

The Conjugate Gradient Method for $Ax=b$

Steepest descent method suffers from one very unpleasant and potentially slowing down flaw: $r^{(k+1)} \perp r^{(k)}$, but often $r^{(k+1)}$ is the same as $r^{(k-1)}$, i.e. the search direction is repeated and often many times. Conjugate gradient is designed to avoid this. Here is how it does it.

Introduce the Krylov space

$$K_m(A, r) = \{r, Ar, A^2r, \dots, A^{m-1}r\}, r \text{ given}$$

(often $r=r_0$ - the initial residual)

We assume that the vectors in $K_m(A, r)$ are linearly independent. Therefore for $m=n$ $K_n(A, r) = \mathbb{R}^n$.

Then we minimize

$$(1) \quad J(y) = \frac{1}{2} (A(x-y), x-y) - \frac{1}{2} (Ax, x)$$

over the krylov subspaces. Namely, for given $x^{(0)} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we define $r^{(0)} = b - Ax^{(0)}$ and $K_n = K_n(A, r^{(0)})$. The k -th iterate $x^{(k)}$ has the form

$$x^{(k)} = x^{(0)} + \theta^{(k)}, \text{ where } \theta^{(k)} \in K_m \text{ is the minimizer or altogether}$$

$$J(x^{(k)}) = \min_{y \in \{x^{(0)}\} + K_m} J(y)$$

(2)

That is $J(x^{(m)}) \leq J(y)$ for any $y \in \{x^0\} + K_m$
 i.e. $y = x^0 + \sum_{\ell=0}^{m-1} A^{\ell} r = x^0 + \theta^{(m)}$

Then making the computations we get

$$\frac{1}{2}(A(\underbrace{x - x^{(m)}}_{-e^{(m)}), x - x^{(m)}}) - \frac{1}{2}(Ax, x) \leq \frac{1}{2}(A(x - y), (x - y)) - \frac{1}{2}(Ax, x)$$

$$\boxed{e^{(m)} = x - x^{(m)}} \quad \text{for any } y = x^0 + \theta^{(m)}$$

$$(Ae^{(m)}, e^{(m)}) \leq (A(\underbrace{x - x^0 - \theta^{(m)}}_{e^0}), \underbrace{x - x^0 - \theta^{(m)}}_{e^0})$$

$$\boxed{(Ae^{(m)}, e^{(m)}) \leq (A(e^0, \theta^{(m)}), e^0 - \theta^{(m)})}$$

for any $\theta^m \in K_m(A, r^{(0)})$

The main question now is how to find the minimizer $\theta^{(m)} \in K_m$.

Make one more hypothetical move: what about if we have an A -orthogonal basis of $K_m(A, r^{(0)})$? say

$$\text{span}\{r^{(0)}, Ar^{(0)}, \dots, A^{m-1}r^{(0)}\} = \text{span}\{p^{(0)}, p^{(1)}, \dots, p^{(m-1)}\}$$

such that $(Ap^{(i)}, p^{(j)}) = 0 \quad i \neq j$

(We can think of as an application of Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization procedure applied to $r^{(0)}, Ar^{(0)}, \dots$)

The idea of CG from a point of view
minimization over a space spanned by the vectors

$$\{p^{(0)}, p^{(1)}, \dots, p^{(n-1)}\} \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

which are (by miracle) A -orthogonal, i.e.

$$(Ap^{(i)}, p^{(j)}) = 0 \quad i \neq j$$

Of course $(Ap^{(k)}, p^{(k)}) > 0$ since A is an SPD.

For an arbitrary given initial guess $x^{(0)}$
we can write the solution x of $Ax=b$ in the
form

$$(*) \quad x = x^{(0)} \oplus \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \alpha_\ell p^{(\ell)} = x^{(0)} + f^{(n)}$$

Therefore we have this would be an exact representation of the solution

$$Ax = Ax^{(0)} \oplus \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \alpha_\ell Ap^{(\ell)} = b$$

Since $p^{(\ell)}$ are A -orthogonal we have

$$\oplus \sum \alpha_\ell Ap^{(\ell)} = b - Ax^{(0)}$$

$$\oplus \alpha_\ell (Ap^{(\ell)}, p^{(\ell)}) = (b - Ax^{(0)}, p^{(\ell)})$$

$$\alpha_\ell = \frac{(b - Ax^{(0)}, p^{(\ell)})}{(Ap^{(\ell)}, p^{(\ell)})} \quad \ell = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$$

(4)

By construction we shall define $x^{(m)}$ as a vector spanned by the first k vectors $p^{(m)}$, that is

$$x^{(m)} = x^0 + \sum_{e=0}^{m-1} \alpha_e p^e, \text{ i.e. } \theta^{(m)} = \sum_{e=0}^{m-1} \alpha_e p^e$$

By the same argument as before we have

$$x^{(m+1)} = x^{(m)} + \alpha_m p^{(m)}, \quad m=0, 1, \dots, n-1$$

where $\alpha_m = \frac{-(Ax^0 - b, p^{(m)})}{(Ap^{(m)}, p^{(m)})}$

$$\alpha_m = - \frac{(Ax^0 - \sum_{e=0}^{m-1} \alpha_e p^{(e)} - b, p^{(m)})}{(Ap^{(m)}, p^{(m)})}$$

Note $\sum_{e=0}^{m-1} \alpha_e (Ap^{(e)}, p^{(m)}) = 0$

$$A(x^0 - \sum_{e=0}^{m-1} \alpha_e p^{(e)}) \quad \boxed{r^{(m)} = b - Ax^{(m)}}$$

$$\alpha_m = - \frac{(Ax^{(m)} - b, p^{(m)})}{(Ap^{(m)}, p^{(m)})} = \frac{(r^{(m)}, p^{(m)})}{(Ap^{(m)}, p^{(m)})}$$

Also

$$r^{(m+1)} = b - Ax^{(m+1)} = b - Ax^{(m)} - \alpha_m Ap^{(m)} = r^{(m)} - \alpha_m Ap^{(m)}$$

$$\boxed{r^{(m+1)} = r^{(m)} - \alpha_m p^{(m)}}$$

The magic of CG is that we do not need $p^{(e)}$ from the very beginning and they are generated in the process of computing $x^{(m)}$.

(5)

The main point of CG is that for an approximation of x we need much less than n -terms of the exact representation.

The CG method for $Ax=b$

$$r^0 = b - Ax^{(0)} \quad x^0 - \text{given}$$

$$p^0 = r^0$$

for $m=0, 1, \dots$ until convergence, compute

$$x^{m+1} = x^m + \alpha_m p^m \quad \alpha_m = \frac{(r^m, p^m)}{(Ap^m, p^m)} \stackrel{\textcircled{1}}{=} \frac{(r^m, r^m)}{(Ap^m, p^m)}$$

$$r^{m+1} = r^m - \alpha_m Ap^m$$

$$p^{m+1} = r^{m+1} + \beta_m p^m \quad \beta_m = -\frac{(Ap^m, r^{m+1})}{(Ap^m, p^m)} \stackrel{\textcircled{2}}{=} \frac{(r^{m+1}, r^{m+1})}{(r^m, r^m)}$$

The most important property of the vectors

p^0, p^1, \dots, p^m is that they are A -orthogonal.
Indeed for $m+1 \neq m$ we have

$$(Ap^{m+1}, p^m) = (Ar^{m+1}, p^m) + \beta_m (Ap^m, p^m) = 0 \text{ because of the choice of } \beta_m$$

It is valid for other pairs as well.

Steepest Descent Method

$$x^{(0)} \text{ - given } r^0 = b - Ax^0$$

$$x^{k+1} = x^k + \alpha_k r^k \quad \alpha_k = \frac{(r^k, r^k)}{(Ar^k, r^k)}$$

$$r^{k+1} = r^k - \alpha_k Ar^k$$

$$(r^{k+1}, r^k) = (r^k, r^k) - \alpha_k (Ar^k, r^k) = 0$$

$$r^{k+1} \perp r^k$$

but if could be parallel to r^{k+1}
so we have repetition of search directions

Gram-Schmidt Method

$$\{r^0, r^1, r^2, \dots, r^{m-1}\} \rightarrow \{p^0, p^1, \dots, p^{m-1}\}$$

A -orthogonal

$$p^0 = r^0$$

$$p^{k+1} = r^{k+1} - \sum_{\ell=0}^k \beta_{k\ell} p^\ell \quad k=0, 1, \dots, m-2$$

where $\beta_{k\ell} = \frac{(Ar^{k+1}, p^\ell)}{(Ap^\ell, p^\ell)}$

$$(Ap^\ell, p^j) = 0 \quad \ell \neq j$$

(6)

We get the vectors $\{p^0, p^{(1)}, \dots, p^{(m-1)}\}$
 by Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization method
 from
 $\text{span}\{r^0, Ar^0, \dots, A^{n-1}r^0\}$
 $=\text{span}\{r^0, r^1, \dots, r^{m-1}\}$ our w^j are $r^j -$

$$p^0 = r^0$$

$$p^{(m+1)} = r^{(m+1)} - \sum_{e=0}^m \beta_{me} p^e \quad \beta_{me} = \frac{(A^{(m+1)}, p^e)}{(Ap^e, p^e)}$$

Gram-Schmidt

$$\text{Obviously } x^{(1)} = x^{(0)} - \alpha_0 p^0 = r^0 - \alpha_0 r^0$$

$$Ax^{(1)} - b = Ax^{(0)} - b - \alpha_0 Ar^0$$

$$-r^{(1)} = -r^{(0)} - \alpha_0 Ar^{(0)}$$

$$r^{(1)} \in \text{span}\{r^0, Ar^0\}$$

$$x^{(2)} = x^{(1)} - \alpha_1 p^{(1)}$$

$$p^{(1)} \in \text{span}\{r^0, Ar^0\}$$

$$-r^{(2)} = -r^{(1)} - \alpha_1 Ap^{(1)}$$

$$r^{(1)} \in \text{span}\{r^0, Ar^0\}$$

$$Ap^{(1)} \in \text{span}\{Ar^0, A^2r^0\}$$

$$r^{(2)} \in \text{span}\{r^0, Ar^0, A^2r^0\}$$

(7)

Let us have a closer look at Gram-Schmidt and CG process defined above.

First, we prove that $r^{m+1} \perp p^l \quad l=0, 1, \dots, m$

Indeed

$$(r^{m+1}, p^m) = (r^m, p^m) - \alpha_m (Ap^m, p^m)$$

$$= (r^m, p^m) - \frac{(r^m, p^m)}{(Ap^m, p^m)} (Ap^m, p^m) = 0$$

What about (r^{m+1}, p^{m-1}) ?

$$(r^{m+1}, p^{m-1}) = (\underbrace{r^m, p^{m-1}}_{\text{by the previous}}) - \alpha_m (Ap^m, p^{m-1}) \stackrel{=0}{\underset{\text{A-orthogonality}}{}}$$

Thus $(r^{m+1}, p^l) = 0 \quad l=0, 1, \dots, m$

Let us look at

$$(r^m, p^m) = (r^m, r^m) + \beta_m (\underbrace{r^m, p^{m-1}}_{=0}) = (r^m, r^m)$$

Therefore

$$\alpha_m = \frac{(r^m, r^m)}{(Ap^m, p^m)} > 0$$

When $\alpha_m = 0$? $r^m = 0 \Rightarrow Ax^m - b = 0$

We have found the solution.

(8)

Final consideration

$$r^{l+1} = r^l - \alpha_e A p^e$$

$$A p^e = \frac{1}{\alpha_e} (r^l - r^{l+1}) \quad l=0, \dots, m$$

$$(r^{m+1}, A p^e) = \frac{1}{\alpha_e} (r^{m+1}, r^e - r^{l+1})$$

$$(A r^{m+1}, p^e) = \begin{cases} \frac{-1}{\alpha_m} (r^{m+1}, r^{m+1}) & e=m \\ 0 & e < m \end{cases} \quad l=m$$

But remember from Gram-Schmidt $\beta_{me} = - \frac{(A r^{m+1}, p^e)}{(A p^e, p^e)} = \begin{cases} \beta_m & e=m \\ 0 & e < m \end{cases}$

$$p^{m+1} = r^{m+1} - \beta_m p^m$$

Moreover

$$\beta_m = - \frac{(A r^{m+1}, p^m)}{(A p^m, p^m)} = \frac{1}{\alpha_m} \frac{(r^{m+1}, r^{m+1})}{(A p^m, p^m)} = \frac{(r^{m+1}, r^{m+1})}{(r^m, r^m)}$$