

# A Note on Guaranteed Sparse Recovery via $\ell_1$ -Minimization

Simon Foucart, Université Pierre et Marie Curie

## Abstract

It is proved that every  $s$ -sparse vector  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^N$  can be recovered from the measurement vector  $\mathbf{y} = A\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^m$  via  $\ell_1$ -minimization as soon as the  $2s$ -th restricted isometry constant of the matrix  $A$  is smaller than  $3/(4 + \sqrt{6}) \approx 0.4652$ , or smaller than  $4/(6 + \sqrt{6}) \approx 0.4734$  for large values of  $s$ .

We consider in this note the classical problem of Compressive Sensing consisting in recovering an  $s$ -sparse vector  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^N$  from the mere knowledge of a measurement vector  $\mathbf{y} = A\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^m$ , with  $m \ll N$ , by solving the minimization problem

$$(P_1) \quad \underset{\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^N}{\text{minimize}} \|\mathbf{z}\|_1 \quad \text{subject to } A\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{y}.$$

A much favored tool in the analysis of  $(P_1)$  has been the restricted isometry constants  $\delta_k$  of the  $m \times N$  measurement matrix  $A$ , defined as the smallest positive constants  $\delta$  such that

$$(1) \quad (1 - \delta)\|\mathbf{z}\|_2^2 \leq \|A\mathbf{z}\|_2^2 \leq (1 + \delta)\|\mathbf{z}\|_2^2 \quad \text{for all } k\text{-sparse vector } \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^N.$$

This notion was introduced by Candès and Tao in [3], where it was shown that all  $s$ -sparse vectors are recovered as unique solutions of  $(P_1)$  as soon as  $\delta_{3s} + 3\delta_{4s} < 2$ . There are many such sufficient conditions involving the constants  $\delta_k$ , but we find a condition involving only  $\delta_{2s}$  more natural, since it is known [3] that an algorithm recovering all  $s$ -sparse vectors  $\mathbf{x}$  from the measurements  $\mathbf{y} = A\mathbf{x}$  exists if and only if  $\delta_{2s} < 1$ . Candès showed in [2] that  $s$ -sparse recovery is guaranteed as soon as  $\delta_{2s} < \sqrt{2} - 1 \approx 0.4142$ . This sufficient condition was later improved to  $\delta_{2s} < 2/(3 + \sqrt{2}) \approx 0.4531$  in [5], and to  $\delta_{2s} < 2/(2 + \sqrt{5}) \approx 0.4721$  in [1], with the proviso that  $s$  is either large or a multiple of 4. The purpose of this note is to show that the threshold on  $\delta_{2s}$  can be pushed further — we point out that Davies and Gribonval proved that it cannot be pushed further than  $1/\sqrt{2} \approx 0.7071$  in [4]. Our proof relies heavily on a technique introduced in [1]. Let us note that the results of [2], [5], and [1], even though stated for  $\mathbb{R}$  rather than  $\mathbb{C}$ , are valid in both settings. Indeed, for disjointly supported vectors  $\mathbf{u}$  and  $\mathbf{v}$ , instead of using a real polarization formula to derive the estimate

$$(2) \quad |\langle A\mathbf{u}, A\mathbf{v} \rangle| \leq \delta_k \|\mathbf{u}\|_2 \|\mathbf{v}\|_2,$$

where  $k$  is the size of  $\text{supp}(\mathbf{u}) \cup \text{supp}(\mathbf{v})$ , we remark that  $\delta_k = \max \{ \|A_K^* A_K - I\|_2, \text{card}(K) \leq k \}$ , so that

$$|\langle A\mathbf{u}, A\mathbf{v} \rangle| = |\langle A_K \mathbf{u}, A_K \mathbf{v} \rangle| = |\langle A_K^* A_K \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle| = |\langle (A_K^* A_K - I)\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle| \leq \delta_k \|\mathbf{u}\|_2 \|\mathbf{v}\|_2.$$

Using (2), we can establish our main result in the complex setting, as stated below.

**Theorem 1.** Every  $s$ -sparse vector  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^N$  is the unique minimizer of  $(P_1)$  with  $\mathbf{y} = A\mathbf{x}$  if

$$\delta_{2s} < \frac{3}{4 + \sqrt{6}} \approx 0.4652,$$

and, for large  $s$ , if

$$\delta_{2s} < \frac{4}{6 + \sqrt{6}} \approx 0.4734.$$

This theorem is a consequence of the following two propositions.

**Proposition 2.** Every  $s$ -sparse vector  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^N$  is the unique minimizer of  $(P_1)$  with  $\mathbf{y} = A\mathbf{x}$  if

- 1)  $\delta_{2s} < \frac{1}{2}$  when  $s = 1$ ,
- 2)  $\delta_{2s} < \frac{3}{4 + \sqrt{(6s - 2r)/(s - 1)}}$  when  $s = 3n + r$  with  $1 \leq r \leq 3$ ,
- 3)  $\delta_{2s} < \frac{4}{5 + \sqrt{(12s - 3r)/(s - 1)}}$  when  $s = 4n + r$  with  $1 \leq r \leq 4$ ,
- 4)  $\delta_{2s} < \frac{2}{3 + \sqrt{1 + s/(8n + \lfloor 8r/5 \rfloor)}}$  when  $s = 5n + r$  with  $1 \leq r \leq 5$ .

**Proposition 3.** Every  $s$ -sparse vector  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^N$  is the unique minimizer of  $(P_1)$  with  $\mathbf{y} = A\mathbf{x}$  if

$$(3) \quad \delta_{2s} < \frac{1}{1 + \sqrt{s\tilde{s}/(8(\tilde{s} - s)(3s - 2\tilde{s}))}} \quad \text{where } \tilde{s} = \lfloor \sqrt{3/2} s \rfloor.$$

*Proof of Theorem 1.* For  $2 \leq s \leq 8$ , we determine which sufficient condition of Proposition 2 is the weakest, using the following table of values for the thresholds

	$s = 2$	$s = 3$	$s = 4$	$s = 5$	$s = 6$	$s = 7$	$s = 8$
Case 2)	0.4393	<b>0.4652</b>	0.4472	0.4580	0.4652	0.4558	0.4610
Case 3)	0.4328	0.4611	<b>0.4726</b>	0.4558	0.4633	<b>0.4686</b>	<b>0.4726</b>
Case 4)	<b>0.4661</b>	0.4627	0.4661	<b>0.4679</b>	<b>0.4661</b>	0.4674	0.4661

For these values of  $s$ , requiring  $\delta_{2s} < 0.4652$  is enough to guarantee  $s$ -sparse recovery. As for the values  $s \geq 9$ , since the function of  $n$  appearing in Case 4) is nondecreasing when  $r$  is fixed, the corresponding sufficient condition holds for  $s$  as soon as it holds for  $s - 5$ . Then, because

requiring  $\delta_{2s} < 0.4661$  is enough to guarantee  $s$ -sparse recovery from Case 4) when  $4 \leq s \leq 8$ , it is also enough to guarantee it when  $s \geq 9$ . Taking Case 1) into account, we conclude that the inequality  $\delta_{2s} < 0.4652$  ensures  $s$ -sparse recovery for every integer  $s \geq 1$ , as stated in the first part of Theorem 1. The second part of Theorem 1 follows from Proposition 3 by writing

$$\frac{s\tilde{s}}{8(\tilde{s}-s)(3s-2\tilde{s})} \xrightarrow{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sqrt{3/2}}{8(\sqrt{3/2}-1)(3-2\sqrt{3/2})} = \frac{6}{16(3-\sqrt{6})^2} = \left(\frac{\sqrt{6}}{4(3-\sqrt{6})}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{2+\sqrt{6}}{4}\right)^2,$$

and substituting this limit into (3).  $\square$

A crucial role in the proofs of Propositions 2 and 3 is played by the following lemma, which is simply the shifting inequality introduced in [1] when  $k \leq 4\ell$ . We provide a different proof for the reader's convenience.

**Lemma 4.** Given integers  $k, \ell \geq 1$ , for a sequence  $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots \geq a_{k+\ell} \geq 0$ , one has

$$\left[ \sum_{j=\ell+1}^{\ell+k} a_j^2 \right]^{1/2} \leq \max \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\ell}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \right] \left[ \sum_{j=1}^k a_j \right].$$

*Proof.* The case  $\ell + 1 \geq k$  follows from the facts that the left-hand side is at most  $\sqrt{k} a_{\ell+1}$  and that the right-hand side is at least  $\sqrt{k} a_k$ . We now assume that  $\ell + 1 < k$ , so that the subsequences  $(a_1, \dots, a_k)$  and  $(a_{\ell+1}, \dots, a_{\ell+k})$  overlap on  $(a_{\ell+1}, \dots, a_k)$ . Since the left-hand side is maximized when  $a_{k+1}, \dots, a_\ell$  all equal  $a_k$ , while the right-hand side is minimized when  $a_1, \dots, a_\ell$  all equal  $a_{\ell+1}$ , it is necessary and sufficient to establish that

$$\left[ a_{\ell+1}^2 + \dots + a_{k-1}^2 + (\ell+1)a_k^2 \right]^{1/2} \leq \max \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\ell}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \right] \left[ (\ell+1)a_{\ell+1} + a_{\ell+2} + \dots + a_k \right].$$

By homogeneity, this is the problem of maximization of the convex function

$$f(a_{\ell+1}, \dots, a_k) := \left[ a_{\ell+1}^2 + \dots + a_{k-1}^2 + (\ell+1)a_k^2 \right]^{1/2}$$

over the convex polygon

$$\mathcal{P} := \left\{ (a_{\ell+1}, \dots, a_k) \in \mathbb{R}^{k-\ell} : a_{\ell+1} \geq \dots \geq a_k \geq 0 \text{ and } (\ell+1)a_{\ell+1} + a_{\ell+2} + \dots + a_k \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Because any point in  $\mathcal{P}$  is a convex combination of its vertices and because the function  $f$  is convex, its maximum over  $\mathcal{P}$  is attained at a vertex of  $\mathcal{P}$ . We note that the vertices of  $\mathcal{P}$  are obtained as intersections of  $(k-\ell)$  hyperplanes arising by turning  $(k-\ell)$  of the  $(k-\ell+1)$  inequality constraints into equalities. We have the following possibilities:

- if  $a_{\ell+1} = \dots = a_k = 0$ , then  $f(a_{\ell+1}, \dots, a_k) = 0$ ;
- if  $a_{\ell+1} = \dots = a_j < a_{j+1} = \dots = a_k = 0$  and  $(\ell+1)a_{\ell+1} + a_{\ell+2} + \dots + a_k = 1$  for  $\ell+1 \leq j \leq k$ , then  $a_{\ell+1} = \dots = a_j = 1/j$ , so that  $f(a_{\ell+1}, \dots, a_k) = [(j-\ell)/j^2]^{1/2} \leq [1/(4\ell)]^{1/2}$  when  $j < k$  and that  $f(a_{\ell+1}, \dots, a_k) = [k/k^2]^{1/2} = [1/k]^{1/2}$  when  $j = k$ .

It follows that the maximum of the function  $f$  over the convex polygon  $\mathcal{P}$  does not exceed  $\max \left[ 1/\sqrt{4\ell}, 1/\sqrt{k} \right]$ , which is the expected result.  $\square$

*Proof of Proposition 2.* It is well-known, see e.g. [6], that the recovery of  $s$ -sparse vectors is equivalent to the null space property, which asserts that, for any nonzero vector  $\mathbf{v} \in \ker A$  and any index set  $S$  of size  $s$ , one has

$$(4) \quad \|\mathbf{v}_S\|_1 < \|\mathbf{v}_{\bar{S}}\|_1.$$

The notation  $\bar{S}$  stands for the complementary of  $S$  in  $\{1, \dots, N\}$ . Let us now fix a nonzero vector  $\mathbf{v} \in \ker A$ . We may assume without loss of generality that the entries of  $\mathbf{v}$  are sorted in decreasing order

$$|v_1| \geq |v_2| \geq \dots \geq |v_N|.$$

It is then necessary and sufficient to establish (4) for the set  $S = \{1, \dots, s\}$ .

We start by examining Case 4). We partition  $\bar{S} = \{s+1, \dots, N\}$  in two ways as  $\bar{S} = S' \cup T_1 \cup T_2 \cup \dots$  and as  $\bar{S} = S' \cup U_1 \cup U_2 \cup \dots$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} S' &:= \{s+1, \dots, s+s'\} && \text{is of size } s', \\ T_1 &:= \{s+s'+1, \dots, s+s'+t\}, \quad T_2 := \{s+s'+t+1, \dots, s+s'+2t\}, \dots && \text{are of size } t, \\ U_1 &:= \{s+s'+1, \dots, s+s'+u\}, \quad U_2 := \{s+s'+u+1, \dots, s+s'+2u\}, \dots && \text{are of size } u. \end{aligned}$$

We impose the sizes of the sets  $S \cup S'$ ,  $S \cup T_k$ , and  $S' \cup U_k$  to be at most  $2s$ , i.e.

$$s' \leq s, \quad t \leq s, \quad s' + u \leq 2s.$$

Thus, with  $\delta := \delta_{2s}$ , we derive from (1) and (2)

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{v}_S + \mathbf{v}_{S'}\|_2^2 &\leq \frac{1}{1-\delta} \|A(\mathbf{v}_S + \mathbf{v}_{S'})\|_2^2 = \frac{1}{1-\delta} \left[ \langle A(\mathbf{v}_S), A(\mathbf{v}_S + \mathbf{v}_{S'}) \rangle + \langle A(\mathbf{v}_{S'}), A(\mathbf{v}_S + \mathbf{v}_{S'}) \rangle \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{1-\delta} \left[ \langle A(\mathbf{v}_S), \sum_{k \geq 1} A(-\mathbf{v}_{T_k}) \rangle + \langle A(\mathbf{v}_{S'}), \sum_{k \geq 1} A(-\mathbf{v}_{U_k}) \rangle \right] \\ (5) \quad &\leq \frac{1}{1-\delta} \left[ \delta \|\mathbf{v}_S\|_2 \sum_{k \geq 1} \|\mathbf{v}_{T_k}\|_2 + \delta \|\mathbf{v}_{S'}\|_2 \sum_{k \geq 1} \|\mathbf{v}_{U_k}\|_2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Introducing the shifted sets  $\tilde{T}_1 := \{s + 1, \dots, s + t\}$ ,  $\tilde{T}_2 := \{s + t + 1, \dots, s + 2t\}$ , ..., and  $\tilde{U}_1 := \{s + 1, \dots, s + u\}$ ,  $\tilde{U}_2 := \{s + u + 1, \dots, s + 2u\}$ , ..., Lemma 4 yields, for  $k \geq 1$ ,

$$\|\mathbf{v}_{T_k}\|_2 \leq \max\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{4s'}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}\right] \|\mathbf{v}_{\tilde{T}_k}\|_1, \quad \|\mathbf{v}_{U_k}\|_2 \leq \max\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{4s'}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{u}}\right] \|\mathbf{v}_{\tilde{U}_k}\|_1.$$

Substituting into (5), we obtain

$$(6) \quad \|\mathbf{v}_S + \mathbf{v}_{S'}\|_2^2 \leq \frac{\delta}{1 - \delta} \left[ \|\mathbf{v}_S\|_2 \max\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{4s'}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}\right] \|\mathbf{v}_{\bar{S}}\|_1 + \|\mathbf{v}_{S'}\|_2 \max\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{4s'}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{u}}\right] \|\mathbf{v}_{\bar{S}}\|_1 \right].$$

To minimize the first maximum in (6), we have all interest in taking the free variable  $t$  as large as possible, i.e.  $t = s$ . We now concentrate on the second maximum in (6). The point  $(s', u)$  belongs to the region

$$\mathcal{R} := \{s' \geq 0, u \geq 0, s' \leq s, s' + u \leq 2s\}.$$

This region is divided in two by the line  $\mathcal{L}$  of equation  $u = 4s'$ . Below this line, the maximum equals  $1/\sqrt{u}$ , which is minimized for a large  $u$ . Above this line, the maximum equals  $1/\sqrt{4s'}$ , which is minimized for large  $s'$ . Thus, the maximum is minimized at the intersection of the line  $\mathcal{L}$  with the boundary of the region  $\mathcal{R}$  — other than the origin — which is given by

$$s'_* := \frac{2s}{5}, \quad u_* := \frac{8s}{5}.$$

If  $s$  is a multiple of 5, we can choose  $(s', u)$  to be  $(s'_*, u_*)$ . In view of  $4s'_* \geq s$ , (6) becomes

$$\|\mathbf{v}_S\|_2^2 + \|\mathbf{v}_{S'}\|_2^2 \leq \frac{\delta}{1 - \delta} \frac{\|\mathbf{v}_{\bar{S}}\|_1}{\sqrt{s}} \left[ \|\mathbf{v}_S\|_2 + \sqrt{c} \|\mathbf{v}_{S'}\|_2 \right] \quad \text{with } c = \frac{5}{8}.$$

Completing the squares, we obtain, with  $\gamma := (\delta \|\mathbf{v}_{\bar{S}}\|_1) / (2(1 - \delta)\sqrt{s})$ ,

$$(\|\mathbf{v}_S\|_2 - \gamma)^2 + (\|\mathbf{v}_{S'}\|_2 - \sqrt{c}\gamma)^2 \leq (1 + c)\gamma^2.$$

Simply using the inequality  $(\|\mathbf{v}_{S'}\|_2 - \sqrt{c}\gamma)^2 \geq 0$ , we deduce

$$\|\mathbf{v}_S\|_2 \leq (1 + \sqrt{1 + c})\gamma.$$

Finally, in view of  $\|\mathbf{v}_S\|_1 \leq \sqrt{s}\|\mathbf{v}_S\|_2$ , we conclude

$$\|\mathbf{v}_S\|_1 \leq \frac{(1 + \sqrt{1 + c})\delta}{2(1 - \delta)} \|\mathbf{v}_{\bar{S}}\|_1.$$

Thus, the null space property (4) is satisfied as soon as

$$(1 + \sqrt{1 + c})\delta < 2(1 - \delta), \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \delta < \frac{2}{3 + \sqrt{1 + c}}.$$

Substituting  $c = 5/8$  leads to the sufficient condition  $\delta_{2s} < 2/(3 + \sqrt{13/8}) \approx 0.4679$ , valid when  $s$  is a multiple of 5. When  $s$  is not a multiple of 5, we cannot choose  $(s', u)$  to be  $(s'_*, u_*)$ , and we choose it to be a corner of the square  $[\lceil s'_* \rceil, \lceil s'_* \rceil] \times [\lceil u_* \rceil, \lceil u_* \rceil]$ . In all cases, the corner  $(\lceil s'_* \rceil, \lceil u_* \rceil)$  is inadmissible since  $\lceil s'_* \rceil + \lceil u_* \rceil > 2s$ , and among the three admissible corners, one can verify that the smallest value of  $\max[1/\sqrt{4s'}, 1/\sqrt{u}]$  is achieved for  $(s', u) = (\lceil s'_* \rceil, \lceil u_* \rceil)$ . With this choice, in view of  $4s' \geq s$ , (6) becomes

$$\|\mathbf{v}_S\|_2^2 + \|\mathbf{v}_{S'}\|_2^2 \leq \frac{\delta}{1-\delta} \frac{\|\mathbf{v}_{\bar{S}}\|_1}{\sqrt{s}} \left[ \|\mathbf{v}_S\|_2 + \sqrt{c} \|\mathbf{v}_{S'}\|_2 \right] \quad \text{with } c = \frac{s}{\lceil 8s/5 \rceil}.$$

The same arguments as before yield the sufficient condition  $\delta_{2s} < 2/(3 + \sqrt{1 + s/\lceil 8s/5 \rceil})$ , which is nothing else than Condition 4).

We now turn to Cases 2) and 3), which we treat simultaneously by writing  $s = pn + r$ ,  $1 \leq r \leq p$ , for  $p = 3$  and  $p = 4$ . We partition  $\bar{S}$  as  $\bar{S} = S' \cup T_1 \cup T_2 \cup \dots$  and  $\bar{S} = S' \cup U_1 \cup U_2 \cup \dots$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} S' &:= \{s+1, \dots, s+s'\} && \text{is of size } s' = n+1, \\ T_1 &:= \{s+s'+1, \dots, s+s'+t\}, T_2 := \{s+s'+t+1, \dots, s+s'+2t\}, \dots && \text{are of size } t = s, \\ U_1 &:= \{s+s'+1, \dots, s+s'+u\}, U_2 := \{s+s'+u+1, \dots, s+s'+2u\}, \dots && \text{are of size } u = s-1. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we partition  $S$  as  $S_1 \cup \dots \cup S_p$ , where  $S_1, \dots, S_r$  are of size  $n+1$  and  $S_{r+1}, \dots, S_p$  of size  $n$ . We then set  $\mathbf{w}_0 := \mathbf{v}_S$ ,  $\mathbf{w}_1 := \mathbf{v}_{S_2} + \dots + \mathbf{v}_{S_p} + \mathbf{v}_{S'}$ ,  $\mathbf{w}_2 := \mathbf{v}_{S_1} + \mathbf{v}_{S_3} + \dots + \mathbf{v}_{S_p} + \mathbf{v}_{S'}$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $\mathbf{w}_p := \mathbf{v}_{S_1} + \dots + \mathbf{v}_{S_{p-1}} + \mathbf{v}_{S'}$ , so that

$$\sum_{j=0}^p \mathbf{w}_j = p(\mathbf{v}_S + \mathbf{v}_{S'}) \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=0}^p \|\mathbf{w}_j\|_2^2 = p\|\mathbf{v}_S + \mathbf{v}_{S'}\|_2^2.$$

With  $\delta := \delta_{2s}$ , we derive from (1) and (2)

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{v}_S + \mathbf{v}_{S'}\|_2^2 &\leq \frac{1}{1-\delta} \|A(\mathbf{v}_S + \mathbf{v}_{S'})\|_2^2 = \frac{1}{1-\delta} \left\langle A\left(\sum_{j=0}^p \mathbf{w}_j/p\right), A(-\mathbf{v}_{\bar{S}U_{S'}}) \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{1-\delta} \frac{1}{p} \left[ \sum_{j=0}^r \left\langle A(\mathbf{w}_j), \sum_{k \geq 1} A(-\mathbf{v}_{T_k}) \right\rangle + \sum_{j=r+1}^p \left\langle A(\mathbf{w}_j), \sum_{k \geq 1} A(-\mathbf{v}_{U_k}) \right\rangle \right] \\ (7) \quad &\leq \frac{1}{1-\delta} \frac{1}{p} \left[ \sum_{j=0}^r \delta \|\mathbf{w}_j\|_2 \sum_{k \geq 1} \|\mathbf{v}_{T_k}\|_2 + \sum_{j=r+1}^p \delta \|\mathbf{w}_j\|_2 \sum_{k \geq 1} \|\mathbf{v}_{U_k}\|_2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account that  $s \leq 4s'$  and  $s-1 \leq 4s'$ , Lemma 4 yields, for  $k \geq 1$ ,

$$\|\mathbf{v}_{T_k}\|_2 \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} \|\mathbf{v}_{\tilde{T}_k}\|_1, \quad \|\mathbf{v}_{U_k}\|_2 \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{s-1}} \|\mathbf{v}_{\tilde{U}_k}\|_1,$$

where  $\tilde{T}_1 := \{s+1, \dots, s+t\}$ ,  $\tilde{T}_2 := \{s+t+1, \dots, s+2t\}$ ,  $\dots$ , and  $\tilde{U}_1 := \{s+1, \dots, s+u\}$ ,

$\tilde{U}_2 := \{s + u + 1, \dots, s + 2u\}, \dots$  Substituting into (7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
p\|\mathbf{v}_S + \mathbf{v}_{S'}\|_2^2 &\leq \frac{1}{1-\delta} \frac{1}{p} \left[ \sum_{j=0}^r \delta \|\mathbf{w}_j\|_2 \frac{\|\mathbf{v}_{\bar{S}}\|_1}{\sqrt{s}} + \sum_{j=r+1}^p \delta \|\mathbf{w}_j\|_2 \frac{\|\mathbf{v}_{\bar{S}}\|_1}{\sqrt{s-1}} \right] \\
(8) \qquad \qquad \qquad &= \frac{\delta}{1-\delta} \frac{\|\mathbf{v}_{\bar{S}}\|_1}{\sqrt{s}} \left[ \|\mathbf{v}_S\|_2 + \sum_{j=1}^r \|\mathbf{w}_j\|_2 + \sum_{j=r+1}^p \sqrt{\frac{s}{s-1}} \|\mathbf{w}_j\|_2 \right].
\end{aligned}$$

We use the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality to derive

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=1}^r \|\mathbf{w}_j\|_2 + \sum_{j=r+1}^p \sqrt{\frac{s}{s-1}} \|\mathbf{w}_j\|_2 &\leq \sqrt{r + (p-r) \frac{s}{s-1}} \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^r \|\mathbf{w}_j\|_2^2} \\
(9) \qquad \qquad \qquad &= \sqrt{\frac{ps-r}{s-1}} \sqrt{p\|\mathbf{v}_S + \mathbf{v}_{S'}\|_2^2 - \|\mathbf{v}_S\|_2^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Setting  $a := \|\mathbf{v}_S\|_2$  and  $b := \sqrt{p\|\mathbf{v}_S + \mathbf{v}_{S'}\|_2^2 - \|\mathbf{v}_S\|_2^2}$ , (8) and (9) imply

$$a^2 + b^2 \leq \frac{\delta}{1-\delta} \frac{\|\mathbf{v}_{\bar{S}}\|_1}{\sqrt{s}} \left[ a + \sqrt{cb} \right], \quad \text{with } c := \frac{ps-r}{s-1}.$$

Completing the squares, we obtain, with  $\gamma := (\delta\|\mathbf{v}_{\bar{S}}\|_1)/(2(1-\delta)\sqrt{s})$ ,

$$(a - \gamma)^2 + (b - \sqrt{c}\gamma)^2 \leq (1+c)\gamma^2.$$

Thus, the point  $(a, b)$  is inside the circle  $\mathcal{C}$  passing through the origin, with center  $(\gamma, \sqrt{c}\gamma)$ . Since  $b \geq \sqrt{p-1}a$ , this point is above the line  $\mathcal{L}$  passing through the origin, with slope  $\sqrt{p-1}$ . If  $(a_*, b_*)$  denotes the intersection of  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{L}$  — other than the origin — we then have

$$a \leq a_* = \frac{2(1 + \sqrt{c(p-1)})}{p} \gamma,$$

as one can verify that the point on the circle  $\mathcal{C}$  with maximal abscissa is below the line  $\mathcal{L}$ .

Finally, in view of  $\|\mathbf{v}_S\|_1 \leq \sqrt{s}\|\mathbf{v}_S\|_2 = \sqrt{s}a$ , we conclude that

$$\|\mathbf{v}_S\|_1 \leq \frac{1 + \sqrt{c(p-1)}}{p} \frac{\delta}{1-\delta} \|\mathbf{v}_S\|_1.$$

Thus, the null space property (4) is satisfied as soon as

$$(1 + \sqrt{c(p-1)}) \delta < p(1-\delta), \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \delta < \frac{p}{p+1 + \sqrt{c(p-1)}}.$$

Specifying  $p = 3$  and  $p = 4$  yields Conditions 2) and 3), respectively.

As for Case 1), corresponding to  $s = 1$ , we simply write, with  $\delta := \delta_2$ ,

$$\|\mathbf{v}_{\{1\}}\|_2^2 \leq \frac{1}{1-\delta} \|A\mathbf{v}_{\{1\}}\|_2^2 = \frac{1}{1-\delta} \langle A\mathbf{v}_{\{1\}}, \sum_{k \geq 2} A(-\mathbf{v}_{\{k\}}) \rangle \leq \frac{\delta}{1-\delta} \|\mathbf{v}_{\{1\}}\|_2 \sum_{k \geq 2} \|\mathbf{v}_{\{k\}}\|_2,$$

so that

$$\|\mathbf{v}_{\{1\}}\|_1 = \|\mathbf{v}_{\{1\}}\|_2 \leq \frac{\delta}{1-\delta} \|\mathbf{v}_{\overline{\{1\}}}\|_1,$$

and the null space property (4) is satisfied as soon as  $\delta/(1-\delta) < 1$ , i.e.  $\delta < 1/2$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Proposition 3.* As in the previous proof, we only need to establish that  $\|\mathbf{v}_S\|_1 < \|\mathbf{v}_{\overline{S}}\|_1$  for a nonzero vector  $\mathbf{v} \in \ker A$  sorted with  $|v_1| \geq \dots \geq |v_N|$  and for  $S = \{1, \dots, s\}$ . We partition  $\overline{S} = \{s+1, \dots, N\}$  as  $\overline{S} = S' \cup T_1 \cup T_2 \cup \dots$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} S' &:= \{s+1, \dots, s+s'\} && \text{is of size } s', \\ T_1 &:= \{s+s'+1, \dots, s+s'+t\}, \quad T_2 := \{s+s'+t+1, \dots, s+s'+2t\}, \dots && \text{are of size } t. \end{aligned}$$

For an integer  $r \leq s+s'$ , we consider the  $r$ -sparse vectors  $\mathbf{w}_1 := \mathbf{v}_{\{1, \dots, r\}}$ ,  $\mathbf{w}_2 := \mathbf{v}_{\{2, \dots, r+1\}}$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $\mathbf{w}_{s+s'-r+1} := \mathbf{v}_{\{s+s'-r+1, \dots, s+s'\}}$ ,  $\mathbf{w}_{s+s'-r+2} := \mathbf{v}_{\{s+s'-r+2, \dots, s+s'+1\}}$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $\mathbf{w}_{s+s'} := \mathbf{v}_{\{s+s', 1, \dots, r-1\}}$ , so that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{s+s'} \mathbf{w}_j = r(\mathbf{v}_S + \mathbf{v}_{S'}) \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=1}^{s+s'} \|\mathbf{w}_j\|_2^2 = r\|\mathbf{v}_S + \mathbf{v}_{S'}\|_2^2.$$

We impose

$$s' \leq s, \quad r+t \leq 2s, \quad t \leq 4s',$$

in order to justify the chain of inequalities, where  $\delta := \delta_{2s}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{v}_S + \mathbf{v}_{S'}\|_2^2 &\leq \frac{1}{1-\delta} \|A(\mathbf{v}_S + \mathbf{v}_{S'})\|_2^2 = \frac{1}{1-\delta} \left\langle A\left(\sum_{j=0}^{s+s'} \mathbf{w}_j/r\right), A\left(-\sum_{k \geq 1} \mathbf{v}_{T_k}\right) \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{1-\delta} \frac{1}{r} \sum_{j=1}^{s+s'} \left\langle A(\mathbf{w}_j), \sum_{k \geq 1} A(-\mathbf{v}_{T_k}) \right\rangle \leq \frac{1}{1-\delta} \frac{1}{r} \sum_{j=1}^{s+s'} \delta \|\mathbf{w}_j\|_2 \sum_{k \geq 1} \|\mathbf{v}_{T_k}\|_2 \\ &\leq \frac{\delta}{1-\delta} \frac{1}{r} \sum_{j=1}^{s+s'} \|\mathbf{w}_j\|_2 \frac{\|\mathbf{v}_{\overline{S}}\|_1}{\sqrt{t}} \leq \frac{\delta}{1-\delta} \frac{1}{r} \sqrt{s+s'} \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{s+s'} \|\mathbf{w}_j\|_2^2} \frac{\|\mathbf{v}_{\overline{S}}\|_1}{\sqrt{t}} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{s+s'}{rt}} \frac{\delta}{1-\delta} \|\mathbf{v}_S + \mathbf{v}_{S'}\|_2 \|\mathbf{v}_{\overline{S}}\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

Simplifying by  $\|\mathbf{v}_S + \mathbf{v}_{S'}\|_2$  and using  $\|\mathbf{v}_S\|_1 \leq \sqrt{s}\|\mathbf{v}_S\|_1 \leq \sqrt{s}\|\mathbf{v}_S + \mathbf{v}_{S'}\|_2$ , we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} (10) \quad \|\mathbf{v}_S\|_1 &\leq \sqrt{\frac{s(s+s')}{rt}} \frac{\delta}{1-\delta} \|\mathbf{v}_{\overline{S}}\|_1 \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{1+\sigma}{\rho\tau}} \frac{\delta}{1-\delta} \|\mathbf{v}_{\overline{S}}\|_1, \quad \text{where } \sigma := \frac{s'}{s}, \rho := \frac{r}{s}, \text{ and } \tau := \frac{t}{s}. \end{aligned}$$



Pretending that the quantities  $\sigma, \rho, \tau$  are continuous variables, we first minimize  $(1 + \sigma)/(\rho\tau)$ , subject to  $\sigma \leq 1$ ,  $\rho + \tau \leq 2$ , and  $\tau \leq 4\sigma$ . The minimum is achieved when  $\rho$  is largest possible, i.e.  $\rho = 2 - \tau$ . Subsequently, the minimum of  $(1 + \sigma)/((2 - \tau)\tau)$ , subject to  $\sigma \leq 1$ ,  $\tau \leq 2$ , and  $\tau \leq 4\sigma$ , is achieved when  $\sigma$  is largest possible, i.e.  $\sigma = \tau/4$ . Finally, one can easily verify that the minimum of  $(1 + \tau/4)/((2 - \tau)\tau)$  subject to  $\tau \leq 2$  is achieved for  $\tau = 2\sqrt{6} - 4$ . This corresponds to  $\sigma = \sqrt{3/2} - 1 \approx 0.2247$ , and suggests the choice  $s' = (\sqrt{3/2} - 1)s$ . The latter does not give an integer value for  $s'$ , so we take  $s' = \lfloor (\sqrt{3/2} - 1)s \rfloor = \tilde{s} - s$ , and in turn  $t = 4s' = 4\tilde{s} - 4s$  and  $r = 2s - t = 6s - 4\tilde{s}$ . Substituting into (10), we obtain

$$\|\mathbf{v}_S\|_1 \leq \sqrt{\frac{s\tilde{s}}{8(\tilde{s} - s)(3s - 2\tilde{s})}} \frac{\delta}{1 - \delta} \|\mathbf{v}_{\tilde{S}}\|_1.$$

Thus, the null space property (4) is satisfied as soon as Condition (3) holds.  $\square$

**Remark.** For simplicity, we only considered exactly sparse vectors measured with infinite precision. Standard arguments in Compressive Sensing would show that the same sufficient conditions guarantee a reconstruction that is stable with respect to sparsity defect and robust with respect to measurement error.

## References

- [1] Cai, T., L. Wang, and G. Xu, Shifting inequality and recovery of sparse signals, *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, to appear.
- [2] Candès, E., The restricted isometry property and its implications for compressed sensing, *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences, Série I*, 346(2008), 589–592.
- [3] Candès, E. and T. Tao, Decoding by linear programming, *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory* 51(2005), 4203–4215.
- [4] Davies, M. and R. Gribonval, Restricted isometry constants where  $\ell_p$  sparse recovery can fail for  $0 < p \leq 1$ , To appear in *IEEE Trans. Inf. Th.*
- [5] Foucart, S. and M. J. Lai, Sparsest solutions of underdetermined linear systems via  $\ell_q$ -minimization for  $0 < q \leq 1$ , *Applied and Comput. Harmonic Analysis*, 26(2009), 395–407.
- [6] Gribonval, R, and M. Nielsen, Sparse representations in unions of bases, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 49(2003), 3320–3325.