

**REAL ANALYSIS MATH 608**  
**HOMEWORK #8**

**Problem 1.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$  be a measure space. Show that:

- (1) For all  $f \in L_1(\mu), g \in L_\infty(\mu)$  we have  $fg \in L_1(\mu)$  and  $\|fg\|_1 \leq \|f\|_1 \|g\|_\infty$ , with equality if and only if  $|g| = \|g\|_\infty$ ,  $\mu$ -a.e. on  $\{f \neq 0\}$ .
- (2)  $\{f_n\}_n$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $L_\infty(\mu)$  if and only if there is  $A \in \mathcal{M}$  with  $\mu(A^c) = 0$  such that

$$\lim_{n,k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in A} |f_n(x) - f_k(x)| = 0.$$

- (3)  $L_\infty(\mu)$  is a Banach space.

*Solution.* (1)  $\int |f|g|d\mu \leq \|g\|_\infty \int |f|d\mu = \|g\|_\infty \|f\|_1$ . If  $\mu(\{|g| < \|g\|_\infty\} \cap \{f \neq 0\}) > 0$  then  $|f|(\|g\|_\infty - |g|)$  is a measurable function that is positive on a set of positive measure and thus  $\int |f|(\|g\|_\infty - |g|)d\mu > 0$ , i.e.  $\|fg\|_1 < \|f\|_1 \|g\|_\infty$ . The sufficient condition is clear.

- (2) For the necessary condition, let  $\varepsilon > 0$ , then  $\{|f_n - f_k| > \varepsilon\} = (\{|f_n - f_k| > \varepsilon\} \cap A) \cup (\{|f_n - f_k| > \varepsilon\} \cap A^c)$  and hence  $\mu(\{|f_n - f_k| > \varepsilon\}) \leq \mu(\{|f_n - f_k| > \varepsilon\} \cap A) + 0$ . But there is  $n_0 \geq 1$  such that for all  $n, k \geq n_0$ ,  $\sup_{x \in A} |f_n(x) - f_k(x)| < \varepsilon$ , and thus  $\mu(\{|f_n - f_k| > \varepsilon\}) = 0$ , i.e.  $\|f_n - f_k\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon$  whenever  $n, k \geq n_0$ . Assume now that  $(f_n)_n$  is Cauchy for  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ , and given  $\varepsilon > 0$  let  $n_0 \geq 1$  such that for all  $n, k \geq n_0$  one has  $\|f_n - f_k\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon$ . Let  $A_{n,k} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{x \in X : |f_n(x) - f_k(x)| > \|f_n - f_k\|_\infty\}$  and  $A = X \setminus \bigcup_{n,k} A_{n,k} = X \cap \bigcap_{n,k} (A_{n,k}^c)$ . For all  $x \in A$ ,  $n, k \geq 1$ ,  $|f_n(x) - f_k(x)| \leq \|f_n - f_k\|_\infty$  and hence  $(f_n - f_k)_{n,k}$  converges uniformly to 0 on  $A$ . Now,  $\mu(\bigcup_{n,k} A_{n,k}) \leq \sum_{n,k} \mu(A_{n,k}) = 0$  since  $\mu(A_{n,k}) = 0$  for all  $n, k \geq 1$ .

- (3) If  $(f_n)_n$  is Cauchy for the sup norm then by (2) it is Cauchy for the uniform norm outside a set of measure 0 and the completeness of the sup norm follows from completeness of the uniform norm. □

**Problem 2.** Let  $1 \leq p < q < r \leq \infty$ , and consider the norm  $\|f\|_{L_p \cap L_r} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \|f\|_p + \|f\|_r$  on  $L_p \cap L_r$ . Show that

- (1)  $(L_p \cap L_r, \|\cdot\|_{L_p \cap L_r})$  is a Banach space.
- (2) Show that the formal inclusion  $\iota: L_p \cap L_r \rightarrow L_q$  is continuous.

*Solution.* □

**Problem 3.** Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space,  $S \subset H$ , and recall that

$$S^\perp = \{x \in H : x \perp y, \text{ for all } y \in S\}.$$

Show that  $S^{\perp\perp}$  is the smallest closed linear subspace of  $H$  containing  $S$ .

*Solution.* Let  $E$  be the smallest closed linear space that contains  $S$ . For any set  $S$ ,  $S^\perp$  is closed and linear. Indeed, if  $x, y \in S^\perp$  then  $\langle s, x + \lambda y \rangle = \langle s, x \rangle + \lambda \langle s, y \rangle = 0$  for all  $s \in S$ , and hence  $x + \lambda y \in S^\perp$ . If  $(x_n)_n \subset S^\perp$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow x$ , then for all  $s \in S$ ,  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\langle s, x_n \rangle = 0$  and by continuity of the scalar product (CS inequality) we have that  $\langle s, x \rangle = 0$ , i.e.  $x \in S^\perp$ . Observe now that  $S \subset S^{\perp\perp}$ . Indeed, if  $s \in S$  and  $y \in S^\perp$ , then  $\langle s, y \rangle = 0$ , and hence  $S \subset (S^\perp)^\perp = S^{\perp\perp}$ . Therefore by minimality of  $E$  one has  $S \subset E \subset S^{\perp\perp}$ . Assume now

that  $x \in S^{\perp\perp}$ . If  $p_E$  denotes the orthogonal projection on  $E$  (which exists since  $E$  is a closed subspace of a Hilbert space), then  $x = p_E(x) + x - p_E(x)$  and  $p_E(x) \perp (x - p_E(x))$ . We want to show that  $x = p_E(x)$  and thus  $x \in E$ . But this is true as  $\|x - p_E(x)\|^2 = \langle x - p_E(x), x - p_E(x) \rangle = \langle x - p_E(x), x \rangle = 0$  since  $x \in S^{\perp\perp} \subset E^{\perp\perp}$  ( $A \subset B \implies B^\perp \subset A^\perp$ ) and  $x - p_E(x) \in E^\perp$ . Thus we have  $S \subset E = S^{\perp\perp}$

**Remark 1.** Note that  $E = \overline{\text{span}(S)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \overline{\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i : n \in \mathbb{N}, x_1, \dots, x_n \in S, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{F} \right\}}$ . Indeed  $E \subset \overline{\text{span}(S)}$  (since the closure of a linear space is a linear space that is closed), but since  $E$  is a linear space containing  $S$  one has  $\text{span}(S) \subset E$  (Since  $\text{span}(S)$  is the smallest linear space containing  $S$ ) and taking closure  $\overline{\text{span}(S)} \subset E$  since  $E$  is closed. So in any normed vector space the closest linear space containing  $S$  is  $\overline{\text{span}(S)}$ .

□

#### Problem 4.

- (1) Show that if  $X$  is separable then the weak-\* topology on  $B_{X^*}$  is metrizable.
- (2) Show that if  $X^*$  is separable then the weak topology on  $B_X$  is metrizable.

Hint: For (1) consider  $d(x^*, y^*) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} |(x^* - y^*)(z_n)|$  where  $\{z_n\}_n$  is dense in  $B_X$ . For (2) mimic the argument in (1).

*Solution.*

- (1) Since  $X$  is separable we can pick a sequence  $\{z_n\}_n$  that is dense in  $B_X$ . For any  $x^*, y^* \in B_{X^*}$  let  $d(x^*, y^*) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} |(x^* - y^*)(z_n)|$ . It is clear that  $d$  is a symmetric map  $B_{X^*} \times B_{X^*} \rightarrow [0, 2]$  that satisfies the triangle inequality. In order to show that two topologies are equivalent (i.e. have the same open sets) we need to verify that every neighborhood in a neighborhood basis for one topology contains a neighborhood for the other, and vice versa (remember that a set is open if it is a neighborhood of all its points). Given a ball  $B_d(x^*, r)$  we need to find  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\{y^* \in B_{X^*} : |(x^* - y^*)(x_i)| < \varepsilon, 1 \leq i \leq n\} := V_{x^*, x_1, \dots, x_n, \varepsilon} \subset B_d(x^*, r)$ . If  $N \geq 1$  is such that  $\frac{1}{2^{N-1}} < \frac{r}{2}$ , consider  $y^* \in V_{x^*, z_1, \dots, z_N, r/2}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} |(x^* - y^*)(z_n)| &= \sum_{n=1}^N 2^{-n} |(x^* - y^*)(z_n)| + \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} |(x^* - y^*)(z_n)| \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^N 2^{-n} \frac{r}{2} + \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \\ &< \frac{r}{2} + \frac{1}{2^{N-1}} < r, \end{aligned}$$

and hence  $V_{x^*, z_1, \dots, z_N, r/2} \subset B_d(x^*, r)$ .

Now consider  $V_{x^*, x_1, \dots, x_k, \varepsilon}$ . Since  $V_{x^*, \lambda x_1, \dots, \lambda x_k, \lambda \varepsilon} = V_{x^*, x_1, \dots, x_k, \varepsilon}$  for any  $\lambda > 0$ , one can assume that  $\max_i \|x_i\| \leq 1$ . For all  $1 \leq i \leq k$  let  $z_{j_i}$  such that  $\|z_{j_i} - x_i\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$ , and assume (after relabelling if needed) that  $j_1 \leq j_2 \leq \dots \leq j_k$ . Then, if  $y^* \in B_d(x^*, \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{j_k+1}})$  then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} |(x^* - y^*)(z_n)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{j_k+1}}$  and in particular for all  $1 \leq n \leq j_k$ ,  $|(x^* - y^*)(z_n)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} 2^{n-j_k} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ . Therefore, for all  $1 \leq i \leq k$  one has  $|(x^* - y^*)(x_i)| \leq |(x^* - y^*)(x_i - z_{j_i})| + |(x^* - y^*)(z_{j_i})| < 2\|x_i - z_{j_i}\| + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < \varepsilon$ , i.e.  $B_d(x^*, \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{j_k+1}}) \subset V_{x^*, x_1, \dots, x_k, \varepsilon}$ .

- (2) This is verbatim the same proof modulo swapping the role of  $X$  and  $X^*$ . Since  $X^*$  is separable we can pick a sequence  $\{z_n^*\}_n$  that is dense in  $B_{X^*}$ . For any  $x, y \in B_X$  let  $d(x, y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} |z_n^*(x - y)|$ . It is clear that  $d$  is a symmetric map  $B_X \times B_X \rightarrow [0, 2]$  that satisfies the triangle inequality. In order to show that two topologies are equivalent (i.e. have the same open sets) we need to verify that every neighborhood in a neighborhood basis for one topology contains a neighborhood for the other, and vice versa (remember that a set is open if it is a neighborhood of all its points). Given a ball  $B_d(x, r)$

we need to find  $x_1^*, \dots, x_n^* \in X^*$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\{y \in B_X : |x_i^*(x-y)| < \varepsilon, 1 \leq i \leq n\} := V_{x, x_1^*, \dots, x_n^*, \varepsilon} \subset B_d(x, r)$ . If  $N \geq 1$  is such that  $\frac{1}{2^{N-1}} < \frac{r}{2}$ , consider  $y \in V_{x, z_1^*, \dots, z_N^*, r/2}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} |z_n^*(x-y)| &= \sum_{n=1}^N 2^{-n} |z_n^*(x-y)| + \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} |z_n^*(x-y)| \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^N 2^{-n} \frac{r}{2} + \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \\ &< \frac{r}{2} + \frac{1}{2^{N-1}} < r, \end{aligned}$$

and hence  $V_{x, z_1^*, \dots, z_N^*, r/2} \subset B_d(x, r)$ .

Now consider  $V_{x, x_1^*, \dots, x_k^*, \varepsilon}$ . Since  $V_{x, \lambda x_1^*, \dots, \lambda x_k^*, \lambda \varepsilon} = V_{x, x_1^*, \dots, x_k^*, \varepsilon}$  for any  $\lambda > 0$ , one can assume that  $\max_i \|x_i^*\| \leq 1$ . For all  $1 \leq i \leq k$  let  $z_{j_i}^*$  such that  $\|z_{j_i}^* - x_i^*\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$ , and assume (after relabelling if needed) that  $j_1 \leq j_2 \leq \dots \leq j_k$ . Then, if  $y \in B_d(x, \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{j_k+1}})$  then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} |z_n^*(x-y)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{j_k+1}}$  and in particular for all  $1 \leq n \leq j_k$ ,  $|z_n^*(x-y)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} 2^{n-j_k} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ . Therefore, for all  $1 \leq i \leq k$  one has  $|x_i^*(x-y)| \leq |(x_i^* - z_{j_i}^*)(x-y)| + |z_{j_i}^*(x-y)| < 2\|x_i^* - z_{j_i}^*\| + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < \varepsilon$ , i.e.  $B_d(x, \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{j_k+1}}) \subset V_{x, x_1^*, \dots, x_k^*, \varepsilon}$ . □

**Problem 5.** Let  $1 \leq p < q < r \leq \infty$ , and consider the norm on  $L_p + L_r$  given by

$$\|f\|_{L_p + L_r} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \inf\{\|g\|_p + \|h\|_r : f = g + h \in L_p + L_r\}.$$

Show that

- (1)  $(L_p + L_r, \|\cdot\|_{L_p + L_r})$  is a Banach space.
- (2) Show that the formal inclusion  $\iota: L_q \rightarrow L_p + L_r$  is continuous.

*Solution.*

□