

Last line: Spectrum:  $\sigma(L) = \rho(L)$ ;  $\sigma = \sigma_a + \sigma_r + \sigma_j$   
 $\rho(L) = \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : (L - \lambda I)^{-1} \in B(H) \}$   
 Bounded ops. in  $\mathcal{H}$ .

Today: Operator-valued analytic functs.

1. Operator-val. analytic funct.

Def<sup>n</sup>: Let  $\Omega \in \mathbb{C}$ , where  $\Omega$  is open in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then,  
 we say that  $L: \Omega \rightarrow B(H)$  is analytic at  $z \in \Omega$   
 iff

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{L(z+h) - L(z)}{h} = \frac{dL}{dz}$$

exists at every point point in  $\Omega$ . Note: the limit above is in the operator norm:

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left\| \frac{L(z+h) - L(z)}{h} - \frac{dL}{dz} \right\| = 0.$$

Proposition.  $L(z)$  is analytic in  $\Omega$  iff  $\forall f \in \mathcal{H}$

$$F(z) = \langle L(z)f, f \rangle$$

is a scalar-valued m.-f. of  $z$  s.t.  $F$  is analytic on  $\Omega$ .

Proof: ~~See~~ See T. Kato, p. 139.

Theorem: The resolvent set  $\rho(L)$  is open and the resolvent operator

$$R_\lambda(L) = (L - \lambda I)^{-1}$$

is analytic in  $\rho(L)$ .

Proof Suppose  $\lambda_0 \in \rho(L)$ . Consider

$$(*) \quad L - \lambda I = L - \lambda_0 I + (\lambda - \lambda_0)I.$$

First of all, all of these operators map  $D(L)$  into  $\mathcal{H}$ . Consequently, since  $(L - \lambda_0 I)^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ ,  $(L - \lambda_0 I)^{-1} = R_{\lambda_0} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . Then,  $(L - \lambda_0 I)^{-1}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow D(L)$ ,  $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow D(L)$ .

Next,  $R_{\lambda_0}(L - \lambda I)$  maps  $D(L)$  to  $D(L)$ ,

We thus have from (\*)

$$(**) \quad R_{\lambda_0}(L - \lambda I) = I - (\lambda - \lambda_0)R_{\lambda_0}$$

Suppose  $|\lambda - \lambda_0| < \frac{1}{\|R_{\lambda_0}\|}$ . Then we have

$$(I - (\lambda - \lambda_0)R_{\lambda_0})^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\lambda - \lambda_0)^k R_{\lambda_0}^k,$$

which follows from the Neumann series for  $|\lambda - \lambda_0| < \frac{1}{\|R_{\lambda_0}\|}$ . Note that, because

$(I - (\lambda - \lambda_0) R_{\lambda_0})^{-1}$  is a <sup>power</sup> series in  $\lambda$ , it is analytic in  $\lambda$ ,  $|\lambda - \lambda_0| < \frac{1}{\|R_{\lambda_0}\|}$ . Thus

$$(I - (\lambda - \lambda_0) R_{\lambda_0})^{-1} R_{\lambda_0} (L - \lambda I) = I,$$

which at this point only holds for  $\lambda \in D(A)$ .

By look at (\*) & multiplying on the right, ~~with~~ by  $R_{\lambda}$ , we have

$$(L - \lambda I) R_{\lambda} = (I - (\lambda - \lambda_0) R_{\lambda_0})^{-1} R_{\lambda_0} (L - \lambda I) R_{\lambda}$$

$$\Rightarrow (L - \lambda I) R_{\lambda} (I - (\lambda - \lambda_0) R_{\lambda_0})^{-1} = I,$$

which holds for all  $\lambda \in \mathcal{H}$ , ~~From this.~~

From there, we obtain

$$R_{\lambda} = (L - \lambda I)^{-1} = R_{\lambda_0} (I - (\lambda - \lambda_0) R_{\lambda_0})^{-1},$$

which holds for all  $|\lambda - \lambda_0| < \frac{1}{\|R_{\lambda_0}\|}$ . It follows that  ~~$R_{\lambda}$  is analytic on~~ that  $R_{\lambda}$  is analytic in  $|\lambda - \lambda_0| < \frac{1}{\|R_{\lambda_0}\|}$ . It also follows that if  $|\lambda - \lambda_0| < \frac{1}{\|R_{\lambda_0}\|}$ ,  $\lambda \in \rho(L)$ .

Cor.  $\sigma(L) = \rho(L)^c$  is closed.

~~Statement.~~ The complement of an open set is closed.

2. The first resolvent identity.

Prop. let  $\lambda, \lambda' \in \rho(L)$ , then

$$R_\lambda - R_{\lambda'} = (\lambda - \lambda') R_\lambda R_{\lambda'}$$

Proof:  $(L - \lambda I)(R_\lambda - R_{\lambda'}) = I - (L - \lambda I)R_{\lambda'}$

$$= I - \cancel{(L - \lambda' I)R_{\lambda'}} \\ (L - \lambda' I + (\lambda' - \lambda)I)R_{\lambda'}$$

$$= I - (L - \lambda' I)R_{\lambda'} - (\lambda' - \lambda)R_{\lambda'}$$

$$= I - I + (\lambda - \lambda')R_{\lambda'}$$

$$(L - \lambda I)(R_\lambda - R_{\lambda'}) = (\lambda - \lambda')R_{\lambda'}$$

$$\Rightarrow \underbrace{R_\lambda (L - \lambda I)}_I (R_\lambda - R_{\lambda'}) = (\lambda - \lambda')R_\lambda R_{\lambda'}$$

$$\Rightarrow R_\lambda - R_{\lambda'} = (\lambda - \lambda')R_\lambda R_{\lambda'}$$

Cor.  $R_\lambda R_{\lambda'} = R_{\lambda'} R_\lambda$   $\leftarrow \Rightarrow$   ~~$R_{\lambda'} R_\lambda = R_\lambda R_{\lambda'}$~~

Proof: ~~Exchange~~  $\lambda' \leftrightarrow \lambda \Rightarrow R_{\lambda'} - R_\lambda = (\lambda' - \lambda)R_{\lambda'}R_\lambda$

$$\Rightarrow R_\lambda - R_{\lambda'} = \underbrace{(-1)(\lambda' - \lambda)}_{\lambda - \lambda'} R_{\lambda'} R_\lambda = (\lambda - \lambda')R_{\lambda'} R_\lambda = (\lambda - \lambda')R_\lambda R_{\lambda'}$$