Algebraic properties of values of newform Dedekind sums

Mitch Majure

REU, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX



July 18, 2022

Section 1



Dirichlet Characters

A Dirichlet character (modulo q) $\chi : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ is a mapping with the following properties:

•
$$\chi(ab) = \chi(a)\chi(b).$$

• $\chi(a) = \begin{cases} = 0 \quad gcd(a,q) \neq 1 \\ \neq 0 \quad gcd(a,q) = 1. \end{cases}$
• $\chi(a \pm q) = \chi(a).$

These properties imply that when (a,q) = 1, $\chi(a)$ is a $\phi(q)^{th}$ roots of unity.

 $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and some subgroups

Recall the following definitions:

Definition

$$\begin{split} SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) &= \{\gamma \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}) | \det(\gamma) = 1\} \\ \Gamma_0(N) &= \{\gamma \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) | \gamma \equiv \begin{bmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{bmatrix} \pmod{N} \\ \Gamma_1(N) &= \{\gamma \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) | \gamma \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \pmod{N} \\ \Gamma(N) &= \{\gamma \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) | \gamma \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \pmod{N} \end{split}$$

Remark

 $\Gamma(N) \subset \Gamma_1(N) \subset \Gamma_0(N) \subset SL_2(\mathbb{Z}).$ $\Gamma(N)$ is the kernel of the reduction map $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}).$

Modular Forms

A modular form f of weight k is a function on \mathbb{H} that has a symmetry with the group action of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ on \mathbb{H} by linear fractional transformations. Specifically:

•
$$f(\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} z) = f(\frac{az+b}{cz+b}) = (cz+d)^k f(z)$$
 for $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}).$

- f is holomorphic/complex analytic.
- f(z) is bounded as $Im(z) \longrightarrow \infty$.

We can extend this concept to what are called automorphic forms by relaxing the holomorphicity requirement and including an automorphy factor ϵ in the symmetry:

$$f(\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} z) = f(\frac{az+b}{cz+b}) = \epsilon(a,b,c,d)(cz+d)^k f(z).$$

Section 2





• Eisenstein series of weight 0 attached to Dirichlet characters χ_1, χ_2 (modulo q_1, q_2 respectively), $E_{\chi_1, \chi_2}(z, s)$.



- Eisenstein series of weight 0 attached to Dirichlet characters χ_1, χ_2 (modulo q_1, q_2 respectively), $E_{\chi_1, \chi_2}(z, s)$.
- Automorphic form on the congruence subgroup $\Gamma_0(q_1q_2)$ with central character $\psi = \chi_1 \overline{\chi_2}$. This means $E_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(\gamma z, s) = \psi(\gamma) E_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(z, s)$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma_0(q_1q_2)$. $\left(\operatorname{Note} \psi(\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}) = \psi(d)\right)$.

- Eisenstein series of weight 0 attached to Dirichlet characters χ_1, χ_2 (modulo q_1, q_2 respectively), $E_{\chi_1, \chi_2}(z, s)$.
- Automorphic form on the congruence subgroup $\Gamma_0(q_1q_2)$ with central character $\psi = \chi_1 \overline{\chi_2}$. This means $E_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(\gamma z, s) = \psi(\gamma) E_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(z, s)$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma_0(q_1q_2)$. $\left(\operatorname{Note} \psi(\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}) = \psi(d)\right)$.
- Eigenfunction of all Hecke operators T_n with eigen value $\lambda_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(n,s)$.

- Eisenstein series of weight 0 attached to Dirichlet characters χ_1, χ_2 (modulo q_1, q_2 respectively), $E_{\chi_1, \chi_2}(z, s)$.
- Automorphic form on the congruence subgroup $\Gamma_0(q_1q_2)$ with central character $\psi = \chi_1 \overline{\chi_2}$. This means $E_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(\gamma z, s) = \psi(\gamma) E_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(z, s)$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma_0(q_1q_2)$. $\left(\operatorname{Note} \psi(\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}) = \psi(d)\right)$.
- Eigenfunction of all Hecke operators T_n with eigen value $\lambda_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(n,s)$.
- $E^*_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(z,s)$ (the completed Eisenstein series) at s = 1 decomposes into holomorphic and anti-holomorphic parts $f_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(z) + \chi_2(-1)\overline{f}_{\overline{\chi_1},\overline{\chi_2}}(z)$.

Original Definition of $S_{\chi_1\chi_2}$



Original Definition of $S_{\chi_1\chi_2}$

• Holomorphic part has the following fourier expansion:

$$f_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(n,1)}{\sqrt{n}} \exp(2\pi i n z).$$



Original Definition of $S_{\chi_1\chi_2}$

• Holomorphic part has the following fourier expansion:

$$f_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(n,1)}{\sqrt{n}} \exp(2\pi i n z).$$

where

$$\lambda_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(n,s) = \chi_2(\operatorname{sgn}(n)) \sum_{ad=|n|} \chi_1(a) \overline{\chi_2}(b) \left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{s-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

• The first definition of the newform Dedekind sum is as follows:

Definition

For primitive $\chi_1, \chi_2 \mod q_1, q_2$ where $\chi_1\chi_2(-1) = 1$, and $\gamma \in \Gamma_0(q_1q_2)$

$$S_{\chi_1\chi_2}(\gamma) = \frac{\tau(\overline{\chi_1})}{\pi i} (f_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(\gamma z) - \psi(\gamma)f_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(z))$$



Theorem

$$S_{\chi_1\chi_2}(\gamma) = S_{\chi_1\chi_2}(a,c) = \sum_{j \bmod c} \sum_{n \bmod q_1} \overline{\chi_2}(j)\overline{\chi_1}(n)B_1\bigg(\frac{j}{c}\bigg)B_1\bigg(\frac{n}{q_1} + \frac{aj}{c}\bigg)$$

$$B_1(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{Z} \\ x - \lfloor x \rfloor - \frac{1}{2} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Theorem

$$S_{\chi_1\chi_2}(\gamma) = S_{\chi_1\chi_2}(a,c) = \sum_{j \bmod c} \sum_{n \bmod q_1} \overline{\chi_2}(j)\overline{\chi_1}(n)B_1\left(\frac{j}{c}\right)B_1\left(\frac{n}{q_1} + \frac{aj}{c}\right)$$

where

$$B_1(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{Z} \\ x - \lfloor x \rfloor - \frac{1}{2} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

• From the above theorem, we see the sum always lies in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$ (\mathbb{Q} adjoined with some root of unity ζ_n).

Theorem

$$S_{\chi_1\chi_2}(\gamma) = S_{\chi_1\chi_2}(a,c) = \sum_{j \bmod c} \sum_{n \bmod q_1} \overline{\chi_2}(j)\overline{\chi_1}(n)B_1\bigg(\frac{j}{c}\bigg)B_1\bigg(\frac{n}{q_1} + \frac{aj}{c}\bigg)$$

$$B_1(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{Z} \\ x - \lfloor x \rfloor - \frac{1}{2} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- From the above theorem, we see the sum always lies in Q(ζ_n) (Q adjoined with some root of unity ζ_n).
- $S_{\chi_1\chi_2}$ is never trivial.

Theorem

$$S_{\chi_1\chi_2}(\gamma) = S_{\chi_1\chi_2}(a,c) = \sum_{j \bmod c} \sum_{n \bmod q_1} \overline{\chi_2}(j)\overline{\chi_1}(n)B_1\bigg(\frac{j}{c}\bigg)B_1\bigg(\frac{n}{q_1} + \frac{aj}{c}\bigg)$$

$$B_1(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{Z} \\ x - \lfloor x \rfloor - \frac{1}{2} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- From the above theorem, we see the sum always lies in Q(ζ_n) (Q adjoined with some root of unity ζ_n).
- $S_{\chi_1\chi_2}$ is never trivial.
- $S_{\chi_1\chi_2}(\gamma_1\gamma_2) = S_{\chi_1\chi_2}(\gamma_1) + \psi(\gamma_1)S_{\chi_1\chi_2}(\gamma_2).$

Theorem

$$S_{\chi_1\chi_2}(\gamma) = S_{\chi_1\chi_2}(a,c) = \sum_{j \bmod c} \sum_{n \bmod q_1} \overline{\chi_2}(j)\overline{\chi_1}(n)B_1\bigg(\frac{j}{c}\bigg)B_1\bigg(\frac{n}{q_1} + \frac{aj}{c}\bigg)$$

$$B_1(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{Z} \\ x - \lfloor x \rfloor - \frac{1}{2} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- From the above theorem, we see the sum always lies in Q(ζ_n) (Q adjoined with some root of unity ζ_n).
- $S_{\chi_1\chi_2}$ is never trivial.
- $S_{\chi_1\chi_2}(\gamma_1\gamma_2) = S_{\chi_1\chi_2}(\gamma_1) + \psi(\gamma_1)S_{\chi_1\chi_2}(\gamma_2).$
- $S_{\chi_1\chi_2}$ is a homomorphism when $\psi = 1$, while $S_{\chi_1\chi_2}|_{\Gamma_1(q_1q_2)}$ is always a homomorphism.

Section 3



The Hecke operator T_n

Definition

The weight 0 Hecke operator on automorphic forms with central character ψ is

$$T_n = rac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{ad=n} \psi(n) \sum_{b \pmod{\mathsf{d}}} egin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix}.$$



The Hecke operator T_n

Definition

The weight 0 Hecke operator on automorphic forms with central character ψ is

$$T_n = rac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{ad=n} \psi(n) \sum_{b \pmod{d}} egin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix}.$$

As noted, $E_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(z,s)$ is an eigen function for this family of commuting linear operators with eigenvalue $\lambda_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(n,s)$. When we specialize to s = 1 we deduce that $f_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(z)$ is as well:

$$T_n f_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{ad=n} \psi(n) \sum_{b \pmod{d}} f_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(\frac{az+b}{d})$$
$$= \lambda_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(n,1) f_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(z).$$

 $T_n S_{\chi_1 \chi_2}$



 $T_n S_{\chi_1 \chi_2}$

This gives an easy definition of $T_n S_{\chi_1 \chi_2}$:

$$T_n S_{\chi_1 \chi_2}(\gamma) = \frac{\tau(\overline{\chi_1})}{\pi i} (T_n f_{\chi_1, \chi_2}(\gamma z) - \psi(\gamma) T_n f_{\chi_1, \chi_2}(z))$$
$$= \lambda_{\chi_1, \chi_2}(n, 1) S_{\chi_1 \chi_2}(\gamma).$$

 $\overline{T_n}S_{\chi_1\chi_2}$

This gives an easy definition of $T_n S_{\chi_1 \chi_2}$:

$$T_n S_{\chi_1 \chi_2}(\gamma) = \frac{\tau(\overline{\chi_1})}{\pi i} (T_n f_{\chi_1, \chi_2}(\gamma z) - \psi(\gamma) T_n f_{\chi_1, \chi_2}(z))$$
$$= \lambda_{\chi_1, \chi_2}(n, 1) S_{\chi_1 \chi_2}(\gamma).$$

We can then use the fourier expansion of $f_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(z)$ and the z independence of $S_{\chi_1\chi_2}(\gamma)$ to get the following identity:

Theorem

For $h, k, n \in \mathbb{Z}, q_1q_2|k$, and n, k > 0

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\sum_{ad=n}\chi_1\overline{\chi_2}(a)\sum_{b(\mathrm{mod}\ \mathrm{d})}S_{\chi_1\chi_2}(ah+bk,dk)=\lambda_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(n,1)S_{\chi_1\chi_2}(h,k).$$

Takeaways

This is a generalization of the classical case due to M. Knopp:

Theorem

For $h, k, n \in \mathbb{Z}, k, n > 0$

$$\sum_{ad=n}\sum_{b (\textit{mod } d)} s(ah+bk,dk) = \sigma(n)s(h,k), \ \ \sigma(n) = \sum_{d\mid n} d.$$

More importantly, the fact that the Dedekind sums are eigenvectors of a family of commuting linear operators means they are linearly independent.

Section 4



Definition of Galois action

Definition

Let $\sigma \in Gal(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$. Then,

$$\sigma S_{\chi_1\chi_2}(\gamma) = \sigma \left(\sum_{j \bmod c} \sum_{n \bmod q_1} \overline{\chi_2}(j) \overline{\chi_1}(n) B_1\left(\frac{j}{c}\right) B_1\left(\frac{n}{q_1} + \frac{aj}{c}\right)\right)$$
$$= \sum_{j \bmod c} \sum_{n \bmod q_1} \overline{\chi_2^{\sigma}}(j) \overline{\chi_2^{\sigma}}(n) B_1\left(\frac{j}{c}\right) B_1\left(\frac{n}{q_1} + \frac{aj}{c}\right)$$
$$= S_{\chi_1^{\sigma},\chi_2^{\sigma}}(\gamma)$$

The Number Field Containing $S_{\chi_1\chi_2}(\Gamma_0(q_1q_2))$



The Number Field Containing $S_{\chi_1\chi_2}(\Gamma_0(q_1q_2))$

Theorem

The Dedekind sum $S_{\chi_1\chi_2}$ takes values in the number field F if and only if χ_1 and χ_2 take values in F.



The Number Field Containing $S_{\chi_1\chi_2}(\Gamma_0(q_1q_2))$

Theorem

The Dedekind sum $S_{\chi_1\chi_2}$ takes values in the number field F if and only if χ_1 and χ_2 take values in F.

The backward direction of this theorem comes directly from the finite sum definition. For the other, we choose any $\sigma \in Gal(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/F)$ and must have

$$S_{\chi_1\chi_2} = S_{\chi_1^\sigma, \chi_2^\sigma}.$$

The linear independence of the sums then gives $\chi_1 = \chi_1^{\sigma}$ and $\chi_2 = \chi_2^{\sigma}$, proving the result.

The Number Field Containing $S_{\chi_1\chi_2}(\Gamma_0(q_1q_2))$

Theorem

The Dedekind sum $S_{\chi_1\chi_2}$ takes values in the number field F if and only if χ_1 and χ_2 take values in F.

The backward direction of this theorem comes directly from the finite sum definition. For the other, we choose any $\sigma \in Gal(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/F)$ and must have

$$S_{\chi_1\chi_2} = S_{\chi_1^\sigma,\chi_2^\sigma}.$$

The linear independence of the sums then gives $\chi_1 = \chi_1^{\sigma}$ and $\chi_2 = \chi_2^{\sigma}$, proving the result.

Example

 $S_{\chi_1\chi_2}$ takes rational values if and only if χ_1 and χ_2 are rational characters.

Structure of $\Gamma_1(q_1q_2)/K^1_{\chi_1,\chi_2}$

We use the following definitions:

- $K_{\chi_1,\chi_2} = \{ \gamma \in \Gamma_0(q_1q_2) | S_{\chi_1\chi_2}(\gamma) = 0 \}$
- $K^1_{\chi_1,\chi_2} = \Gamma_1(q_1q_2) \cap K_{\chi_1,\chi_2}$
- F is the smallest number field over \mathbb{Q} in which $S_{\chi_1\chi_2}$ takes values.

Structure of $\Gamma_1(q_1q_2)/K_{\chi_1,\chi_2}^1$

We use the following definitions:

- $K_{\chi_1,\chi_2} = \{ \gamma \in \Gamma_0(q_1q_2) | S_{\chi_1\chi_2}(\gamma) = 0 \}$
- $K^1_{\chi_1,\chi_2} = \Gamma_1(q_1q_2) \cap K_{\chi_1,\chi_2}$
- F is the smallest number field over \mathbb{Q} in which $S_{\chi_1\chi_2}$ takes values.

We will investigate $\Gamma_1(q_1q_2)/K^1_{\chi_1,\chi_2} \cong S_{\chi_1\chi_2}(\Gamma_1(q_1q_2))$ because $S_{\chi_1\chi_2}|_{\Gamma_1(q_1q_2)}$ is a homomorphism, and we will show its rank is equal to the degree of F over \mathbb{Q} . These arguments are carried over without changes to $\Gamma_0(q_1q_2)/K_{\chi_1,\chi_2}$ in the case of $\chi_1\overline{\chi_2} = \mathbf{1}$.

Structure of $\Gamma_1(q_1q_2)/K_{\chi_1,\chi_2}^1$ Cont.



Structure of $\Gamma_1(q_1q_2)/K_{\chi_1,\chi_2}^1$ Cont.

Lemma

(Consequence of) Schrier's lemma Every finite index subgroup of a finitely generated group is finitely generated.



Structure of $\Gamma_1(q_1q_2)/K^1_{\chi_1,\chi_2}$ Cont.

Lemma

(Consequence of) Schrier's lemma Every finite index subgroup of a finitely generated group is finitely generated.

• Since $\Gamma_1(q_1q_2)$ is of finite index in $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) = \langle \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \rangle$, it must also have a set of finite generators $\{\gamma_j\}_{j=1}^r$. Then $\{S_{\chi_1\chi_2}(\gamma_j)\}_{j=1}^r$ generates $S_{\chi_1\chi_2}(\Gamma_1(q_1q_2))$.

Structure of $\Gamma_1(q_1q_2)/K^1_{\chi_1,\chi_2}$ Cont.

Lemma

(Consequence of) Schrier's lemma Every finite index subgroup of a finitely generated group is finitely generated.

- Since $\Gamma_1(q_1q_2)$ is of finite index in $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) = \langle \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \rangle$, it must also have a set of finite generators $\{\gamma_j\}_{j=1}^r$. Then $\{S_{\chi_1\chi_2}(\gamma_j)\}_{j=1}^r$ generates $S_{\chi_1\chi_2}(\Gamma_1(q_1q_2))$.
- We also know $S_{\chi_1\chi_2}(\Gamma_1(q_1q_2)) \subset (\mathbb{C},+)$ implies $S_{\chi_1\chi_2}(\Gamma_1(q_1q_2))$ is torsion free.

Structure of $\Gamma_1(q_1q_2)/K^1_{\chi_1,\chi_2}$ Cont.

Lemma

(Consequence of) Schrier's lemma Every finite index subgroup of a finitely generated group is finitely generated.

- Since $\Gamma_1(q_1q_2)$ is of finite index in $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) = \langle \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \rangle$, it must also have a set of finite generators $\{\gamma_j\}_{j=1}^r$. Then $\{S_{\chi_1\chi_2}(\gamma_j)\}_{j=1}^r$ generates $S_{\chi_1\chi_2}(\Gamma_1(q_1q_2))$.
- We also know $S_{\chi_1\chi_2}(\Gamma_1(q_1q_2)) \subset (\mathbb{C},+)$ implies $S_{\chi_1\chi_2}(\Gamma_1(q_1q_2))$ is torsion free.
- Combining these two facts shows $S_{\chi_1\chi_2}(\Gamma_1(q_1q_2))$ is a free abelian group by the structure theorem of ableian groups.

Bounding the Rank



Bounding the Rank

Lemma

The rank of $S_{\chi_1\chi_2}(\Gamma_1(q_1q_2))$ is bounded above by the degree of F/\mathbb{Q}

Bounding the Rank

Lemma

The rank of $S_{\chi_1\chi_2}(\Gamma_1(q_1q_2))$ is bounded above by the degree of F/\mathbb{Q}

Proof sketch:

 Since F is the fraction field of its algebraic integers (O_F), we may "bound" the denominators of S_{χ1χ2}(γ_j) by some d ∈ F.

Bounding the Rank

Lemma

The rank of $S_{\chi_1\chi_2}(\Gamma_1(q_1q_2))$ is bounded above by the degree of F/\mathbb{Q}

- Since F is the fraction field of its algebraic integers (O_F), we may "bound" the denominators of S_{χ1χ2}(γ_j) by some d ∈ F.
- Then $S_{\chi_1\chi_2}(\Gamma_1(q_1q_2)) \subset \frac{1}{d}\mathcal{O}_F$

Bounding the Rank

Lemma

The rank of $S_{\chi_1\chi_2}(\Gamma_1(q_1q_2))$ is bounded above by the degree of F/\mathbb{Q}

- Since F is the fraction field of its algebraic integers (O_F), we may "bound" the denominators of S_{χ1χ2}(γ_j) by some d ∈ F.
- Then $S_{\chi_1\chi_2}(\Gamma_1(q_1q_2)) \subset \frac{1}{d}\mathcal{O}_F$
- \mathcal{O}_F (and its fractional ideals) are free abelian groups of rank $[F:\mathbb{Q}]$.

Bounding the Rank



Bounding the Rank

Lemma

The rank of $S_{\chi_1\chi_2}(\Gamma_1(q_1q_2))$ is bounded below by the degree of F/\mathbb{Q}



Bounding the Rank

Lemma

The rank of $S_{\chi_1\chi_2}(\Gamma_1(q_1q_2))$ is bounded below by the degree of F/\mathbb{Q}

Proof sketch:

• First, nontriviality of $S_{\chi_1\chi_2}$ means the rank is at least one.

Bounding the Rank

Lemma

The rank of $S_{\chi_1\chi_2}(\Gamma_1(q_1q_2))$ is bounded below by the degree of F/\mathbb{Q}

- First, nontriviality of $S_{\chi_1\chi_2}$ means the rank is at least one.
- Suppose $S_{\chi_1\chi_2}(\Gamma_1(q_1q_2)) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^d \alpha_i \mathbb{Z}$ for $\alpha_i \in F, d < [F : \mathbb{Q}] = n$.

Bounding the Rank

Lemma

The rank of $S_{\chi_1\chi_2}(\Gamma_1(q_1q_2))$ is bounded below by the degree of F/\mathbb{Q}

- $\bullet\,$ First, nontriviality of $S_{\chi_1\chi_2}$ means the rank is at least one.
- Suppose $S_{\chi_1\chi_2}(\Gamma_1(q_1q_2)) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^d \alpha_i \mathbb{Z}$ for $\alpha_i \in F, d < [F : \mathbb{Q}] = n$.
- Then consider the *n* distinct Dedekind sums $S_{\chi_1^{\sigma_j} \chi_2^{\sigma_j}}$ for $\sigma_j \in Gal(F/\mathbb{Q})$.

Bounding the Rank

Lemma

The rank of $S_{\chi_1\chi_2}(\Gamma_1(q_1q_2))$ is bounded below by the degree of F/\mathbb{Q}

- $\bullet\,$ First, nontriviality of $S_{\chi_1\chi_2}$ means the rank is at least one.
- Suppose $S_{\chi_1\chi_2}(\Gamma_1(q_1q_2)) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^d \alpha_i \mathbb{Z}$ for $\alpha_i \in F, d < [F : \mathbb{Q}] = n$.
- Then consider the *n* distinct Dedekind sums $S_{\chi_1^{\sigma_j}\chi_2^{\sigma_j}}$ for $\sigma_j \in Gal(F/\mathbb{Q})$.
- Then we can construct a $d \times n$ matrix $(\alpha_i^{\sigma_j})_{ij}$, that, by its dimension, has nontrivial kernel, contradicting the linear independence of the Dedekind sums.

References



T. Stucker, A. Vennos, M. Young (2020) Dedekind sums arising from newform Eisenstein series International Journal of Number Theory

T. Dillon, S. Gaston (2019) An average of generalized Dedekind sums Journal of Number Theory

E. Nguyen, J. Ramirez, M. Young (2020) The kernel of newform Dedekind sums Journal of Number Theory

M. I. Knopp (1980)

Hecke operators and an identity for the Dedekind sums Journal of Number Theory

M. Young (2019) Explicit calculations with Eisenstein series Journal of Number Theory