

Markov process representation of semigroups whose generators include negative rates

Florian Völlering

University of Leipzig

June 7th 2021

Definition

Duality between Markov processes with duality function H :

$$\mathbb{E}_x H(X_t, y) = \mathbb{E}_y H(x, Y_t) \quad (1)$$

Duality of Markov semi-groups:

$$[S_t H(\cdot, y)](x) = [S_t^{dual} H(x, \cdot)](y) \quad (2)$$

Duality of Markov generators:

$$[LH(\cdot, y)](x) = [L^{dual} H(x, \cdot)](y) \quad (3)$$

Algebraic methods for obtaining duality do not guarantee that L^{dual} is a Markov generator. If it is not, then

$$\mathbb{E}_x H(X_t, y) = [S_t^{dual} H(x, \cdot)](y)$$

still holds (with $S_t^{dual} = e^{tL^{dual}}$), but the stochastic representation of S_t^{dual} is lost.

Notation

- E countable state space;
- $A = (r(x, y))_{x, y \in E}$ matrix on E .

A is a Markov generator if

- $r(x, y) \geq 0$ for all $x, y \in E$, $x \neq y$ (jump rates from x to y are non-negative);
- $r(x, x) = -\sum_{y \neq x} r(x, y)$ (exit rate from x matches entry rate from x to all possible y);
- $\|A\|_\infty = \sup_x \sum_y |r(x, y)| < \infty$ (for convenience, prevents explosion)

Basic linear algebra

$S_t := e^{tA}$ is well-defined if (c) is satisfied, (a) and (b) are only needed for the stochastic interpretation of the semi-group.

Potential term and Feynman-Kac formula

Problem 1

(b) is violated, $r(x, x) \neq -\sum_{y \neq x} r(x, y)$ for some x .

Solution: split off a potential term V

Write $A = \hat{A} + V$, with matrix $\hat{A} = (\hat{r}(x, y))$ and potential $V = (V(x))$ given by

- $\hat{r}(x, y) = r(x, y)$, $x \neq y$;
- $\hat{r}(x, x) = -\sum_{y \neq x} \hat{r}(x, y)$;
- $V(x) = r(x, x) - \hat{r}(x, x)$.

$\Rightarrow \hat{A}$ is a Markov generator

Feynman-Kac formula for the semi-group

- (\hat{X}_t) is the Markov process generated by \hat{A} ;
- $S_t f(x) = \mathbb{E}_x \left(f(\hat{X}_t) e^{\int_0^t V(\hat{X}_s) ds} \right)$.

\Rightarrow stochastic representation of semi-group S_t

Problem 2

(a) is violated, $r(x, y) < 0$ for some $x \neq y$. (But (b) is satisfied.)

We cannot simply split off a term

- $r_+(x, y) = \max(r(x, y), 0)$, $x \neq y$
- $r_-(x, y) = \max(-r(x, y), 0)$, $x \neq y$

$$\begin{aligned} Af(x) &= \sum_y r(x, y)[f(y) - f(x)] \\ &= \sum_y r_+(x, y)[f(y) - f(x)] - \sum_y r_-(x, y)[f(y) - f(x)] \end{aligned}$$

Problem 2

(a) is violated, $r(x, y) < 0$ for some $x \neq y$. (But (b) is satisfied.)

We cannot simply split off a term

- $r_+(x, y) = \max(r(x, y), 0)$, $x \neq y$
- $r_-(x, y) = \max(-r(x, y), 0)$, $x \neq y$

$$\begin{aligned} Af(x) &= \sum_y r(x, y)[f(y) - f(x)] \\ &= \sum_y r_+(x, y)[f(y) - f(x)] - \sum_y r_-(x, y)[f(y) - f(x)] \\ &= \sum_y r_+(x, y)[f(y) - f(x)] + \sum_y r_-(x, y)[(-f)(y) - (-f)(x)] \end{aligned}$$

⇒ We would like to have a way for f to change sign

A signed particle

Extended state space

- $\widehat{E} := E \times \{-1, +1\}$; (position and sign)
- $\widehat{f}(x, u) := u \cdot f(x)$ (extend f by multiplying by the sign)

Regular jumps

Define a Markov generator $\widehat{A}_+ = (\widehat{r}_+((x, u), (y, v)))$:

- $\widehat{r}_+((x, u), (y, v)) = r_+(x, y)\delta_u(v)$;
- $\widehat{A}_+\widehat{f}(x, u) = \sum_y r_+(x, y)[\widehat{f}(y, u) - \widehat{f}(x, u)]$

\Rightarrow jumps according to the positive rates r_+ , irrespective of the sign.

Sign-changing jumps

Define a Markov generator $\widehat{A}_- = (\widehat{r}_-((x, u), (y, v)))$:

- $\widehat{r}_-((x, u), (y, v)) = r_-(x, y)\delta_u(-v)$;
- $\widehat{A}_-\widehat{f}(x, u) = \sum_{y \neq x} r_-(x, y)[\widehat{f}(y, -u) - \widehat{f}(x, u)]$

\Rightarrow r_- -jumps change the position like r_+ -jumps, but include a sign flip.

A signed particle

The signed particle

- $\hat{A} = \hat{A}_+ + \hat{A}_-$ is the Markovian generator;
- (\hat{X}_t, \hat{U}_t) is the Markov process generated by \hat{A} .

Comparison with the original generator A

$$\begin{aligned} Af(x) &= \sum_y r_+(x, y)[f(y) - f(x)] + \sum_y r_-(x, y)[(-f)(y) - (-f)(x)] \\ &= \underbrace{\hat{A}_+ \hat{f}(x, +1) + \hat{A}_- \hat{f}(x, +1)}_{= \hat{A} \hat{f}(x, +1)} + \underbrace{\sum_{y \neq x} (r_-(x, y) + r_-(x, y)) \hat{f}(x, +1)}_{=: \hat{V}(x, +1) \hat{f}(x, +1)} \end{aligned}$$

Compensating potential

- $\hat{V}(x, u) := \sum_{y \neq x} 2r_-(x, y)$ is needed.

Theorem 1 (V. 2020)

Assume

- $A = (r(x, y))$ a generator which may have negative off-diagonal entries;
- $r(x, x) = -\sum_{y \neq x} r(x, y)$;
- $S_t = e^{tA}$ semi-group generated by A .

Then the semi-group has the stochastic representation

$$S_t f(x) = \mathbb{E}_{x,+1} \left(\widehat{U}_t f(\widehat{X}_t) e^{\int_0^t \widehat{V}(\widehat{X}_s) ds} \right),$$

where

- $(\widehat{X}_t, \widehat{U}_t)$ is the signed particle started in $(x, +1)$;
- $\widehat{V}(x) = \widehat{V}(x, \pm 1) = \sum_{y \neq x} 2r_-(x, y)$ is the compensating potential.

- $\mathbb{E}_{x,+1} \left(\widehat{U}_t f(\widehat{X}_t) e^{\int_0^t \widehat{V}(\widehat{X}_s) ds} \right)$ is the Feynman-Kac formulation of the solution of

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \phi_t}{\partial t}(x, u) = \left(\widehat{A} + \widehat{V}(x, u) \right) \phi_t(x, u), \\ \phi_0 = \widehat{f}. \end{cases}$$

- By the compensation formula, for any $\widehat{g}(x, u) = u \cdot g(x)$,

$$u \cdot Ag(x) = \left(\widehat{A} + \widehat{V}(x, u) \right) \widehat{g}(x, u).$$

- $\tilde{\phi}_t(x, u) := u \cdot S_t f(x)$ also satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}_t}{\partial t}(x, u) = u \cdot AS_t f(x) = \left(\widehat{A} + \widehat{V}(x, u) \right) \tilde{\phi}_t(x, u), \\ \tilde{\phi}_0 = \widehat{f}. \end{cases}$$

\Rightarrow the solutions are equal.

Theorem 2

Assume

- $A = (r(x, y))$ is a matrix with $\|A\|_\infty < \infty$;
- $S_t = e^{tA}$ semi-group generated by A .

Then the semi-group has the stochastic representation

$$S_t f(x) = \mathbb{E}_{x,+1} \left(\widehat{U}_t f(\widehat{X}_t) e^{\int_0^t \widehat{V}(\widehat{X}_s) ds} \right),$$

where

- $(\widehat{X}_t, \widehat{U}_t)$ is the signed particle started in $(x, +1)$ as before;
- $\widehat{V}(x) = \widehat{V}(x, \pm 1) = \sum_{y \neq x} |r(x, y)| - r(x, x)$ is the potential.

Example: Double Laplacian

Laplacian and Double Laplacian on \mathbb{Z}

$$\Delta f(x) = \frac{1}{2}(f(x+1) - f(x)) + \frac{1}{2}(f(x-1) - f(x))$$
$$\Delta\Delta f(x) = \frac{1}{4}(f(x+2) - f(x)) + \frac{1}{4}(f(x-2) - f(x))$$
$$- (f(x+1) - f(x)) - (f(x-1) - f(x)),$$

The signed particle

- $(x, u) \rightarrow (x \pm 2, u)$ at rate $\frac{1}{4}$;
- $(x, u) \rightarrow (x \pm 1, -u)$ at rate 1.

Number of sign flips

- $\sum_y r_-(x, y) = 2$ (uniform rate of flipping sign);
- number N_t of sign changes is $\text{Poisson}(2t)$;
- N_t is even iff $\widehat{X}_t - \widehat{X}_0$ is even.

Stochastic representation of the double Laplacian semi-group

The different parts of the representation

- $e^{\int_0^t \widehat{V}(\widehat{X}_s) ds} = e^{4t}$;
- $\mathbb{P}(\widehat{X}_t - \widehat{X}_0 \text{ is even}) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + e^{-4t})$;

The general case

$$\begin{aligned} S_t f(x) &= e^{4t} \mathbb{E}_{x,+1} \left(\widehat{U}_t f(\widehat{X}_t) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (e^{4t} + 1) \mathbb{E}_x [f(\widehat{X}_t) | \widehat{X}_t - x \text{ even}] \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} (e^{4t} - 1) \mathbb{E}_x [f(\widehat{X}_t) | \widehat{X}_t - x \text{ odd}] \end{aligned}$$

If f is restricted to even sites

$$S_t f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} (e^{4t} + 1) \mathbb{E}_x [f(\widehat{X}_t) | \widehat{X}_t \text{ even}], & x \text{ even;} \\ -\frac{1}{2} (e^{4t} - 1) \mathbb{E}_x [f(\widehat{X}_t) | \widehat{X}_t \text{ odd}], & x \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

Example: all rates negative

The setting

- $r(x, y) \leq 0$ for all $x \neq y$;
- $\sum_{y \neq x} r_-(x, y) = \lambda_1$ for all x ;
- $r(x, x) = \lambda_2$ for all x .

First observations

- $\widehat{V}(x, u) = 2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \Rightarrow e^{\int_0^t \widehat{V}(\widehat{X}_s) ds} = e^{(2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)t}$;
- $\widehat{U}_t = (-1)^{N_t}$ with N_t the number of jumps;
- $\mathbb{P}(N_t \text{ is even}) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + e^{-2\lambda_1 t})$.

Stochastic representation of the semi-group

$$e^{At} f(x) = e^{(2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)t} \mathbb{E}_{x,+1} \left[f(\widehat{X}_t) \mid N_t \text{ even} \right] \frac{1}{2} (1 + e^{-2\lambda_1 t}) \\ - e^{(2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)t} \mathbb{E}_{x,+1} \left[f(\widehat{X}_t) \mid N_t \text{ odd} \right] \frac{1}{2} (1 - e^{-2\lambda_1 t})$$

Example: all rates negative

Stochastic representation of the semi-group

$$e^{At}f(x) = e^{(2\lambda_1+\lambda_2)t}\mathbb{E}_{x,+1}\left[f(\widehat{X}_t) \mid N_t \text{ even}\right] \frac{1}{2}(1 + e^{-2\lambda_1 t}) \\ - e^{(2\lambda_1+\lambda_2)t}\mathbb{E}_{x,+1}\left[f(\widehat{X}_t) \mid N_t \text{ odd}\right] \frac{1}{2}(1 - e^{-2\lambda_1 t})$$

Assume \widehat{X}_t has a stationary distribution μ

- $\mathbb{E}_{x,+1}\left[f(\widehat{X}_t) \mid N_t \text{ even}\right] = \mu(f) + b_t^e(x)$;
- $\mathbb{E}_{x,+1}\left[f(\widehat{X}_t) \mid N_t \text{ odd}\right] = \mu(f) + b_t^o(x)$;
- $b_t^e(x), b_t^o(x) \in O(e^{-\alpha t})$ for some $\alpha \in [0, \lambda_1]$.

The growth rate of the semi-group

$$e^{At}f(x) = e^{(2\lambda_1+\lambda_2)t} \frac{b_t^e(x) - b_t^o(x)}{2} + e^{\lambda_2 t} \left(\mu(f) + \frac{b_t^e(x) + b_t^o(x)}{2} \right). \\ \Rightarrow \log(e^{At}f(x)) \in O(2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 - \alpha).$$

Branching annihilating particles and anti-particles

Setting

- $E^\uparrow = \mathbb{N}_0^E \times \mathbb{N}_0^E$;
- $(\eta^+, \eta^-) \in E^\uparrow$ configuration of particles and anti-particles;
- $A = (r(x, y))$ matrix on E satisfying (b) and (c).

Independent movement

- particles and anti-particles jump independently according to rates r_+ ;
- $x \rightarrow y$ at rate $r_+(x, y)$.

Branching and annihilation

- $x \rightarrow 2x + (-y)$ at rate $r_-(x, y)$;
- $x + (-x) \rightarrow \emptyset$ at rate $\lambda \in [0, \infty]$.

Another stochastic representation

Theorem 3

Assume

- $A = (r(x, y))$ a generator which may have negative off-diagonal entries;
- $r(x, x) = -\sum_{y \neq x} r(x, y)$;
- $S_t = e^{tA}$ semi-group generated by A .

Then the semi-group has the stochastic representation

$$S_t f(x) = \mathbb{E}_{\delta_x, 0} f^\uparrow(\eta_t),$$

where

- $\eta_t = (\eta_t^+, \eta_t^-)$ is the configuration of particles and anti-particles at time t ;
- $f^\uparrow((\eta^+, \eta^-)) = \sum_x (\eta^+(x) - \eta^-(x)) f(x)$.

The signed particle representation

Assume $LH(\cdot, y)(x) = L^{dual} H(x, \cdot)(y)$, but L^{dual} is just an arbitrary matrix. Then, with $(\hat{X}_t^{dual}, \hat{U}_t^{dual})$ the signed particle generated by L^{dual} ,

$$\mathbb{E}_x H(X_t, y) = \mathbb{E}_{y,+1}^{dual} \left(\hat{U}_t^{dual} H(x, \hat{X}_t^{dual}) e^{\int_0^t \hat{V}(\hat{X}_s^{dual}) ds} \right).$$

The branching particle/anti-particle representation

Assume $LH(\cdot, y)(x) = L^{dual} H(x, \cdot)(y)$, but L^{dual} includes negative rates. Then, with (η_t) the system of particles and anti-particles and $H^\uparrow(x, \eta) = \sum_y (\eta^+(y) - \eta^-(y)) H(x, y)$,

$$\mathbb{E}_x H^\uparrow(X_t, \eta) = \mathbb{E}_\eta^{dual} H^\uparrow(x, \eta_t).$$