APPLIED ANALYSIS/NUMERICAL ANALYSIS QUALIFIER

January 9, 2020

Applied Analysis Part, 2 hours

Name:

Policy on misprints: The qualifying exam committee tries to proofread exams as carefully as possible. Nevertheless, the exam may contain a few misprints. If you are convinced a problem has been stated incorrectly, indicate your interpretation in writing your answer. In such cases, do *not* interpret the problem so that it becomes trivial.

Instructions: Do any three problems. Show all work clearly. State the problem that you are skipping. No extra credit for doing all four.

Problem 1. Consider $F(x) := \frac{x}{2} + \frac{1}{x}, 1 \le x \le 2$.

- (a) State and prove the Contraction Mapping Theorem.
- (b) Show that $F : [1, 2] \to [1, 2]$, that it is Lipschitz continuous on [1, 2], with Lipschitz constant less than or equal to 1/2.
- (c) Obviously, the fixed point is $\sqrt{2}$. If $x_0 = 2$, estimate the number of iterations needed to come within 0.001 of $\sqrt{2}$.

Problem 2. Let $p \in C^{(2)}[0,1], q \in C[0,1]$ be positive on [0,1]. Consider the operator Lu = -(pu')' + qu, where $\mathcal{D}_L := \{u \in L^2[0,1]] : Lu \in L^2[0,1]\}, u(0) = 0 \& u'(1) = 0\}.$

- (a) Show that L is self adjoint and positive definite.
- (b) Explain why the Green's function g(x, y) exists for this problem.
- (b) Prove that the eigenfunctions of L contain a complete, orthonormal set with respect to $L^{2}[0, 1]$.

Problem 3. Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space, $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{H})$ the compact operators \mathcal{H} , and $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be the bounded operators on \mathcal{H} .

- (a) Prove that $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{H})$ is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$.
- (b) Let $\mathcal{H} = L^2[0,1]$. Use the result above to show that a Hilbert-Schmidt operator $Ku(x) = \int_0^1 k(x,y)u(y)dy, \ k \in L^2([0,1] \times [0,1])$ is compact.

Problem 4. Let \mathcal{S} be Schwartz space and \mathcal{S}' be the space of tempered distributions. The Fourier transform convention used here is $\mathcal{F}[f](\omega) = \widehat{f}(\omega) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t)e^{i\omega t}dt$, $\mathcal{F}^{-1}[\widehat{f}](x) = f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{f}(\omega)e^{-i\omega t}d\omega$.

- (a) Sketch a proof: The Fourier transform \mathcal{F} is a continuous linear operator mapping \mathcal{S} into itself.
- (b) Use the previous result to show that $\langle \mathcal{F}[T](x), \phi(x) \rangle := \langle T(x), \mathcal{F}[\phi](x) \rangle$ implies $\mathcal{F}[T] \in \mathcal{S}'$.
- (c) You are given that if $T \in S'$, then $T^{(k)} = (-i\omega)^k \widehat{T}$, where $k = 1, 2, \ldots$ Let T be the tent function $T(x) = 1 |x|, |x| \le 1$, and T(x) = 0 otherwise. Find \widehat{T} . (Hint: What is T''?)

¹Here we are defining $\langle f,g \rangle := \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)g(x)dx$. Note that there is no complex conjugate in this definition of $\langle f,g \rangle$.

NUMERICAL ANALYSIS QUALIFIER

January, 2020

Problem 1. Consider the following two finite elements: (τ, Q_1, Σ) and $(\tau, \tilde{Q}_1, \Sigma)$, where

$$\begin{split} \tau &= [-1,1]^2 \\ Q_1 &= span\{1,x,y,xy\}, \\ \widetilde{Q}_1 &= span\{1,x,y,x^2 - y^2\} \\ \Sigma &= \{w(-1,0),w(1,0),w(0,-1),w(0,1)\}. \end{split}$$

Obviously, Σ is the set of the values of a function w(x, y) at the midpoints of the edges of τ .

- (a) Show that the finite element (τ, Q_1, Σ) is not unisolvent.
- (b) Show that the finite element (τ, Q_1, Σ) is unisolvent.
- (c) Show that the finite element spaces are in general not H^1 -conforming.

Problem 2. Consider the boundary value problem

(2.1)
$$u^{(4)}(x) + q(x)u = f(x), \qquad 0 < x < 1, u(0) = 0, u(1) = 0, u''(0) = -\gamma, u'(1) + u''(1) = \beta,$$

where f(x) is a given function on (0,1), β and γ are given constants and $q(x) \ge 0$.

- (a) Give a weak formulation of this problem in an appropriate space V, characterize V, and prove that the corresponding bilinear form is coercive on V.
- (b) Set up a finite dimensional space $V_h \subset V$ of piece-wise cubic functions over a uniform partition of (0, 1). Introduce the Galerkin finite element method for the problem (2.1) for V_h . State an error estimate in V-norm assuming that $u(x) \in H^4(0, 1)$ (do NOT prove this).
- (c) Assuming "full regularity" and using duality argument **prove** the following estimate for the error of the Galerkin solution u_h :

(2.2)
$$\|u - u_h\|_{L^2} \le Ch^4 \|u^{(4)}\|_{L^2}.$$

Further prove the estimate $||u' - u'_h||_{L^2} \le Ch^3 ||u^{(4)}||_{L^2}$.

Problem 3. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a convex polygonal domain, and let \mathcal{T}_h be a shape-regular and quasi-uniform triangulation of Ω with element diameters uniformly equivalent to h. Let also $V_h \subset H_0^1(\Omega)$ be a piecewise linear Lagrange finite element space. You may assume the existence of an interpolation operator $I_h : H_0^1(\Omega) \to V_h$ satisfying

$$||u - I_h u||_{L_2(\Omega)} + h||u - I_h u||_{H^1(\Omega)} \le Ch^2 |u|_{H^2(\Omega)}.$$

(a) Let $u(t) \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ $(0 \le t \le T)$, u_0 , and f be sufficiently smooth such that

$$\int_{\Omega} u_t v \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx = \int_{\Omega} f v \, dx, \ v \in H^1_0(\Omega), \ 0 < t \le T,$$
$$u(x,0) = u_0(x), \ x \in \Omega.$$

Write down the spatially semidiscrete (i.e., discretized in space but not in time) finite element formulation of this problem. Denote by u_h the solution to these finite element equations.

(b) For $0 < t \leq T$, let now $\tilde{u}_h(t)$ be the *elliptic* finite element approximation to u(t). That is

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla \tilde{u}_h(t) \cdot \nabla v_h \ dx = \int_{\Omega} \nabla u(t) \cdot \nabla v_h \ dx, \ v_h \in V_h.$$

Prove that

$$\int_{\Omega} (u_h - \tilde{u}_h)_t v_h \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \nabla (u_h - \tilde{u}_h) \cdot \nabla v_h \, dx = \int_{\Omega} (u - \tilde{u}_h)_t v_h \, dx, \ v_h \in V_h, \ 0 < t \le T.$$

(c) Next recall Gronwall's Lemma, which states that if σ and ρ are continuous real functions with $\sigma \ge 0$ and $c \ge 0$ is a constant, and if

$$\sigma(t) \le \rho(t) + c \int_0^t \sigma(s) \, ds, \ t \in [0, T],$$

then

$$\sigma(t) \le e^{ct} \rho(t), \ t \in [0, T].$$

Using this result, prove that

$$\|(u_h - \tilde{u}_h)(T)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \le C(T) \left(\|(u_h - \tilde{u}_h)(0)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + \int_0^T \|(u - \tilde{u}_h)_t(s)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \, ds \right).$$

(d) For the final part you will need the following intermediate result. Given $v \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)$, let $v_h \in V_h$ satisfy

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla v_h \cdot \nabla w_h dx = \int_{\Omega} \nabla v \cdot \nabla w_h dx, \text{ all } w_h \in V_h.$$

Then

$$||v - v_h||_{L_2(\Omega)} \le Ch^2 |v|_{H^2(\Omega)}.$$

Assuming this result and additionally that $||(u-u_h)(0)||_{L_2(\Omega)} \leq Ch^2 |u(0)|_{H^2(\Omega)}$, prove that

$$\|(u-u_h)(T)\|_{L_2(\Omega)} \le C(T)h^2 \left(|u(0)|_{H^2(\Omega)} + \left(\int_0^T |u_t|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 \right)^{1/2} \right).$$