

# List of Errata for the Book

## *A Mathematical Introduction to Compressive Sensing*

---

Simon Foucart and Holger Rauhut

---

This list was last updated on September 27, 2021. If you see further errors, please send us an e-mail at [foucart@tamu.edu](mailto:foucart@tamu.edu) and [rauhut@mathc.rwth-aachen.de](mailto:rauhut@mathc.rwth-aachen.de).

### Chapter 2

- Page 45, Remark 2.8 is incorrect, hence Exercise 2.2 should be discarded. Indeed, the result of Proposition 2.7 holds with the sharper constant  $k^{1/p}$ . Here is the justification:

*Proof.* Let  $t > 0$ . For each  $i \in [k]$ , we consider  $t_i := \|\mathbf{x}^i\|_{p,\infty}/c$  for some  $c$  chosen so that  $t_1 + \dots + t_k = t$ , i.e.,  $c = (\|\mathbf{x}^1\|_{p,\infty} + \dots + \|\mathbf{x}^k\|_{p,\infty})/t$ . If  $|x_j^1 + \dots + x_j^k| \geq t$  for some  $j \in [N]$ , then we have  $|x_j^i| \geq t_i$  for some  $i \in [k]$ . This means that

$$\{j \in [N] : |x_j^1 + \dots + x_j^k| \geq t\} \subset \bigcup_{i \in [k]} \{j \in [N] : |x_j^i| \geq t_i\}.$$

We derive

$$\text{card}\{j \in [N] : |x_j^1 + \dots + x_j^k| \geq t\} \leq \sum_{i \in [k]} \frac{\|\mathbf{x}^i\|_{p,\infty}^p}{t_i^p} = kc^p.$$

According to the definition of the weak  $\ell_p$ -quasinorm of  $\mathbf{x}^1 + \dots + \mathbf{x}^k$ , we obtain

$$\|\mathbf{x}^1 + \dots + \mathbf{x}^k\|_{p,\infty} \leq k^{1/p}c = k^{1/p}(\|\mathbf{x}^1\|_{p,\infty} + \dots + \|\mathbf{x}^k\|_{p,\infty}).$$

This is the required result. □

- Page 48, Lines 26 and 31: replace ‘ $s$ -sparse  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^N$ ’ by ‘ $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^N$  with  $\|\mathbf{x}\|_0 = s$ ’, otherwise the implication (b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) may not be true
- Page 51, Theorem 2.15: the statement concerns  $s$ -sparse vectors, not  $2s$ -sparse vectors
- Page 51, Line 22: ‘ $\hat{p} * \hat{x} = \widehat{p \cdot x} = 0$ ’ should read ‘ $\hat{p} * \hat{x} = N \widehat{p \cdot x} = 0$ ’
- Page 52, Line 11: ‘so that the trigonometric polynomial  $q$  vanishes on  $S$ ’: this statement is only valid if the support of  $x$  is exactly  $S$ ; to repair the argument, take  $\hat{q}(1), \dots, \hat{q}(s)$  as a solution of the linear system with a maximum number of consecutive zero values for  $\hat{q}(s), \hat{q}(s-1), \dots$  (this is done by solving a sequence of linear systems), then replace  $s$  by  $\|x\|_0$  and  $S$  by  $\text{supp}(x)$  in Lines 9-12

## Chapter 3

- Page 66, Lines 28-29: a vector  $\mathbf{u}$  appears twice — it should be replaced by  $\mathbf{v}$
- Page 74, Exercise 3.4: the condition about the invertibility of the submatrices is not necessary
- Page 74, Exercise 3.8: one may assume that the matrix  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times N}$  is of full rank  $m < N$
- Page 74, Exercise 3.9: ‘cannot be recovered via the orthogonal matching pursuit algorithm’ should really read ‘cannot be recovered in one iteration of the orthogonal matching pursuit algorithm’
- Page 75, Exercise 3.10: a complex conjugation is missing on line 8, which should read

$$\Delta_n = \|\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}^{n+1} - \mathbf{x}^n)\|_2^2 = \overline{x_{j^{n+1}}^{n+1}} (\mathbf{A}^*(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}^n))_{j^{n+1}}$$

## Chapter 4

- Page 109, Exercise 4.20(b): one should read ‘ $\mathbf{M} \in \mathbb{C}^{n_1 \times n_2}$ ’ instead of ‘ $\mathbf{M} \in \ker \mathcal{A} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ ’; the occurrences ‘ $\|\mathbf{e}\|_2$ ’ and ‘ $\|\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{Z}) - \mathbf{y}\|_2$ ’ of an  $\ell_2$ -norm should be replaced by ‘ $\|\mathbf{e}\|$ ’ and ‘ $\|\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{Z}) - \mathbf{y}\|$ ’ with a general norm; and ‘quadratically constrained’ should be rephrased as ‘inequality-constrained’

## Chapter 5

- Page 113, Definition 5.5: read ‘ $0 \leq c < 1$ ’ instead of just ‘ $c \geq 0$ ’ (to exclude the case of a repeated vector)
- Page 120, Theorem 5.12: ‘For  $m \geq 3$ ’ should read ‘For  $m > 3$ ’ (indeed, when  $m = 3$ , equiangular systems of  $N = m(m+1)/2$  vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^m$  exist — see Exercise 5.5 — yet  $m+2$  is not the square of an odd integer); in the proof of the theorem, one should also verify that  $\Sigma_1$  and  $\Sigma_2$  are nonzero, but if they were, then  $\Sigma_1 - \sqrt{m+1}\Sigma_2 = 0$  would mean that  $\sqrt{m+2} = 1/c$  is the other eigenvalue of  $\mathbf{B}$ , namely  $(N/m - 1)/c = ((m+1)/2 - 1)/c$ , which is impossible when  $m > 3$

## Chapter 6

- Page 134, Line 7: ‘the interval  $[1 - \delta_s, 1 + \delta_s]$ ’ should read ‘the interval  $[\sqrt{1 - \delta_s}, \sqrt{1 + \delta_s}]$ ’
- Page 134, Line 14: ‘relative  $\ell_2(\mathbb{R})$ ’ should read ‘relative to  $\ell_2(\mathbb{R})$ ’

- Pages 139-140, Proof of Theorem 6.8: more care is required to deal with the fact that the last block  $\mathbf{A}_n$  may have less than  $t$  columns — one should establish  $\text{tr}(\mathbf{H}) \geq N(1 - \delta_s)$  instead of (6.10) and  $\text{tr}(\mathbf{H})^2 \leq mN((n-1)\delta_s^2 + (1 + \delta_s)^2)$  instead of (6.11), while the rest of the argument remains unchanged
- Page 142, Line 15: replace ‘Corollary 4.5’ by ‘Theorem 4.5’
- Page 146: in the last line of (6.23),  $\|\mathbf{v}_{S_0}\|$  should read  $\|\mathbf{v}_{S_0}\|_2$
- Page 158-159: In Proposition 6.24, Theorem 6.25, and Lemma 6.26, the assumption that  $\mathbf{A}$  has  $\ell_2$ -normalized columns should be added, as the argument is based on Lemma 3.3, which requires this assumption
- Page 161, Lines 15 and 16:  $\delta_{s+n}$  should be  $\delta_{s+s^0+n}$  — this implies that  $\delta_{s+K}$  found in Lines 19, 21, 24, as well as on Page 163, Lines 4 and 5, should be  $\delta_{s+s^0+K}$ , but there is no repercussion on the final result because  $\alpha/\gamma < 1$  still holds
- Page 162, Lines 14 and 16: instead of  $1 - \nu$ , read  $1 - 1/\nu$
- Page 171, Exercise 6.7: replace ‘the unit ball in  $\ell_p$ ’ by ‘the unit ball in  $\ell_p^N$ ’
- Page 173, Exercise 6.19: establish the result under the condition  $\delta_{3s} < 1/2$ , not  $\delta_{3s} < 1/3$
- Page 173, Exercise 6.21: assume that all vectors and matrices are real-valued rather than complex-valued throughout the exercise

## Chapter 7

- Page 190, Line 11: the extra parenthesis after  $(-B_\ell)$  should be removed, so that it reads

$$\exp(\theta X_\ell) = f(X_\ell) = f(t(-B_\ell) + (1-t)B_\ell) \leq \dots$$

- Page 191, Line 15: it should read ‘from Hoeffding’s inequality (Theorem 7.20)’
- Page 199, Line 1: ‘Bernstein’s inequality’ instead of ‘Bernstein inequality’
- Page 199, Exercise 7.3: the exponent 2 on the right-hand side of the desired inequality has to be replaced by  $p/(p-1)$ , so that it reads

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\sum_{\ell=1}^M a_\ell X_\ell\right| > t\|\mathbf{a}\|_2\right) \geq c_p \frac{(\sigma^2 - t^2)^{p/(p-1)}}{\mu^{2p/(p-1)}}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq \sigma.$$

- Page 199, Exercise 7.6: the inequality to be proved is in fact

$$\mathbb{E} \exp\left(\frac{tX^2}{2c}\right) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-2t}},$$

which is valid for any (not necessarily nonnegative)  $t \leq 1/2$

## Chapter 8

- Page 219, Line 10: replace ‘positive semidefinite’ by ‘positive definite’, so that it reads ‘... is concave on the set of positive definite matrices.’

## Chapter 9

- Page 289, Line 1: an expectation  $\mathbb{E}$  is missing; the left-hand side of the inequality should read  $\mathbb{E} \min_{\mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x})} \|\mathbf{g} - \mathbf{z}\|_2^2$
- Page 306, Fig. 9.2: the caption should include ‘Image courtesy of Jared Tanner’ instead of ‘Image Courtesy by Jared Tanner’
- Page 306, Exercise 9.2: the inequality inside the probability should be strict, otherwise (9.61) is wrong for  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$
- Page 307, Exercise 9.6: a renormalization is missing — it is indeed the matrix  $\frac{\sqrt{\pi/2}}{m} \mathbf{A}$  that satisfies the stated modified restricted isometry property.

## Chapter 10

- Page 312, Line 8: there is a deplorable break at the end of this line — ‘ $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} d^m(K, X) = 0$ ’ should appear as one block
- Page 313, Line 25: ‘= 0’ is missing after  $\lambda_{2;0}(\mathbf{v})$ , so that one should read  $\lambda_{2;\lambda_1(\mathbf{v})} = \lambda_{2;0}(\mathbf{v}) = 0$
- Page 314, Line 23: ‘quasi-triangle’ should be ‘quasi-triangle inequality’
- Page 321, Line 11: ‘1-separating’ should be ‘1-separated’

## Chapter 12

- Page 432, Exercise 12.9: the lone  $L$  should be an  $M$  here

## Chapter 13

- Page 439, Lemma 13.4: replace lines 2 and 3 by  
For each  $i \in R(S)$ , let  $\ell(i) \in S$  denote a fixed left vertex connected to  $i$ . Then the set

$$E'(S) := \{\overline{ji} \in E(S) : j \neq \ell(i)\} = E(S) \setminus \{\overline{\ell(i)i}, i \in R(S)\}$$

- Page 442, Line 3: ‘ $j = \text{card}(R(J))$ ’ should read ‘ $j = \text{card}(J)$ ’
- Page 453, Line 1: replace  $m$  by  $m'$  in ‘given  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{C}^m, \dots$ ’
- Page 453, Line 5: it should be emphasized that the stated condition may not be met if the bipartite graph fails to be a lossless expander, so the algorithm is not well defined in this case
- Page 454, Lines 2, 3, 4, 6:  $B_{k,j}, B_{k,j^*}, B_{\ell+1,j^*}$  should instead be  $B'_{k,j}, B'_{k,j^*}, B'_{\ell+1,j^*}$
- Page 454, Line 7: the two sums should start at  $k = 1$  and not at  $k = 0$

## Appendix A

- Page 525, Line 16: there is one ‘ $\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}_1\|_2$ ’ too many in this displayed equation

## Appendix B

- Page 544, Theorem B.4: ‘interiors’ should read ‘relative interiors’
- Page 545, Remark B.5: instead of  $K_1, K_2$  intersecting in only one point, the second application of Theorem B.4 requires that  $K_1, K_2$  intersect in only one point not in the relative interior of  $K_1$ , i.e.,  $K_1 \cap K_2 = \{\mathbf{x}_0\}$  with  $\mathbf{x}_0 \notin \text{ri}(K_1)$
- Page 547, Definition B.13: ‘ $(\mathbf{x}_j)_{j \geq 1} \subset \mathbb{R}$ ’ should read ‘ $(\mathbf{x}_j)_{j \geq 1} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ ’

## References

- Page 614, Reference 503: ‘Wakinm’ should be ‘Wakin’

## Back cover

- Line 12: ‘build’ should be ‘built’