

## Problems in Real Variables, II (Math608), Solutions

Prof.: Thomas Schlumprecht

**Problem 1.** Show that there is an  $f \in \ell_\infty^*$  so that  $\|f\| = 1$ ,  $f(1, 1, 1, \dots) = 1$  and  $f|_{c_0} \equiv 0$ .

**Proof.** Note that the distance between the subspace  $c_0$  and the vector  $(1, 1, \dots)$  in  $\ell_\infty$  is 1 i.e.

$$\text{dist}(c_0, (1, 1, \dots)) = \inf_{y \in c_0} \|(1, 1, \dots) - y\|_\infty = \inf_{y \in c_0} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |y_n - 1| = 1.$$

Using a theorem shown in class (Theorem 5.8 (a) on page 159) it follows that there must be a bounded functional  $f \in \ell_\infty^*$  so that  $f|_{c_0} = 0$ ,  $f((1, 1, \dots)) = 1$  and  $\|f\|_{\ell_\infty^*} = 1$ .

**Problem 2.** Show that  $c_0^*$  and  $\ell_1$  are isometrically isomorphic, via the "scalar product", i.e. an element  $y = (y_n) \in \ell_1$  acts on an element  $x = (x_n) \in c_0$  by

$$y(x) = \langle y, x \rangle = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} y_n x_n.$$

$$\text{Recall: } \ell_\infty = \{x = (x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{F} : \|x\|_\infty = \sup |x_i| < \infty\}$$

$$\ell_1 = \{x = (x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{F} : \|x\|_1 = \sum |x_i| < \infty\}$$

$$c_0 = \{x = (x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{F} : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = 0\}$$

**Proof.** Consider the following mapping:

$$I : \ell_\infty \rightarrow \ell_1^*, \quad (x_i) \mapsto I((x_i)), \text{ with } I((x_i))(z_i) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} z_i x_i$$

(a)  $I$  is well defined, i.e.  $I(x) \in \ell_1^*$  for  $x = (x_i) \in \ell_\infty$ .

Indeed for  $z = (z_i) \in \ell_1$ , it follows that

$$(*) \quad \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} |z_i x_i| \leq \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} |x_i| \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} |z_i| = \|x\|_\infty \|z\|_1.$$

Thus  $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} z_i x_i$  is convergent, and it is easy to see that  $I(x)$  is a linear map on  $\ell_1$ . Thus by (\*) it follows that

$$\|I(x)\|_{\ell_1^*} = \sup_{z = (z_i) \in \ell_1, \|z\|_1 \leq 1} \left| \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} z_i x_i \right| \leq \|x\|_\infty.$$

(b)  $I$  is an isometric injection.

Clearly  $I : \ell_\infty \rightarrow \ell_1^*$  is linear, and from (\*) it follows that  $I$  is bounded and that  $\|I\| \leq 1$ . Still to show: for an  $x \in \ell_\infty$  it follows that  $\|I(x)\|_{\ell_1^*} \geq \|x\|_\infty$ .

If  $x = (x_n) \in \ell_\infty$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  we find an  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  so that  $|x_n| \geq \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} |x_i| - \varepsilon = \|x\|_\infty - \varepsilon$ . Let  $e_n$  to be the "n-th" unit vector in  $\ell_1$  (i.e.  $e_n = (0, 0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots)$  with the "1" being in the  $n$ -coordinate). Choose  $z = \text{sign}(x_n)e_n$  (whether  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ ) and then  $I(x)(z) = |x_n| \geq \|x\|_\infty - \varepsilon$ . Since  $\|z\| = 1$  this implies that  $\|I(x)\| \geq 1 - \varepsilon$  and since  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrary the claim follows.

(c) Surjectivity of  $I$ . Let  $f \in \ell_1^*$ . Define  $x_i = f(e_i)$  for  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . First note that  $\sup |x_i| = \sup |f(e_i)| \leq \|f\|_{\ell_1^*}$ . Secondly for  $x = (x_i)$  and  $z \in \ell_1$ :

$$I(x)(z) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} x_i z_i = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^N z_i f(e_i) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} f\left(\sum_{i=1}^N z_i e_i\right) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} f(z^{(N)}) = f(z)$$

where  $z^{(N)} = \sum_{i=1}^N z_i e_i$  and where the last = follows from the fact that  $Z^{(N)}$  converges in  $\ell_1$  to  $z$ .

**Problem 3.** Problem 24/page 160. Suppose that  $X$  is a Banach space. Let  $\widehat{X}$  and  $(X^*)^\wedge$  be the images of  $X$  and  $X^*$  in  $X^{**}$  and  $X^{***}$ , respectively under the canonical maps

$$\varkappa : X \hookrightarrow X^{**} \text{ and } \overline{\varkappa} : X^{**} \hookrightarrow X^{***}.$$

Define

$$\widehat{X}^0 = \{F \in X^{***} : F|_{\widehat{X}} \equiv 0\}.$$

- a) Show that  $\widehat{X}^0 \cap (X^*)^\wedge = \{0\}$  and  $\widehat{X}^0 + (X^*)^\wedge = X^{***}$ .
- b) Show that  $X$  is reflexive if and only if  $X^*$  is reflexive.

**Proof.**

(a) If  $F \in \widehat{X}^0 \cap (X^*)^\wedge$  then we can write  $F = \overline{\varkappa}(f)$ , with  $f \in X^*$  and we conclude that for any  $x \in X$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, x \rangle &= \langle \varkappa(x), f \rangle \\ &= \langle \overline{\varkappa}(f), \varkappa(x) \rangle \\ &= \langle F, \varkappa(x) \rangle = 0 \text{ since } F \in \widehat{X}^0, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $f$  and, thus  $F = \overline{\varkappa}(f)$  is 0.

Let  $F \in X^{***}$  be arbitrary. Define  $f \in X^*$  by

$$f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{F}, \quad x \mapsto F(\varkappa(x)) = \langle F, \varkappa(x) \rangle.$$

It is easy to see that  $f$  is linear and bounded and thus in  $X^*$ . Then we can write

$$F = \overline{\varkappa}(f) + (F - \overline{\varkappa}(f)).$$

We still need to show that  $(F - \overline{\varkappa}(f)) \in \widehat{X}^0$ . But this follows from the fact that for any  $x \in X$  we have

$$\langle F, \varkappa(x) \rangle = \langle f, x \rangle = \langle \varkappa(x), f \rangle = \langle \overline{\varkappa}(f), \varkappa(x) \rangle.$$

(b) From part (a) we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} X \text{ reflexive} &\Rightarrow \widehat{X} = \{0\} \\ &\Rightarrow X^{***} = (X^*)^\wedge \Rightarrow X^* \text{ reflexive} \end{aligned}$$

Conversely if  $X$  is not reflexive and thus  $\widehat{X} \subsetneq X^{**}$ , we can find by the Hahn Banach theorem an  $F \in X^{***}$  which vanishes on  $\widehat{X}$  but  $F \neq 0$ . Thus,  $\widehat{X}^\wedge \neq 0$ , and again by part (a)  $(X^*)^\wedge \neq X^{***}$ , which means that  $X^*$  is not reflexive.

**Problem 4.** Show that every weakly converging sequence in a normed linear space is bounded. **Proof.** In order to show the first part we assume that  $(x_n)$  is an unbounded sequence which converges to some  $x$ . After subtracting  $x$  we may assume that  $x_n$  converges to 0.

By induction we choose a subsequence  $(x_{n_k})$  and functionals  $(x_k^*)$  so that for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ :

- (1)  $x_k^*(x_{n_k}) \geq 2^k,$
- (2)  $|x_k^*(x_{n_j})| \leq 2^{-k}$  if  $j < k,$
- (3)  $|x_j^*(x_{n_k})| \leq 2^{-k}$  if  $j < k,$  and
- (4)  $\|x_k^*\| \leq 2^{-k}.$

For  $k = 1$  we choose  $n_1$  so that  $\|x_{n_1}\| \geq 2$  and then we use the Hahn Banach Theorem to find an  $x_1^* \in X^*, \|x_1^*\| = 1$  so that  $x_1^*(x_{n_1}) \geq \|x_{n_1}\|.$

Assume we have chosen  $n_1 < n_2 \dots n_k$  in  $N$ . We first choose  $m > n_k$  so that for all  $j = 1, 2 \dots k$  we have  $|x_j^*(x_m)| \leq 2^{k+1}$  (using that  $(x_n)$  is weakly null). Then, using the unboundedness of  $(x_n)$  we choose  $n_{k+1} \geq m$  so that

$$\|x_{n_{k+1}}\| \geq (1 + \max_{j=1,2,\dots,k} \|x_{n_j}\|)2^{2k+2},$$

and use again the Hahn Banach Theorem to find an  $x^* \in X^*, \|x^*\| = 1,$  so that  $x^*(x_{n_1}) \geq (1 + \max_{j=1,2,\dots,k} \|x_{n_j}\|)2^{2k+2}$  then let  $x_{k+1}^* = x^*/((1 + \max_{j=1,2,\dots,k} \|x_{n_j}\|)2^{k+1}).$  It is now easy to check that all the wanted conditions are satisfied. This finishes the induction step.

From condition (4) and the completeness of  $X^*$  it follows that  $x^* = \sum x_k^*$  converges and  $\|x^*\| \leq 1.$

It follows secondly from (1) (2) and (3) for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  that

$$\langle x^*, x_{n_k} \rangle \geq \langle x^*, x_{n_k} \rangle - \sum_{j < k} |\langle x_j^*, x_{n_k} \rangle| - \sum_{j > k} |\langle x_j^*, x_{n_k} \rangle| \geq 2^k - k2^{-k} - 1 \rightarrow_{k \rightarrow \infty} \infty$$

which is a contradiction to the weak convergence of  $(x_n).$

**Problem 5.** Show that every infinite dimensional Banach space admits nets  $(x_i)_{i \in N}$  which converge to 0, but are “cofinally unbounded”, which means that for any  $i \in I$  and any  $C > 0$  there is a  $j \in I, j \geq i$  so that  $\|x_j\| \geq C.$

**Hint:** For the second part it might be useful to first prove that for an infinite dimensional Banach  $X$  and functionals  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n \in X^*$  the intersection of the null sets of the  $f_i$ 's contains non zero elements. This can be deduced using basics in linear algebra.

**Proof.** We will first show the hint and use the fact from linear algebra, that for a linear transformation  $T : V \rightarrow W$  between two finite dimensional vectorspaces it follows that

$$\dim(V) = \dim \text{range}(T) + \dim \mathcal{N}(T),$$

where  $\mathcal{N}(T)$  denotes the null space of  $T.$

Now let  $Y$  be any  $n + 1$  dimensional subspace of  $X$  and let  $f_i|_Y$  be the restriction of the  $f_i$ 's to  $Y$ .

Since  $\dim(\text{range}(f_1)) \leq 1$  it follows that  $\dim(\mathcal{N}(f_1|_Y)) \geq n$ . Now we consider  $f_2|_{Y \cap \mathcal{N}(f_1)}$  and deduce with the same argument

$$\dim(\mathcal{N}(f_1|_Y) \cap \mathcal{N}(f_2|_Y)) = \dim(\mathcal{N}(f_2|_{Y \cap \mathcal{N}(f_1)})) \geq \dim(\mathcal{N}(f_1) \cap Y) - 1 \geq n - 1.$$

We can continue with this argument and obtain after  $n$  steps that

$$\dim\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n \mathcal{N}(f_i|_Y)\right) \geq 1.$$

Now the construction of our Net. We define as our index set, the typical neighborhood basis of  $0$  in  $X$ , i.e.

$$\mathcal{I} = \left\{ \bigcap_{i=1}^n \{x \in X : |f_i(x)| \leq \varepsilon\} : n \in \mathbb{N}, \varepsilon > 0, f_i \in X^*, \|f_i\| = 1, \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n \right\}.$$

The order we consider is, as usual, the reversed inclusion.

For each  $U = \{x \in X : |f_i(x)| \leq \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{I}$  we pick an  $x_U \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n \mathcal{N}(f_i)$  with  $\|x_U\| \geq 1/\varepsilon$ . Since for every  $U \in \mathcal{I}$ ,  $x_U \in U$ , and  $\mathcal{I}$  is a neighborhood basis of  $0$ , it follows that  $(x_U)_{U \in \mathcal{I}}$  is converging to  $0$ , and it is clearly cofinally unbounded (simply make  $\varepsilon$  small enough).

**Problem 6.** Show that if  $(x_n)$  converges weakly in a Banach space  $X$  to some  $x \in X$  then

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n\| \geq \|x\|.$$

Give an example which shows that the inequality can be strict.

**Proof.** Assume that  $x_n$  converges weakly to  $x$ . By the Theorem of Hahn Banach we can find an  $x^* \in X^*$  with  $\|x^*\| = 1$  and  $\langle x^*, x \rangle = \|x\|$ . Thus

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n\| \geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle x^*, x_n \rangle = \langle x^*, x \rangle = \|x\|.$$

As example take the unit vector basis  $(e_n)$  in  $c_0$ , i.e. as above  $e_n = (0, 0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots)$  with the "1" being in the  $n$  coordinate. By representing  $c_0^*$  as  $\ell_1$  (see Problem 2) it follows easily that  $(e_n)$  converges weakly to  $0$ , but of course  $\|e_n\| = 1$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Problem 7.** Show that  $f_n$ , with

$$f_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad x \mapsto \sin(2\pi nx),$$

is weakly converging to  $0$  in  $L_p[0, 1]$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ .

**Proof (Sketch).** Using good old Calculus we observe that for all intervals  $I = [a, b] \subset [0, 1]$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle f_n, \chi_I \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b \sin(2\pi nx) dx = 0.$$

The prove that the set

$$\mathcal{D} = \left\{ A \subset [0, 1] \text{ mbl.} : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_A \sin(2\pi nx) dx = 0 \right\}$$

is Dynkin system (standard!). Thus, by Dynkin's Theorem  $\mathcal{D}$  are all measurable subsets of  $[0, 1]$  and it follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int g(x) \sin(2\pi nx) dx = 0,$$

for all simple functions  $g$ . Now let  $F \in L_p^*[0, 1]$ . By the representation theorem for  $L_p^*[0, 1]$  there is an  $f \in L_q[0, 1]$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ , so that

$$F(h) = \int_0^1 f(x)h(x)dx, \text{ for all } h \in L_p[0, 1].$$

Now let  $\varepsilon > 0$  arbitrary. Since the simple functions are dense in  $L_q[0, 1]$ , we can choose a simple function  $\tilde{f}$  with  $\|f - \tilde{f}\|_q < \varepsilon$ , and thus (note that  $\|f_n\|_p \leq 1$ )

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\langle F, f_n \rangle| = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int f(x)f_n(x)dx \right| \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \left| \int \tilde{f}(x)f_n(x)dx \right| + \|f_n\|_p \|f - \tilde{f}\|_q \right] \leq \varepsilon,$$

which implies the claim since  $\varepsilon > 0$  was arbitrary.