endgame’’ goes as follows. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Choose $C = C_\varepsilon$ so that $\|f_n \chi_{\{|f_n| > C|f|\}}\|_p < \varepsilon$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

It follows

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_n - f\|_p^p &= \int \chi_{\{|f_n| \leq C|f|\}} |f_n - f|^p d\mu + \int \chi_{\{|f_n| > C|f|\}} |f_n - f|^p d\mu \\ &\leq \int \chi_{\{|f_n| \leq C|f|\}} |f_n - f|^p d\mu + \int \chi_{\{|f_n| > C|f|\}} 2^p |f_n| d\mu + \int \chi_{\{|f_n| > C|f|\}} 2^p |f| d\mu \\ &\leq \varepsilon + \int \chi_{\{|f_n| \leq C|f|\}} |f_n - f|^p d\mu + \int \chi_{\{|f_n| > C|f|\}} 2^p |f| d\mu \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

by the Dominated Convergence Theorem. Since $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, we deduce that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f - f_n\|_p = 0$.

Shorter Proof:

First note that as argued above we can assume that f_n is a.e. convergent to f . Then apply the ‘‘Generalized Dominated Convergence Theorem’’ (Page 59 problem 21). To the sequence $(|f - f_n|^p : n \in \mathbb{N})$, noting that

$$\tilde{f}_n := |f - f_n|^p \leq (|f| + |f_n|)^p \leq 2^p(|f| + |f_n|) =: g_n,$$

and that g_n converges almost every where to the function $g = 2^p|f|$. It follows from the Generalized Dominated Convergence Theorem that $\int \tilde{f}_n d\mu \rightarrow_{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$, which implies our claim.

Problem 5. A linear functional on a normed linear space is bounded if and only if $f^{-1}(\{0\})$ is closed.

Proof. \Rightarrow is clear since bounded linear functionals on a normed linear space are continuous, and the preimages of closed sets under continuous maps are closed.

\Leftarrow W.l.o.g. f is not the zero functional (which is clearly bounded). So let $x_0 \in X$ with $f(x_0) \neq 0$, say $f(x_0) = 1$ (after multiplication with the right scalar). Then we can write every $x \in X$ as $x = f(x)x_0 + y$ with $y \in Y = f^{-1}(\{0\})$ (simply note that $x - f(x)x_0$ must be in $f^{-1}(\{0\})$). Now use Theorem of Hahn-Banach (more precisely Theorem 5.8 (a) on page 159) to get a linear bounded functional g on X so that $g|_Y = 0$ and $g(x_0) \neq 0$. After multiplying g with the right scalar we may assume $g(x_0) = 1$. Now we claim that $g = f$, and thus f must be continuous.

Indeed for all $x \in X$ we find $y \in Y$ with $x = f(x)x_0 + y$, and thus

$$g(x) = g(f(x)x_0 + y) = f(x)g(x_0) + g(y) = f(x).$$

Problem 6. Suppose X and Y are normed linear spaces and $T \in L(X, Y)$. Define $T^t : Y^* \rightarrow X^*$ by $y^* \mapsto y^* \circ T$ (which must be in X^* since y^* and T are both bounded and linear).

- $T \in L(Y^*, X^*)$ and $\|T^t\| = \|T\|$.
- Let $I_X : X \rightarrow X^{**}$ and $I_Y : Y \rightarrow Y^{**}$ be the canonical embeddings, then $T^{tt} \circ I_X = I_Y \circ T$.
- T^t injective $\iff \overline{T(X)} = Y$.
- If the range of T^t is dense in X^* , then T is injective. If X is reflexive the converse holds.

Proof. (a) Clearly T^t is welldefined and linear. Moreover

$$\begin{aligned}\|T^t\| &= \sup_{f \in Y^*, \|f\| \leq 1} \|f \circ T\|_{X^*} \\ &= \sup_{f \in Y^*, \|f\| \leq 1} \sup_{x \in X, \|x\| \leq 1} |f \circ T(x)| \\ &\leq \sup_{f \in Y^*, \|f\| \leq 1} \sup_{x \in X, \|x\| \leq 1} \|f\| \cdot \|T\| \cdot \|x\| = \|T\|.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand let $\varepsilon > 0$. Choose $x \in X$, $\|x\| = 1$ so that $\|T(x)\| \geq \|T\|(1 - \varepsilon)$. Secondly choose by Hahn Banach $f \in Y^*$, $\|f\| = 1$ so that $f(T(x)) = \|T(x)\|$. Thus

$$\|T^t\| \geq \|T^t(f)\| \geq |T^t(f)(x)| = f(T(x)) = \|T(x)\| \geq \|T\|(1 - \varepsilon),$$

which implies the claim since $\varepsilon > 0$ was assumed to be arbitrary.

(b) Let $x \in X$. To show $T^{tt} \circ I_X(x) = I_Y \circ T(x)$. This is equivalent to show that for all $y^* \in Y^*$ it follows that $[T^{tt} \circ I_X(x)](y^*) = [I_Y \circ T(x)](y^*)$.

Then note that for $x \in X$ and $y^* \in Y^*$ it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}[T^{tt} \circ I_X(x)](y^*) &= I_X(x)(T^*(y^*)) \text{ (By Definition of } T^{tt}\text{)} \\ &= T^*(y^*)(x) \text{ (By Definition of } I_X\text{)} \\ &= y^*(T(x)) \text{ (By Definition of } T^t\text{)} \\ &= I_Y(T(x))(y^*) \text{ (By Definition of } I_Y\text{)}\end{aligned}$$

(c) *not* \Leftarrow *not*: Let $y_0 \in Y \setminus \overline{T(X)}$. By the corollary of the Hahn Banach theorem we find an $f \in Y^*$ with $f(y_0) = 1$ and $f|_{\overline{T(X)}} = 0$. Thus for all $x \in X$ it follows that $T^t(f)(x) = f(T(x)) = 0$, thus $T^t(f) = 0$, which means that T^t is not injective.

(c) *not* \Rightarrow *not*: Assume that $f \in Y^* \setminus \{0\}$ and $T^t(f) = 0$. This means that for all $x \in X$ it follows that $0 = T^t(f)(x) = f(T(x))$. But this means that $\text{Rg}(T) \subset \text{Ker}(f)$ and thus $\overline{\text{Rg}(T)} \subset \text{Ker}(f) \neq Y$.

(d) We prove *not* \Leftarrow *not* and assume that $T(x) = 0$ for some $x \in X \setminus \{0\}$. It follows for all $y^* \in Y^*$, that $T^t(y^*)(x) = y^*(T(x)) = 0$. This means that $\text{rg}(T) \subset \{x^* \in X^* : \hat{x}(x^*) = x^*(x) = 0\} = A$. Now A is closed By Hahn Banach it follows that there is an $f \in X^*$ with $f(x) \neq 0$, thus $f \notin A$ and therefore A , and thus $\overline{\text{rg}(T)}$ can not be equal to Y .

If X is reflexive the converse follows from part (b) and (c).

Problem 7. Problem 18/page 159 Let X be a normed linear space over $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} .

- a) If Y is a closed subspace and $x \in X \setminus Y$ then $x\mathbb{F} + Y$ is closed
- b) Every finite dimensional subspace of X is closed.

Proof. (a) Let $z_n = \lambda_n x + y_n$ be in $x\mathbb{F} + Y$, and assume that z_n converges in X to some z . We have to show that $z \in x\mathbb{F} + Y$.

Apply the projection P onto the quotient space Y/X (see Problem 1 Homework 4). Then $P(z_n) = P(\lambda_n x) = \lambda_n P(x)$ converges to $P(z)$ (by

continuity of P). Since $P(x) \neq 0$, it follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\lambda_n| = \|P(z)\|/\|P(x)\|,$$

and thus that λ_n has a subsequence (λ_{n_j}) which converges to some $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$. Thus $y_{n_j} = z_{n_j} - \lambda_{n_j}x$ converges to $z - \lambda x$ which therefore has to be in Y (Y is closed), thus for some $y \in Y$, $z = \lambda x + y$.

(b) follows by induction on the dimension of Y , in each step using (a).

Problem 8. Problem 19/page 160 Let X be an infinite-dimensional normed vector space.

- a) There is a sequence $(x_n) \subset X$, with $\|x_n\| = 1$, for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\|x_j - x_i\| \geq \frac{1}{2}$, if $i \neq j$.
 b) The unit ball $B_X = \{x \in X, \|x\| \leq 1\}$ is not compact.

Remark. A much deeper Theorem by Dor and Odell the following is true: For very infinite dimensional Banach space X there is a an $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_X > 0$, so that there is a sequence $(x_j) \in S_X = \{x \in X : \|x\| = 1\}$ so that $\|x_i - x_j\| \geq 1 + \varepsilon$, if $i \neq j$.

See if you can proof the following weaker statement: There is a sequence $(x_j) \subset S_X$ so that $\|x_i - x_j\| > 1$, if $i \neq j$. (this is not a required homework).

Proof. By induction we choose x_1, x_2, \dots in S_X so that $\|x_j - x_i\| \geq 1/2$ whenever $i \neq j$.

Choose x_1 arbitrary and assume that x_1, \dots, x_n have been chosen. Let Y be the space generated by x_1, \dots, x_n . By Problem 7 b, Y is a closed subspace of X .

By Problem 1b/Homework 4, there is an x_{n+1} so that $\|x_{n+1}\| = 1$ and $\|x_{n+1} + Y\| > 1/2$. Thus for all $i = 1, 2 \dots n$,

$$\|x_{n+1} - x_i\| \geq \text{dist}(x_{n+1}, Y) = \|x_{n+1} + Y\| > \frac{1}{2}.$$