## Bounds for Coefficients of the f(q) Mock Theta Function and Applications to Partition Ranks (Part 2)

Kevin Gomez

Texas A&M University REU 2020

July 21, 2020

Kevin Gomez Bounds for Coefficients of the f(q) Mock Theta Function

We utilize our effective bound on  $\alpha(n)$  to resolve the following conjecture:

Conjecture (Hou and Jagadeesan [2], 2017)

If r = 0 (resp. r = 1), then we have that

$$N(r, 2; a)N(r, 2; b) > N(r, 2; a + b)$$

for all  $a, b \ge 11$  (resp 12).

Hou and Jagadeesan demonstrated a similar result for the modulo-three rank-counting functions N(r, 3; n) for r = 0, 1, 2, but their methods do not work modulo two.

## Theorem (Gomez-Zhu)

For  $n \ge 4$ ,

$$N(r,2;n) = \frac{H(n)}{36I(n)^2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{I(n)}\right) + (-1)^r R_2(n)$$

where  $H(n) := \pi^2 \sqrt{3} e^{l(n)}$  and

$$|R_2(n)| \le (8.17 \times 10^{30})e^{l(n)/2}$$

(1日) (1日) (日) (日)

3

We will make use of an effective bound on the partition function due to Lehmer:

Theorem (Lehmer, 1938)

For all  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$p(n) = \frac{2\sqrt{3}}{24n-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{l(n)}\right) e^{l(n)} + E_p(n)$$

where  $|E_p(n)| \leq (1313)e^{l(n)/2}$ .

伺 ト イヨト イヨト

We substitute the asymptotic formulas for p(n) and  $\alpha(n)$  into the relation

$$N(r, 2; n) = \frac{p(n) + (-1)^r \alpha(n)}{2}$$

and then bound the resulting error

$$R_2(n) := (-1)^{n-1} \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{6}l(n)} e^{l(n)/2} + \frac{1}{2} (E_p(n) + E(n)). \quad \Box$$

A B M A B M

We will use the previous theorem to prove the following crucial inequalities:

Lemma (Gomez-Zhu)

for

For 
$$r = 0$$
 (resp.  $r = 1$ ), we have that

$$\frac{H(n)}{36l(n)^2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{l(n)}\right)^2 < N(r, 2; n) < \frac{H(n)}{36l(n)^2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{l(n)^2}\right)$$
  
all  $n \ge 16$  (resp. 15).

This lemma places N(r, 2; n) into a "nice" window, one which we manipulate to resolve the conjecture.

By our previous theorem,

$$\frac{H(n)}{36l(n)^2}\left(1-\frac{1}{l(n)}\right) - |R_2(n)| < N(r,2;n)$$

and

$$N(r, 2; n) < \frac{H(n)}{36l(n)^2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{l(n)}\right) + |R_2(n)|.$$

Thus, we can bound N(r, 2; n) for large enough n

$$\frac{H(n)}{36l(n)^2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{l(n)}\right)^2 < N(r, 2; n) < \frac{H(n)}{36l(n)^2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{l(n)^2}\right)$$

so long as the coefficient of  $e^{l(n)}$  bounding  $|R_2(n)|$  is not too large.

How large is too large? Given that  $|R_2(n)| \le (8.17 \times 10^{30})e^{l(n)}$ , we need *n* large enough to satisfy

$$8.17 imes 10^{30} < rac{\pi^2 \sqrt{3}}{36l(n)^3} \left(1 - rac{1}{l(n)}\right) e^{l(n)/2}.$$

Computation shows that n > 4647 will do, but we require our bounds to hold for significantly smaller n to resolve the conjecture.

We thus analyze the remaining n < 4647 using the Online Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, which contains the values of p(n) and  $\alpha(n)$  for  $1 \le n \le 10^4$ , and find that N(r, 2; n) falls into our window for  $n \ge 15$  when r = 0 (resp.  $n \ge 16$  when r = 1). We now prove the complete conjecture. Assume  $16 \le a \le b$  and let b = Ca where  $C \ge 1$ . We have just demonstrated that

$$N(r,2;a)N(r,2;Ca) > \frac{H(a)H(Ca)}{1296I(a)^2I(Ca)^2} \left(1-\frac{1}{I(a)}\right)^2 \left(1-\frac{1}{I(Ca)}\right)^2$$

and

$$N(r,2; a+Ca) < rac{H(a+Ca)}{36I(a+Ca)^2} \left(1-rac{1}{I(a+Ca)^2}
ight).$$

Thus, we need only find a such that our lower bound for N(r, 2; a)N(r, 2; Ca) exceeds our upper bound for N(r, 2; a + Ca).

b 4 3 b 4 3 b

## Proving the Conjecture

This is equivalent to finding a such that

$$e^{T_a(C)} > rac{12\sqrt{3}I(a)^2I(Ca)^2}{\pi^2I(a+Ca)^2}S_a(C),$$

where

$$T_a(C) := I(a) + I(Ca) - I(a + Ca)$$

and

$$S_{a}(C) := rac{\left(1 - rac{1}{l(a+Ca)^2}
ight)}{\left(1 - rac{1}{l(a)}
ight)^2 \left(1 - rac{1}{l(Ca)}
ight)^2}.$$

Or, taking logarithms of both sides,

$$T_a(C) > \log\left(rac{12\sqrt{3}I(a)^2I(Ca)^2}{\pi^2I(a+Ca)^2}
ight) + \log S_a(C).$$

We first observe that, as functions of C,  $T_a$  is strictly increasing and  $S_a$  is strictly decreasing, so we need only find a which satisfy our inequality for C = 1

$$T_a(1) > \log\left(rac{12\sqrt{3}I(a)^2I(Ca)^2}{\pi^2 I(a+Ca)^2}
ight) + \log S_a(1).$$

We then make use of the fact that  $l(Ca)^2/l(a + Ca)^2 \le 1$  for all a since l(a + Ca) > l(Ca) to reduce our inequality to

$$T_{a}(1)>\log\left(rac{12\sqrt{3}l(a)^{2}}{\pi^{2}}
ight)+\log S_{a}(1).$$

For which a is this final relation true? We calculate  $T_a(1)$  and  $S_a(1)$  and find that  $a \ge 16$  suffice, and thus the conjecture is proven for such  $a, b \ge 16$ .

The remaining cases of  $11 \le a, b \le 15$  (resp.  $12 \le a, b \le 15$ ) for r = 0 (resp. r = 1) are then checked manually by comparing N(r, 2; a), N(r, 2; b), and N(r, 2; a + b).

With this result, we might ask if we can obtain similar convexity results for other moduli? That is, do we have, for t > 3 and  $0 \le r < t$ ,

$$N(r, t; a)N(r, t; b) > N(r, t; a + b)$$

for all  $a, b \ge C(t)$ , where C(t) > 0 is an explicit constant depending only on the modulus t?

If we were able to find finite algebraic formulas describing N(r, t; n) analogous to ours for larger t, this conjecture would be resolved as in the case of t = 2. However, no such formulas are yet known.

Thank you to Dr. Masri, Dr. Young, Agniva Dasgupta, and Narrisara Khoachim for their assistance and contributions to this work. Thank you also to Dr. Shiu, the REU faculty, and the NSF for making this REU possible.

- C. Bessenrodt and K. Ono, *Maximal multiplicative properties* of partitions, Annals of Combinatorics **20** (2016) 59–64.
- [2] E. Hou and M. Jagadeesan, *Dyson's partition ranks and their multiplicative extensions*. Ramanujan J. 45 (2018) 817-839.
- [3] M. Locus Dawsey and R. Masri, *Effective bounds for the Andrews spt-function*. Forum Mathematicum **31** (2019) 743-767.

• • = • • = •