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Instructions: Answer any 4 out of the $\mathbf{5}$ problems on this part of the exam. Show all your work clearly. Please indicate which of the 5 problems you are skipping.

1. Suppose $X$ and $Y$ are finite dimensional linear spaces with respective bases $\left\{x_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{m}$ and $\left\{y_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{n}$, and suppose $L: X \rightarrow Y$ is a linear operator.
a. Explain how $L$ can be characterized by a matrix $A$.
b. Show that if $Y=X$ (and $\left\{x_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{m}$ is used for both) then $\operatorname{det} L:=\operatorname{det} A$ is well-defined in the following sense: if $\left\{x_{k}^{\prime}\right\}_{k=1}^{m}$ is any other basis for $X$, and $A^{\prime}$ characterizes $L$ with respect to this basis, then $\operatorname{det} A=\operatorname{det} A^{\prime}$.
2. Answer the following.
a. State the Weierstrass Approximation Theorem.
b. Show that the collection of all polynomials of the form

$$
x(1-x) p(x)
$$

where $p$ is a polynomial, is dense in the set

$$
\mathcal{S}:=\left\{f \in C^{1}([0,1]): f(0)=f(1)=0\right\}
$$

using the $C^{1}([0,1])$ norm.
3. Let $\eta>0$ and consider the operator

$$
L u:=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-\eta|x-y|} u(y) d y
$$

Determine whether or not $L$ is bounded as a map from $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ to $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ and prove your assertion.
4. Consider the functional

$$
E(u)=\int_{0}^{1} u(x)^{2}+u^{\prime}(x)^{2}+u^{\prime \prime}(x)^{2} d x
$$

defined on the spaces

$$
\mathcal{S}=\left\{u \in C^{4}([0,1]): u(0)=a, u(1)=b, u^{\prime}(0)=c, u^{\prime}(1)=d\right\}
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{S}_{0}=\left\{u \in C^{4}([0,1]): u(0)=0, u(1)=0, u^{\prime}(0)=0, u^{\prime}(1)=0\right\} .
$$

a. Explain the difference between the Fréchet derivative of $E$ at $u \in \mathcal{S}_{0}$ and the Gâteaux derivative of $E$ at the same point.
b. Compute $E^{\prime}(u)$ (the variational derivative of $E$ ) and identify the associated gradient $\frac{\delta E}{\delta u}$. Use your result to derive an ordinary differential equation for the critical points of $E$. (You do not need to solve your equation.)
c. Compute $E^{\prime \prime}(u)$, and use your result to categorize the critical points from (a) as maxima, minima, or saddle points.
You can assume that $E$ is twice Fréchet differentiable.
5. Consider the operator

$$
L u=(x+1) u^{\prime \prime}+x u^{\prime}-u
$$

with domain

$$
\mathcal{D}(L)=\left\{u \in L^{2}([0,1]): L u \in L^{2}([0,1]), u(0)=0, u(1)=0\right\} .
$$

Notice that $u_{1}(x)=x$ and $u_{2}(x)=e^{-x}$ both solve $L u=0$ (though neither is in $\mathcal{D}(L)$ ).
a. Compute the adjoint operator $L^{*}$, along with adjoint boundary conditions. Is $L$ selfadjoint?
b. Compute the Green's function for $L$.
c. Is $L^{-1}$ a compact operator? Explain why or why not.
d. Is $L$ a compact operator? Explain why or why not.
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Problem 1. Let $V$ be a closed subspace of $H^{1}(\Omega), V_{h} \subset V$ be a finite element approximation space and $\Omega$ a domain in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. Given $W^{0} \in V_{h}$, we consider forward Euler approximation: $W^{n+1} \in$ $V_{h}, n=0,1, \ldots$ satisfying

$$
\left(\left(W^{n+1}-W^{n}\right) / k, \theta\right)+A\left(W^{n}, \theta\right)=\left(f^{n}, \theta\right), \quad \text { for all } \theta \in V_{h}
$$

Here $k>0$ is the time step size, $t_{n}=n k, f^{n} \in V_{h},(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the inner product in $L^{2}(\Omega),\|\cdot\|$ is the corresponding norm and $A(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a symmetric, coercive, and bounded bilinear form on $V$.

Let $\left\{\psi_{i}\right\}, i=1, \ldots, M$ be an orthonormal basis with respect to $(\cdot, \cdot)$ for $V_{h}$ of eigenfunctions satisfying

$$
A\left(\psi_{i}, \theta\right)=\lambda_{i}\left(\psi_{i}, \theta\right), \quad \text { for all } \theta \in V_{h}
$$

(a) Expand

$$
W^{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{M} c_{i}^{n} \psi_{i} \quad \text { and } \quad f^{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{M} d_{i}^{n} \psi_{i}
$$

and set $\delta_{i}=1-k \lambda_{i}$. Derive a recurrence relation for $c_{i}^{n+1}$ in terms of $\delta_{i}, c_{i}^{n}, k$ and $d_{i}^{n}$.
(b) Assume that the CFL condition, $k \lambda_{i} \leq 2$, holds for all eigenvalues $\lambda_{i}$. Show that

$$
\left|c_{i}^{n}\right| \leq \begin{cases}\left|c_{i}^{0}\right| & \text { if } \quad f^{n}=0, \text { for all } n \\ t_{n}^{1 / 2}\left(k \sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\left|d_{i}^{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} & \text { if } \quad W^{0}=0\end{cases}
$$

(c) Use Part (b) above and superposition principle to derive the stability estimate

$$
\left\|W^{n}\right\| \leq\left\|W^{0}\right\|+t_{n}^{1 / 2}\left(k \sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\left\|f^{j}\right\|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

Problem 2. In this problem, $C$ (with or without subscript) denotes generic positive constants which are independent of the triangle diameters $h_{\tau}$ and $\mathbb{P}^{j}$ denotes the space of polynomials on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ of degree at most $j$.
Let $\Omega$ be a polygonal domain in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ and $u$ be the solution in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v d x=\int_{\Omega} f v d x, \quad \text { for all } v \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $f \in L^{2}(\Omega)$.
Let $\mathcal{T}_{h}$ for $0<h<1$ be shape regular trangulations of $\Omega$. Set

$$
X_{h}=\left\{v_{h} \in H^{1}(\Omega):\left.v_{h}\right|_{\tau} \in \mathbb{P}^{2}\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad V_{h}=X_{h} \cap H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)
$$

(a) For $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{h}$, let $b_{\tau} \in \mathbb{P}^{3}$ be the "bubble" function defined by the conditions $b_{\tau}$ equals one on the barycenter of $\tau$ and $b_{\tau}$ vanishes on $\partial \tau$. Show that for any function $w_{h} \in X_{h}$

$$
C_{2}\left\|w_{h}\right\|_{L^{2}(\tau)} \geq\left\|b_{\tau}^{1 / 2} w_{h}\right\|_{L^{2}(\tau)} \geq C_{1}\left\|w_{h}\right\|_{L^{2}(\tau)}
$$

(b) For $f_{h} \in X_{h}$ and $v_{h} \in V_{h}$, let $z_{h}$ denote the function given by

$$
\left.z_{h}\right|_{\tau}=\left.b_{\tau}\left(f_{h}+\Delta v_{h}\right)\right|_{\tau}, \quad \tau \in \mathcal{T}_{h}
$$

Explain why $z_{h} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$.
(c) Show that

$$
\left\|b_{\tau}^{1 / 2}\left(f_{h}+\Delta v_{h}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}(\tau)}^{2}=\int_{\tau}\left(f_{h}-f\right) z_{h} d x+\int_{\tau}\left(\nabla\left(u-v_{h}\right)\right) \cdot \nabla z_{h} d x .
$$

(d) Show that

$$
\left\|f_{h}+\Delta v_{h}\right\|_{L^{2}(\tau)} \leq C\left(h_{\tau}^{-1}\left\|\nabla\left(u-v_{h}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}(\tau)}+\left\|f-f_{h}\right\|_{L^{2}(\tau)}\right) .
$$

Problem 3. Let $K$ be a nondegenerate triangle in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. Let $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}$ be the three vertices of $K$. Let $a_{i j}=a_{j i}$ denote the midpoint of the segment $\left(a_{i}, a_{j}\right), i, j \in\{1,2,3\}$. Let $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ be the set of the polynomial functions over $K$ of total degree at most 2 . Let $\Sigma=\left\{\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}, \sigma_{12}, \sigma_{23}, \sigma_{31}\right\}$ be the functionals (or degrees of freedom) on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ defined as

$$
\sigma_{i}(p)=p\left(a_{i}\right), i \in\{1,2,3\} \quad \sigma_{i j}(p)=p\left(a_{i}\right)+p\left(a_{j}\right)-2 p\left(a_{i j}\right), i, j=1,2,3, i \neq j .
$$

(a) Show that $\Sigma$ is a unisolvent set for $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ (this means that any $p \in \mathbb{P}^{2}$ is uniquely determined by the values of the above degrees of freedom applied to $p$ ).
(b) Compute the "nodal" basis of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ which corresponds to $\left\{\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{31}\right\}$.
(c) Evaluate the entry $m_{11}$ of the element mass matrix.

Hint. If you have problem computing the functions from (b) for a general triangle, derive them for a reference element.

