

Math 304–504

Linear Algebra

**Lecture 24:**  
**Orthogonal subspaces.**

## Scalar product in $\mathbb{R}^n$

*Definition.* The **scalar product** of vectors  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  and  $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$  is

$$\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} = x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2 + \dots + x_n y_n.$$

*Properties of scalar product:*

$$\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x} \geq 0, \quad \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x} = 0 \text{ only if } \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0} \quad (\text{positivity})$$

$$\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{x} \quad (\text{symmetry})$$

$$\mathbf{z}(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) \cdot \mathbf{z} = \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{z} + \mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{z} \quad (\text{distributive law})$$

$$(r\mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{y} = r(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}) \quad (\text{homogeneity})$$

In particular,  $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}$  is a **bilinear** function (i.e., it is both a linear function of  $\mathbf{x}$  and a linear function of  $\mathbf{y}$ ).

# Orthogonality

*Definition 1.* Vectors  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  are said to be **orthogonal** (denoted  $\mathbf{x} \perp \mathbf{y}$ ) if  $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} = 0$ .

*Definition 2.* A vector  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is said to be **orthogonal** to a nonempty set  $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  (denoted  $\mathbf{x} \perp Y$ ) if  $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} = 0$  for any  $\mathbf{y} \in Y$ .

*Definition 3.* Nonempty sets  $X, Y \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  are said to be **orthogonal** (denoted  $X \perp Y$ ) if  $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} = 0$  for any  $\mathbf{x} \in X$  and  $\mathbf{y} \in Y$ .

*Examples in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .*      • The line  $x = y = 0$  is orthogonal to the line  $y = z = 0$ .

Indeed, if  $\mathbf{v} = (0, 0, z)$  and  $\mathbf{w} = (x, 0, 0)$  then  $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w} = 0$ .

• The line  $x = y = 0$  is orthogonal to the plane  $z = 0$ .

Indeed, if  $\mathbf{v} = (0, 0, z)$  and  $\mathbf{w} = (x, y, 0)$  then  $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w} = 0$ .

• The line  $x = y = 0$  is not orthogonal to the plane  $z = 1$ .

The vector  $\mathbf{v} = (0, 0, 1)$  belongs to both the line and the plane, and  $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v} = 1 \neq 0$ .

• The plane  $z = 0$  is not orthogonal to the plane  $y = 0$ .

The vector  $\mathbf{v} = (1, 0, 0)$  belongs to both planes and  $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v} = 1 \neq 0$ .

**Proposition 1** If  $X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  are orthogonal sets then either they are disjoint or  $X \cap Y = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ .

*Proof:*  $\mathbf{v} \in X \cap Y \implies \mathbf{v} \perp \mathbf{v} \implies \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0 \implies \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$ .

**Proposition 2** Let  $V$  be a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $S$  be a spanning set for  $V$ . Then for any  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\mathbf{x} \perp S \implies \mathbf{x} \perp V.$$

*Proof:* Any  $\mathbf{v} \in V$  is represented as  $\mathbf{v} = a_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \cdots + a_k\mathbf{v}_k$ , where  $\mathbf{v}_i \in S$  and  $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$ . If  $\mathbf{x} \perp S$  then

$$\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{v} = a_1(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{v}_1) + \cdots + a_k(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{v}_k) = 0 \implies \mathbf{x} \perp \mathbf{v}.$$

*Example.* The vector  $\mathbf{v} = (1, 1, 1)$  is orthogonal to the plane spanned by vectors  $\mathbf{w}_1 = (2, -3, 1)$  and  $\mathbf{w}_2 = (0, 1, -1)$  (because  $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w}_1 = \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w}_2 = 0$ ).

## Orthogonal complement

*Definition.* Let  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . The **orthogonal complement** of  $S$ , denoted  $S^\perp$ , is the set of all vectors  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  that are orthogonal to  $S$ . That is,  $S^\perp$  is the largest subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  orthogonal to  $S$ .

**Theorem 1**  $S^\perp$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Note that  $S \subset (S^\perp)^\perp$ , hence  $\text{Span}(S) \subset (S^\perp)^\perp$ .

**Theorem 2**  $(S^\perp)^\perp = \text{Span}(S)$ . In particular, for any subspace  $V$  we have  $(V^\perp)^\perp = V$ .

*Example.* Consider a line  $L = \{(x, 0, 0) \mid x \in \mathbb{R}\}$  and a plane  $\Pi = \{(0, y, z) \mid y, z \in \mathbb{R}\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Then  $L^\perp = \Pi$  and  $\Pi^\perp = L$ .

## Fundamental subspaces

*Definition.* Given an  $m \times n$  matrix  $A$ , let

$$N(A) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}\},$$

$$R(A) = \{\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^m \mid \mathbf{b} = A\mathbf{x} \text{ for some } \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n\}.$$

$R(A)$  is the range of a linear mapping  $L : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $L(\mathbf{x}) = A\mathbf{x}$ .  $N(A)$  is the kernel of  $L$ .

Also,  $N(A)$  is the nullspace of the matrix  $A$  while  $R(A)$  is the column space of  $A$ . The row space of  $A$  is  $R(A^T)$ .

The subspaces  $N(A), R(A^T) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $R(A), N(A^T) \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  are **fundamental subspaces** associated to the matrix  $A$ .

**Theorem**  $N(A) = R(A^T)^\perp$ ,  $N(A^T) = R(A)^\perp$ .

That is, the nullspace of a matrix is the orthogonal complement of its row space.

*Proof:* The equality  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$  means that the vector  $\mathbf{x}$  is orthogonal to rows of the matrix  $A$ . Therefore  $N(A) = S^\perp$ , where  $S$  is the set of rows of  $A$ . It remains to note that  $S^\perp = \text{Span}(S)^\perp = R(A^T)^\perp$ .

**Corollary** Let  $V$  be a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then  $\dim V + \dim V^\perp = n$ .

*Proof:* Pick a basis  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k$  for  $V$ . Let  $A$  be the  $k \times n$  matrix whose rows are vectors  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k$ . Then  $V = R(A^T)$  and  $V^\perp = N(A)$ . Consequently,  $\dim V$  and  $\dim V^\perp$  are rank and nullity of  $A$ . Therefore  $\dim V + \dim V^\perp$  equals the number of columns of  $A$ , which is  $n$ .



## Direct sum

*Definition.* Let  $U, V$  be subspaces of a vector space  $W$ . We say that  $W$  is a **direct sum** of  $U$  and  $V$  (denoted  $W = U \oplus V$ ) if any  $\mathbf{w} \in W$  is uniquely represented as  $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}$ , where  $\mathbf{u} \in U$  and  $\mathbf{v} \in V$ .

*Remark.* Given subspaces  $U, V \subset W$ , we can define a set  $U + V = \{\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} \mid \mathbf{u} \in U, \mathbf{v} \in V\}$ , which is also a subspace. However  $U \oplus V$  may not be well defined.

**Proposition** The direct sum  $U \oplus V$  is well defined if and only if  $U \cap V = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ .

*Proof:*  $U \oplus V$  is well defined if for any  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2 \in U$  and  $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2 \in V$  we have  $\mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbf{v}_1 = \mathbf{u}_2 + \mathbf{v}_2 \implies \mathbf{u}_1 = \mathbf{u}_2$  and  $\mathbf{v}_1 = \mathbf{v}_2$ . Now note that  $\mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbf{v}_1 = \mathbf{u}_2 + \mathbf{v}_2 \iff \mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2 = \mathbf{v}_2 - \mathbf{v}_1$ .

**Theorem**  $\dim U \oplus V = \dim U + \dim V$ .

*Proof:* Pick a basis  $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_k$  for  $U$  and a basis  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m$  for  $V$ . Then  $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_k, \mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m$  is a spanning set for  $U \oplus V$ . Linear independence of this set follows from the fact that  $U \cap V = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ .

**Theorem** Let  $V$  be a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then  $\mathbb{R}^n = V \oplus V^\perp$ .

*Proof:*  $V \perp V^\perp \implies V \cap V^\perp = \{\mathbf{0}\} \implies V \oplus V^\perp$  is well defined. Since  $\dim V \oplus V^\perp = \dim V + \dim V^\perp = n$ , it follows that  $V \oplus V^\perp$  is the entire space  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Given a vector  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and a subspace  $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , there exists a unique representation  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{o}$  such that  $\mathbf{p} \in V$  while  $\mathbf{o} \perp V$ . The vector  $\mathbf{p}$  is called the **orthogonal projection** of  $\mathbf{x}$  onto  $V$ .

**Problem.** Find the orthogonal projection of the vector  $\mathbf{x} = (2, 1, 0)$  onto the plane  $\Pi$  spanned by vectors  $\mathbf{v}_1 = (1, 0, -2)$  and  $\mathbf{v}_2 = (0, 1, 1)$ .

We have  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{o}$ , where  $\mathbf{p} \in \Pi$  and  $\mathbf{o} \perp \Pi$ .

Then  $\mathbf{p} = \alpha\mathbf{v}_1 + \beta\mathbf{v}_2$  for some  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ . Also,  $\mathbf{o} \cdot \mathbf{v}_1 = \mathbf{o} \cdot \mathbf{v}_2 = 0$ . Note that

$$\mathbf{o} \cdot \mathbf{v}_i = (\mathbf{x} - \alpha\mathbf{v}_1 - \beta\mathbf{v}_2) \cdot \mathbf{v}_i = \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{v}_i - \alpha(\mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \mathbf{v}_i) - \beta(\mathbf{v}_2 \cdot \mathbf{v}_i).$$

$$\begin{cases} \alpha(\mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \mathbf{v}_1) + \beta(\mathbf{v}_2 \cdot \mathbf{v}_1) = \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{v}_1 \\ \alpha(\mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \mathbf{v}_2) + \beta(\mathbf{v}_2 \cdot \mathbf{v}_2) = \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{v}_2 \end{cases}$$

$$\iff \begin{cases} 5\alpha - 2\beta = 2 \\ -2\alpha + 2\beta = 1 \end{cases} \iff \begin{cases} \alpha = 1 \\ \beta = 3/2 \end{cases}$$

Thus  $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{v}_1 + \frac{3}{2}\mathbf{v}_2 = (1, \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})$ .