## 18: Repeated Eigenvalues: algebraic and geometric multiplicities of eigenvalues, generalized eigenvectors, and solution for systems of differential equation with repeated eigenvalues in case n = 2 (sec. 7.8)

1. We have seen that not every matrix admits a basis of eigenvectors. First, discuss a way how to determine if there is such basis or not.

Recall the following two equivalent characterization of an eigenvalue:

- (a)  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $A \Leftrightarrow \det(A \lambda I) = 0$ ;
- (b)  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $A \Leftrightarrow$  there exist a nonzero vector v such that  $(A \lambda I)v = 0$ . The set of all such vectors together with the 0 vector form a vector space called the *eigenspace of*  $\lambda$  and denoted by  $E_{\lambda}$ .

Based on these two characterizations of an eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of a matrix A one can assign to  $\lambda$  the following two positive integer numbers,

- Algebraic multiplicity of  $\lambda$  is the multiplicity of  $\lambda$  in the characteristic polynomial  $\det(A xI)$ , i.e. the maximal number of appearances of the factor  $(x \lambda)$  in the factorization of the polynomial  $\det(A xI)$ .
- Geometric multiplicity of  $\lambda$  is the dimension dim  $E_{\lambda}$  of the eigenspace of  $\lambda$ , i.e. the maximal number of linearly independent eigenvectors of  $\lambda$ .
- 2. For example, if  $N = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  (as in the example in item 13 of the previous notes), then  $\lambda = 0$  is the unique eigenvalue. Find the algebraic multiplicity and geometric multiplicity of  $\lambda = 0$

THEOREM 2. A matrix A admits a basis of eigenvectors if and only of for every its eigenvalue  $\lambda$  the geometric multiplicity of  $\lambda$  is equal to the algebraic multiplicity of  $\lambda$ .

REMARK 3. In Linear Algebra matrices admitting a basis of eigenvectors are called diagonizable (because they are diagonal in this basis).

REMARK 4. Basis of eigenvectors always exists for the following classes of matrix:

- symmetric matrices:  $A^T = A$ , or equivalently,  $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$  for all i, j;
- skew-symmetric  $A^T = -A$ , or equivalently,  $a_{ij} = -a_{ji}$  for all i, j.

For symmetric matrices all eigenvalues are real and the the eigenspaces corresponding to the different eigenvalues are orthogonal. For skew-symmetric matrices the eigenvalues are purely imaginary (i.e. of the form  $i\beta$ ).

3. If the matrix A does not admit a basis of eigenvectors then for what vectors w other than the eigenvectors it is still easy to calculate  $e^{At}w$  in the light of the formula

$$e^{tA} = e^{\lambda t} e^{t(A - \lambda I)} \tag{1}$$

(see item 6 of the previous lecture notes)?

4. Assume that w is such that

$$(A - \lambda I)w \neq 0$$
, but  $(A - \lambda I)^2 w = 0$  (2)

(the first relation means that w is not an eigenvector corresponding to  $\lambda$ ). Calculate  $e^{At}w$  using (1).

5. More generally if we assume that for some k > 0

$$(A - \lambda I)^{k-1} w \neq 0, \text{ but } (A - \lambda I)^k w = 0$$
(3)

then  $e^{At}w$  can be calculated using only finite number of terms when expanding  $e^{t(A-\lambda I)}w$  from (1).

Note that if for some  $\lambda$  there exists w satisfying (3) then  $\lambda$  must be an eigenvalue

## 6.

DEFINITION 5. A vector w satisfying (3) for some k > 0 is called a generalized eigenvector of  $\lambda$  (of order k).

The set of all generalized eigenvectors of  $\lambda$  together with the 0 vector is a vector space denoted by  $E_\lambda^{\rm gen}$ 

REMARK 6. The (regular) eigenvector is a generalized eigenvector of order 1, so  $E_{\lambda} \subset E_{\lambda}^{\text{gen}}$ (given two sets A and B, the notation  $A \subset B$  means that the set A is a subset of the set B, i.e. any element of the set A belongs also to B)

THEOREM 7. The dimension of the space  $E_{\lambda}^{\text{gen}}$  of generalized eigenvectors of  $\lambda$  is equal to the algebraic multiplicity of  $\lambda$ .

THEOREM 8. Any matrix A admits a basis of generalized eigenvectors.

Let us see how it all works in the first nontrivial case of n = 2.

7. Let A be 2  $\times$  2 matrix and  $\lambda$  is a repeated eigenvalue of A. Then its algebraic multiplicity is equal to \_

There are two options for the geometric multiplicity:

1 (trivial case) Geometric multiplicity of  $\lambda$  is equal to 2. Then  $A = \lambda I$ 

2. (less trivial case) Geometric multiplicity  $\lambda$  is equal to 1. In the rest of these notes we concentrate on this case only.

8.

PROPOSITION 9. Let w be a nonzero vector which is not an eigenvector of  $\lambda$ ,  $w \notin E_{\lambda}$ . The vector w satisfies  $(A - \lambda I)^2 w = 0$ , i.e. w is a generalized eigenvector of order 2. Besides, in this case

$$v := (A - \lambda I)w \tag{4}$$

is an eigenvector of A corresponding to  $\lambda$ .

9. Note that  $\{v, w\}$  constructed above constitute a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  (i.e.  $E_{\lambda}^{\text{gen}} = \mathbb{R}^2$ , so we proved Theorem 8 in this case. Therefore,  $\{e^{tA}v, e^{tA}w\}$  form a fundamental set of solutions for the system X' = AX. By constructions and calculation as in item 4 above  $e^{tA}v =$ 

$$e^{tA}w =$$

## **Conclusion:**

$$\{e^{\lambda t}v, e^{\lambda t}(w+tv)\}.$$
(5)

form a fundamental set of solutions of X' = AX, i.e. the general solution is

$$e^{\lambda t}(C_1v + C_2(w + tv)).$$
(6)

10. This gives us the following algorithms for fining the fundamental set of solutions in the case of a repeated eigenvalue  $\lambda$  with geometric multiplicity 1.

Algorithm 1 (easier than the one in the book):

- (a) Find the eigenspace  $E_{\lambda}$  of  $\lambda$  by finding all solutions of the system  $(A \lambda I)v = 0$ . The dimension of this eigenspace under our assumptions must be equal to \_.
- (b) Take any vector w not lying in the eigenline  $E_{\lambda}$  and find  $v := (A \lambda I)w$ . With chosen v and w the general solution is given by (6).

Algorithm 2 (as in the book):

- (a) Find an eigenvector v by finding one nonzero solution of the system  $(A \lambda I)v = 0$ .
- (b) With v found in item 1 find w sub that  $(A \lambda I)w = v$ . With chosen v and w the general solution is given by (6).

REMARK 10. The advantage of Algorithm 1 over Algorithm 2 is that in the first one you solve only one linear system when finding the eigenline, while in Algorithm 2 you need to solve one more linear system  $(A - \lambda I)w = v$  for w (in Algorithm 1 you choose w and then find v from (4) instead).

11. Finally let us give another algorithm which works only in the case n = 2 (for higher n it works only under some additional assumption that A has only one eigenvalue). This algorithm does not use eigenvetors explicitly (although implicitly we use here the information that an eigenvalue  $\lambda$  is repeated). Proposition 9 actually implies that

$$(A - \lambda I)^2 = 0. \tag{7}$$

then based on (1) and (7)  $e^{tA} =$ 

Conclusion:

$$e^{At} = e^{\lambda t} (I + t(A - \lambda I)) \tag{8}$$

**Algorithm 3**: Calculate  $e^{tA}$  from (8). The columns of the resulting matrix form a fundamental set of solutions.

REMARK 11. Identity (7) is in fact a particular case of the following remarkable result from Linear Algebra, called Caley-Hamilton: Let

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = (-1)^n (\lambda^n + a_{n-1}\lambda^{n-1} + \dots + a_1\lambda + a_0)$$
(9)

then

$$A^{n} + a_{n-1}A^{n-1} + \ldots + a_{1}A + a_{0}I = 0$$
<sup>(10)</sup>

In other words, if one substitutes the matrix A instead of  $\lambda$  and  $a_0I$  instead of  $a_0$  into the right hand side of (10) then you will get 0.

12. Example. Find general solution of the system.:  $\begin{cases} x'_1 = -3x_1 + \frac{5}{2}x_2 \\ x'_2 = -\frac{5}{2}x_1 + 2x_2 \end{cases}$ 

13. Now return to a second order linear homogeneous equation

$$ay'' + by' + cy = 0 (11)$$

with a repeated root of its characteristic polynomial. How to explain that  $\{e^{\lambda t}, te^{\lambda t}\}$  is a fundamental set of solution from the theory of systems of first equations with repeated eigenvalues? Consider the corresponding system

$$\begin{cases} x_1' = x_2 \\ x_2' = -\frac{c}{a} x_1 - \frac{b}{a} x_2. \end{cases}$$
(12)

The point here that one can take

$$v = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \lambda \end{pmatrix}, \quad w = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

so the first component of  $e^{\lambda t}v$  is equal to  $e^{\lambda t}$  and the first component of  $e^{\lambda t}(w+tv)$  is equal to  $te^{\lambda t}$ .