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Motivation

\[ f_1(x_8, x_9) = c_1 x_8^2 + c_2 x_8 x_9 + c_3 x_8 + c_4 x_9 + c_5 \]
\[ f_2(x_8, x_9) = c_6 x_9^2 + c_7 x_8 x_9 + c_8 x_8 + c_9 x_9 + c_{10} \]
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A Quadratic Pentanomial 2x2 system!

Solving polynomial equations becomes more and more complicated as we increase the number of terms and variables.

Today we’ll take a look at some constructions that give us rough approximations for roots in a fraction of the time!
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Although we didn’t make use of the following in our research...

The volume of the Newton polytope can be used to compute the degree of the corresponding hypersurface, and via mixed volumes, the number of roots of systems of equations!

\[
\text{Ex:}\quad f(x, y) = 1 + x^2 + y^3 - 100xy
\]
\[
= 1 \cdot x^0 \cdot y^0 + 1 \cdot x^2 \cdot y^0 + 1 \cdot x^0 \cdot y^3 - 100 \cdot x^1 \cdot y^1
\]
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Let’s project the lower faces of \( \text{ArchNewt}(f) \) onto the \( xy \)-plane. This gives us a *triangulation* of our Newton Polytope!

We take the outer normals of these lower faces:

\( \rightarrow \) We normalize them to be of the form \((w, -1)\), and take \( w \) to be a vertex of \( \text{ArchTrop}(f) \)!

\( \rightarrow \) *Roughly*\* translates to a point in each triangle!
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→ The outer normals of ArchNewt($f$) that point downwards

→ The outer normals of the exterior edges of Newt($f$)
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ArchTrop($f$) gives us metric information about the roots and areas where we can find constant isotopy types!
A Word on Isotopy Types
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Much like how the quadratic discriminant $b^2 - 4ac$ gives us information about the number of roots, $\text{ArchTrop}(f)$ can do this for more general curves.

**Ex:**

$$f(x, y) = 1 + x^2 + y^3 - cxy \ (c > 0)$$

$\Rightarrow$ The zero set of $f(x, y)$ is either $\emptyset$, a point, or an oval!
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This time we focus on the signs of our coefficients!

\[ f(x, y) = 1 + x^2 + y^3 - 100xy \]

More specifically, we are interested in alternating signs!
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To this!
ArchTrop_+(f) gives us a piecewise linear function that resembles the set of positive roots.
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A Small Discrepancy...

\[ f(x) = 1 - 1.1x + x^2 \]

ArchTrop_+(f) looks like

But if you look at the discriminant
\[ 1.1^2 - 4 < 0 \implies f \text{ has two non-} \mathbb{R} \text{ roots!} \]

On the other hand, if you look at
\[ \{ c \in \mathbb{R}_+ \mid \text{connected zero set of } (1 - cx + x^2) \neq \text{ArchTrop}_+(f) \} \]
$f(x) = 1 - 1.1x + x^2$

ArchTrop_+(f) looks like
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But if you look at the discriminant
\[ 1.1^2 - 4 < 0 \Rightarrow f \text{ has two non-\(\mathbb{R}\) roots!} \]

On the other hand, if you look at
\[ \{c \in \mathbb{R}_+ \mid \text{connected zero set of } (1 - cx + x^2) \neq \text{ArchTrop}_+(f)\} \]
\[ = (0, 2) \]
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\[ f_1(x_8, x_9) = c_1 x_8^2 + c_2 x_8 x_9 + c_3 x_8 + c_4 x_9 + c_5 \]
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No matter the coefficients, these 5 cases encompass all the possible triangulations of $f$. 
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No matter the coefficients, these 5 cases encompass all the possible triangulations of $f_1$!
Our Research

\[ f_1(x_8, x_9) = c_1 x_8^2 + c_2 x_8 x_9 + c_3 x_8 + c_4 x_9 + c_5 \]

Suppose \( c_1 = 1, c_2 = -10, c_3 = -10, c_4 = 2, c_5 = 1 \)
\[ f_2(x_8, x_9) = c_6 x_8 x_9 + c_7 x_8^2 + c_8 x_8 + c_9 x_9 + c_{10} \]

Suppose \( c_6 = 1, c_7 = -10, c_8 = -10, c_9 = 2, c_{10} = 1 \)
Our Research
A Theorem on ArchTrop$(f)$

Theorem
For any pentanomial $f$ in $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, any point of $\log|\mathbb{C}(f)|$ is within distance $\log(4)$ of some point of ArchTrop$(f)$. 

Luis Feliciano Texas A&M University
A Theorem on ArchTrop($f$)

$Z_\mathbb{C}(f) :=$ the Complex zero set of $f$
A Theorem on ArchTrop($f$)

$Z_{\mathbb{C}}(f) :=$ the Complex zero set of $f$

**Theorem**

For any pentanomial $f$ in $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, any point of $\text{Log}|Z_{\mathbb{C}}(f)|$ is within distance $\log(4)$ of some point of ArchTrop($f$).
A Theorem on $\text{ArchTrop}_+(f)$

$Z_+(f) := \text{the positive zero set of } f$
A Theorem on ArchTrop\(_+(f)\)

\[ Z_+(f) := \text{the positive zero set of } f \]

**Theorem**

For any pentanomial \( f \) in \( \mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \), any point of \( \text{Log}|Z_+(f)| \) is within distance \( \log(4) \) of some point of ArchTrop\(_+(f)\).
Using the same coefficients...
Using the same coefficients...
Theorem

If $F$ is a random real $2 \times 2$ quadratic pentanomial system with supports having Cayley embedding

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

such that the coefficient vector $(c_1, \ldots, c_{10})$ has each $c_i$ with mean 0, then with probability at least 41%, $F$ has the same number of positive roots as the cardinality of $\text{ArchTrop}(f_1) \cap \text{ArchTrop}(f_2)$. 
Successes!
Successes!
Failures...
Failures...crickets...
Failures...
But why?

Some intuition...
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Some intuition...
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Some intuition...
Theorem

For any $2 \times 2$ polynomial system non-degenerate $F$ with supports having Cayley embedding $A$, the number of nonzero real roots of $F$ depends only on the completed signed $A$-discriminant chamber containing $F$. 
Theorem

For any $2 \times 2$ polynomial system non-degenerate $F$ with supports having Cayley embedding $A$, the number of nonzero real roots of $F$ depends only on the completed signed $A$-discriminant chamber containing $F$. 
That being said...

We can compute the Hausdorff distance between $\text{ArchTrop}(f_1) \cap \text{ArchTrop}(f_2)$ and $\log |Z + (f_1)| \cap \log |Z + (f_2)|$ for 1000 random examples to obtain the following:
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We can compute the Hausdorff distance between \( \text{ArchTrop}(f_1) \cap \text{ArchTrop}(f_2) \) and \( \log|Z_+(f_1)| \cap \log|Z_+(f_2)| \) for 1000 random examples to obtain the following:
We can compute the Hausdorff distance between $\text{ArchTrop}(f_1) \cap \text{ArchTrop}(f_2)$ and $\log|Z_+(f_1)| \cap \log|Z_+(f_2)|$ for 1000 random examples to obtain the following:
Future Research

1. Generalizing our code
2. Finding the conditions under which \( h_0(Z + f) = h_0(ArchTrop + f) \)
3. Stability and the Jacobian
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1. Generalizing our code

2. Finding the conditions under which

\[ h_0(Z_+(f)) = h_0(ArchTrop_+(f)) \]
Future Research

1. Generalizing our code

2. Finding the conditions under which

\[ h_0(Z_+(f)) = h_0(\text{ArchTrop}_+(f)) \]

3. Stability and the Jacobian