

Chapter 4

Convexity and Smoothness

4.1 Strict Convexity, Smoothness, and Gateaux Differentiability

Definition 4.1.1. Let X be a Banach space with a norm denoted by $\|\cdot\|$. A map

$$f : X \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow X^* \setminus \{0\}, \quad f \mapsto f_x$$

is called a *support mapping* whenever.

- a) $f(\lambda x) = \lambda f_x$, for $\lambda > 0$ and
- b) If $x \in S_X$, then $\|f_x\| = 1$ and $f_x(x) = 1$ (and thus $f_x(x) = \|x\|^2$ for all $x \in X$).

Often we only define f_x for $x \in S_X$ and then assume that $f_x = \|x\|f_{x/\|x\|}$, for all $x \in X \setminus \{0\}$.

For $x \in X$ a *support functional of x* is an element $x^* \in X^*$, with $\|x^*\| = \|x\|$ and $\langle x^*, x \rangle = \|x\|^2$. Thus a support map is a map $f_{(\cdot)} : X \rightarrow X^*$, which assigns to each $x \in X$ a support functional of x .

We say that X is *smooth at $x_0 \in S_X$* if there exists a unique $f_x \in S_{X^*}$, for which $f_x(x) = 1$, and we say that X is *smooth* if it is smooth at each point of S_X .

The Banach space X is said to have *Gateaux differentiable norm at $x_0 \in S_X$* , if for all $y \in S_X$

$$\rho'(x_0, y) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|x_0 + hy\| - \|x_0\|}{h}$$

exists, and we say that $\|\cdot\|$ is *Gateaux differentiable* if it is Gateaux differentiable norm at each $x_0 \in S_X$.

Example 4.1.2. For $X = L_p[0, 1]$, $1 < p < \infty$ the function

$$f : L_p[0, 1] \rightarrow L_q[0, 1], \quad f_x(t) = \text{sign}(x(t)) \left| \frac{x(t)}{\|x\|_p} \right|^{p/q} = \|x\|_p^{1-\frac{p}{q}} |x(t)|^{\frac{p}{q}}$$

is a (and the only) support function for $L_p[0, 1]$.

In order to establish a relation between Gateaux differentiability and smoothness we observe the following equalities and inequalities for any $x \in X$, $y \in S_X$, and $h > 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f_x(y)}{\|x\|} &= \frac{f_x(hy)}{h\|x\|} \\ &= \frac{f_x(x) - \|x\|^2 + f_x(hy)}{h\|x\|} \\ &= \frac{f_x(x+hy) - \|x\|^2}{h\|x\|} \\ &\leq \frac{|f_x(x+hy)| - \|x\|^2}{h\|x\|} \\ &\leq \frac{\|f_x\| \|x+hy\| - \|x\|^2}{h\|x\|} \\ &= \frac{\|x+hy\| - \|x\|}{h} \\ &= \frac{\|x+hy\|^2 - \|x+hy\|\|x\|}{h\|x+hy\|} \\ &\leq \frac{\|x+hy\|^2 - |f_{x+hy}(x)|}{h\|x+hy\|} \\ &= \frac{f_{x+hy}(x+hy) - |f_{x+hy}(x)|}{h\|x+hy\|} \\ &= \frac{hf_{x+hy}(y) + f_{x+hy}(x) - |f_{x+hy}(x)|}{h\|x+hy\|} \\ &\leq \frac{hf_{x+hy}(y)}{h\|x+hy\|} = \frac{f_{x+hy}(y)}{\|x+hy\|} \end{aligned}$$

and thus for any $x \in X$, $y \in S_X$, and $h > 0$:

$$(4.1) \quad \frac{f_x(y)}{\|x\|} \leq \frac{|f_x(x+hy)| - \|x\|}{h\|x\|} \leq \frac{\|x+hy\| - \|x\|}{h} \leq \frac{f_{x+hy}(y)}{\|x+hy\|}.$$

Theorem 4.1.3. *Assume X is a Banach space and $x_0 \in S_X$. The following statements are equivalent:*

- a) X is smooth at x_0 .
- b) Every support mapping $f : x \mapsto f_x$ is norm to w^* continuous from S_X to S_{X^*} at the point x_0 .
- c) There exists a support mapping $f_{(\cdot)} : x \mapsto f_x$ which is norm to w^* continuous from S_X to S_{X^*} at the point x_0 .
- d) The norm is Gateaux differentiable at x_0 .

In that case

$$f_x(y) = \rho'(x_0, y) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|x_0 + hy\| - \|x_0\|}{h} \text{ for all } y \in S_X.$$

Proof. $\neg(b) \Rightarrow \neg(a)$. Assume that $(x_n) \subset S_X$ is a net, which converges in norm to x_0 , but for which f_{x_n} does not converge in w^* to f_{x_0} , where $f_{(\cdot)} : X \rightarrow X^*$ is the support map. We can assume that there is a w^* neighborhood U of f_{x_0} , not containing any of the f_{x_n} , and by Alaoglu's Theorem 2.1.8 we can assume that f_{x_n} has an accumulation point $x^* \in U$, which cannot be equal to f_{x_0} .

As

$$\begin{aligned} & |x^*(x_0) - 1| \\ &= |x^*(x_0) - f_{x_n}(x_n)| \\ &\leq |x^*(x_0) - f_{x_n}(x_0)| + |f_{x_n}(x_0 - x_n)| \\ &\leq |x^*(x_0) - f_{x_n}(x_0)| + \|x_0 - x_n\| \rightarrow_{n \in M, n \rightarrow \infty} 0, \text{ for some infinite } M \subset \mathbb{N} \end{aligned}$$

it follows that $x^*(x_0) = 1$, and since $\|x^*\| \leq 1$ we must have $\|x^*\| = 1$. Since $x^* \neq f_{x_0}$, X cannot be smooth at x_0 .

(b) \Rightarrow (c) is clear (since by The Theorem of Hahn Banach there is always at least one support map).

(c) \Rightarrow (d) Follows from (4.1), and from the fact that (4.1) implies for $x \in X$, $y \in \S_X$ and $h > 0$, that

$$\frac{\|x - hy\| - \|x\|}{-h\|x\|} = -\frac{\|x + h(-y)\| - \|x\|}{h\|x\|} \geq -\frac{f_x(-y)}{\|x\|} = f_x(y)$$

and

$$\frac{\|x - hy\| - \|x\|}{-h\|x\|} = -\frac{\|x + h(-y)\| - \|x\|}{h\|x\|} \leq -\frac{f_{x+h(-y)}(-y)}{\|x + h(-y)\|} = \frac{f_{x+h(-y)}(y)}{\|x + h(-y)\|}.$$

(d) \Rightarrow (a) Let $f \in S_{x^*}$ be such that $f(x) = \|x\| = 1$. Since (4.1) is true for any support function it follows that

$$f(y) \leq \frac{\|x_0 + hy\| - \|x_0\|}{h}, \text{ for all } y \in S_X \text{ and } h > 0,$$

and

$$\frac{\|x_0 - hy\| - \|x_0\|}{-h} = -\frac{\|x_0 + (-y)\| - \|x_0\|}{h} \leq -f(-y) = f(y)$$

for all $y \in S_X$ and $h < 0$.

Thus, by assumption (d), $\rho'(x_0, y) = f(y)$, which proves the uniqueness of $f \in S_{X^*}$ with $f(x_0) = 1$. \square

Definition 4.1.4. A Banach space X with norm $\|\cdot\|$ is called *strictly convex* whenever $S(X)$ contains no non-trivial line segment, i.e. if for all $x, y \in S_X$, $x \neq y$ it follows that $\|x + y\| < 2$.

Theorem 4.1.5. *If X^* is strictly convex then X is smooth, and if X^* is smooth then X is strictly convex.*

Proof. If X is not smooth then there exists an $x_0 \in S_X$, and two functionals $x^* \neq y^*$ in S_{X^*} with $x^*(x_0) = y^*(x_0) = 1$ but this means that

$$\|x^* + y^*\| \geq (x^* + y^*)(x_0) = 2,$$

which implies that X^* is not strictly convex. If X is not strictly convex then there exist $x \neq y$ in S_X so that $\|\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y\| = 1$, for all $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$. So let $x^* \in S_{X^*}$ such that

$$x^*\left(\frac{x + y}{2}\right) = 1.$$

But this implies that

$$1 = x^*\left(\frac{x + y}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2}x^*(x) + \frac{1}{2}x^*(y) \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} = 1,$$

which implies that $x^*(x) = x^*(y) = 1$, which by viewing x and y to be elements in X^{**} , implies that X^* is not smooth. \square

Exercises

4.1. STRICT CONVEXITY, SMOOTHNESS, AND GATEAUX DIFFERENTIABILITY 97

1. Show that ℓ_1 admits an equivalent norm $\|\cdot\|$ which is strictly convex and $(\ell_1, \|\cdot\|)$ is (isometrically) the dual of c_0 with some equivalent norm.
2. Assume that $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is a linear, bounded, and injective operator between two Banach spaces and assume that Y is strictly convex. Show that X admits an equivalent norm for which X is strictly convex.

4.2 Uniform Convexity and Uniform Smoothness

Definition 4.2.1. Let X be a Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|$. We say that the norm of X is Fréchet differentiable at $x_0 \in S_X$ if

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|x_0 + hy\| - \|x_0\|}{h}$$

exists uniformly in $y \in S_X$.

We say that the norm of X is Fréchet differentiable if the norm of X is Fréchet differentiable at each $x_0 \in S_X$.

Remark. By Theorem 4.1.3 it follows from the Fréchet differentiability of the norm at x_0 that there a unique support functional $f_{x_0} \in S_X^*$ and

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left| \frac{\|x_0 + hy\| - \|x_0\|}{h} - f_{x_0}(y) \right| = 0,$$

uniformly in y and thus that (put $z = hy$)

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|x_0 + z\| - \|x_0\| - f_{x_0}(z)}{\|z\|} = 0.$$

In particular, if X has a Fréchet differentiable norm it follows from Theorem 4.1.3 that there is a unique support map $x \rightarrow f_x$.

Proposition 4.2.2. Let X be a Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|$. Then the norm is Fréchet differentiable if and only if the support map is norm-norm continuous.

Proof. (We assume that $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$) “ \Rightarrow ” Assume that $(x_n) \subset S_X$ converges to x_0 and put $x_n^* = f_{x_n}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $x_0^* = f_{x_0}$. It follows from Theorem 4.1.3 that $x_n^*(x_0) \rightarrow 1$, for $n \rightarrow \infty$. Assume that our claim were not true, and we can assume that for some $\varepsilon > 0$ we have $\|x_n^* - x_0^*\| > 2\varepsilon$, and therefore we can choose vectors $z_n \in S_X$, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $(x_n^* - x_0^*)(z_n) > 2\varepsilon$. But then

$$\begin{aligned} x_0^*(x_0) - x_n^*(x_0) &\leq (x_0^*(x_0) - x_n^*(x_0)) \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \underbrace{(x_n^*(z_n) - x_0^*(z_n))}_{>2\varepsilon} - 1 \right) \\ &= (x_n^*(x_0) - x_0^*(x_0)) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (x_0^*(z_n) - x_n^*(z_n)) (x_n^*(x_0) - x_0^*(x_0)) \\ &= (x_n^* - x_0^*) \left(x_0 + z_n \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (x_0^*(x_0) - x_n^*(x_0)) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \left| x_n^* \left(x_0 + z_n \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (x_0^*(x_0) - x_n^*(x_0)) \right) \right| - \\
&\quad - x_0^* \left(x_0 + z_n \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (x_0^*(x_0) - x_n^*(x_0)) \right) \\
&\leq \left\| x_0 + z_n \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (x_0^*(x_0) - x_n^*(x_0)) \right\| \\
&\quad - \|x_0\| - x_0^* \left(z_n \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (x_0^*(x_0) - x_n^*(x_0)) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus if we put

$$y_n = z_n \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (x_0^*(x_0) - x_n^*(x_0)),$$

it follows that $\|y_n\| \rightarrow 0$, if $n \rightarrow \infty$, and, using the Fréchet differentiability of the norm that (note that $(x_0^*(x_0) - x_n^*(x_0))/\|y_n\| = \varepsilon$) we deduce that

$$0 < \varepsilon = \frac{x_0^*(x_0) - x_n^*(x_0)}{\|y_n\|} \leq \frac{\|x_0 + y_n\| - \|x_0\| - x_0^*(y_n)}{\|y_n\|} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0,$$

which is a contradiction.

“ \Leftarrow ” From (4.1) it follows that for $x, y \in S_X$, and $h \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \frac{\|x + hy\| - \|x\|}{h} - f_x(y) \right| \\
&\leq \left| \frac{f_{x+hy}(y)}{\|x + hy\|} - f_x(y) \right| \\
&\leq |f_{x+hy}(y) - f_x(y)| + \left| \frac{f_{x+hy}(y)}{\|x + hy\|} - f_{x+hy}(y) \right| \\
&\leq \|f_{x+hy} - f_x\| + \left| \frac{1}{1 + |h|} - 1 \right| \|f_{x+hy}\|,
\end{aligned}$$

which converges uniformly in y to 0 and proves our claim. \square

Definition 4.2.3. Let X be a Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|$.

We say that the norm is *uniformly Fréchet differentiable* on S_X if

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left| \frac{\|x + hy\| - \|x\|}{h} - f_x(y) \right|,$$

uniformly in $x \in S_X$ and $y \in S_X$. In other words if for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a $\delta > 0$ so that for all $x, y \in S_X$ and all $h \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 < |h| < \delta$

$$\left| \frac{\|x + hy\| - \|x\|}{h} - f_x(y) \right| < \varepsilon.$$

X is *uniformly convex* if for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a $\delta > 0$ so that for all $x, y \in S_X$ with $\|x - y\| \geq \varepsilon$ it follows that $\|(x + y)/2\| < 1 - \delta$.

We call

$$\delta_X(\varepsilon) = \inf \left\{ 1 - \frac{\|x + y\|}{2} : x, y \in S_X, \|x - y\| \geq \varepsilon \right\}, \text{ for } \varepsilon \in [0, 2]$$

the *modulus of uniform convexity of X* .

X is called *uniform smooth* if for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ so that for all $x, y \in S_X$ and all $h \in (0, \delta]$

$$\|x + hy\| + \|x - hy\| < 2 + \varepsilon h.$$

The *modulus of uniform smoothness of X* is the map $\rho : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$

$$\rho_X(\tau) = \sup \left\{ \frac{\|x + z\|}{2} + \frac{\|x - z\|}{2} - 1 : x, z \in X, \|x\| = 1, \|z\| \leq \tau \right\}.$$

Remark. X is uniformly convex if and only if $\delta_X(\varepsilon) > 0$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$. X is uniformly smooth if and only if $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \rho_X(\tau)/\tau = 0$.

Theorem 4.2.4. *For a Banach space X the following statements are equivalent.*

- a) *There exists a support map $x \rightarrow f_x$ which uniformly continuous on S_X with respect to the norms.*
- b) *The norm on X is uniformly Fréchet differentiable on S_X .*
- c) *X is uniformly smooth.*
- d) *X^* is uniformly convex.*
- e) *Every support map $x \rightarrow f_x$ is uniformly continuous on S_X with respect to the norms.*

Proof. “(a) \Rightarrow (b)” We proceed as in the proof of Proposition 4.2.2. From (4.1) it follows that for $x, y \in S_X$, and $h \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\|x + hy\| - \|x\|}{h} - f_x(y) \right| \\ & \leq \left| \frac{f_{x+hy}(y)}{\|x + hy\|} - f_x(y) \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq |f_{x+hy}(y) - f_x(y)| + \left| \frac{f_{x+hy}(y)}{\|x+hy\|} - f_{x+hy}(y) \right| \\ &\leq \|f_{x+hy} - f_x\| + \left| \frac{1}{1+|h|} - 1 \right| \|f_{x+hy}\| \end{aligned}$$

which converges by (a) uniformly in x and y , to 0.

“(b) \Rightarrow (c)”. Assuming (b) we can choose for $\varepsilon > 0$ a $\delta > 0$ so that for all $h \in (0, \delta)$ and all $x, y \in S_X$

$$\left| \frac{\|x+hy\| - \|x\|}{h} - f_x(y) \right| < \varepsilon/2.$$

But this implies that for all $h \in (0, \delta)$ and all $x, y \in S_X$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|x+hy\| + \|x-hy\| \\ &= 2 + h \left(\frac{\|x+hy\| - \|x\|}{h} - f_x(y) + \left(\frac{\|x+h(-y)\| - \|x\|}{h} - f_x(-y) \right) \right) \\ &\leq 2 + \varepsilon h, \end{aligned}$$

which implies our claim.

“(c) \Rightarrow (d)”. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. By (c) we can find $\delta > 0$ such that for all $x \in S_X$ and $z \in X$, with $\|z\| \leq \delta$, we have $\|x+z\| + \|x-z\| \leq 2 + \varepsilon\|z\|/4$.

Let $x^*, y^* \in S_{X^*}$ with $\|x^* - y^*\| \geq \varepsilon$. There is a $z \in X$, $\|z\| \leq \delta/2$ so that $(x^* - y^*)(z) \geq \varepsilon\delta/2$. This implies

$$\begin{aligned} \|x^* + y^*\| &= \sup_{x \in S_X} |(x^* + y^*)(x)| \\ &= \sup_{x \in S_X} |x^*(x+z) + y^*(x-z) - (x^* - y^*)(z)| \\ &\leq \sup_{x \in S_X} \|x+z\| + \|x-z\| - \varepsilon\delta/2 \\ &\leq 2 + \varepsilon\|z\|/4 - \varepsilon\delta/2 < 2 - \varepsilon\delta/4. \end{aligned}$$

“(d) \Rightarrow (e)”. Let $x \mapsto f_x$ be a support functional. By (d) we can choose for $\varepsilon > 0$ a δ so that for all $x^*, y^* \in S_{X^*}$ we have $\|x^* - y^*\| < \varepsilon$, whenever $\|x^* + y^*\| > 2 - \delta$.

Assume now that $x, y \in S_X$ with $\|x - y\| < \delta$. Then

$$\|f_x + f_y\| \geq \frac{1}{2}(f_x + f_y)(x+y)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= f_x(x) + f_y(y) + \frac{1}{2}f_x(y-x) + \frac{1}{2}f_y(x-y) \\
&\geq 2 - \|x-y\| \geq 2 - \delta,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\|f_x - f_y\| < \varepsilon$, which proves our claim.

“(e) \Rightarrow (a)”. Clear. \square

Theorem 4.2.5. *Every uniformly convex and every uniformly smooth Banach space is reflexive.*

Proof. Assume that X is uniformly convex, and let $x^{**} \in S_{X^{**}}$. Since B_X is w^* -dense in $B_{X^{**}}$ we can find a net $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ which converges with respect to w^* to x^{**} . Since for every $\eta > 0$ there is a $x^* \in S_{X^*}$ with $\lim_{i \in I} x^*(x_i) = x^{**}(x^*) > 1 - \eta$, it follows that $\lim_{i \in I} \|x_i\| = 1$ and we can therefore assume that $\|x_i\| = 1$, $i \in I$. We claim that $\chi(x_i)$ is a Cauchy net with respect to the norm to x^{**} , which would finish our proof.

So let $\varepsilon > 0$ and choose δ so that $\|x+y\| > 2-\delta$ implies that $\|x-y\| < \varepsilon$, for any $x, y \in S_X$. Then choose $x^* \in S_{X^*}$, so that $x^{**}(x^*) > 1 - \delta/4$, and finally let $i_0 \in I$ so that $x^*(x_i) \geq 1 - \delta/2$, for all $i \geq i_0$. It follows that

$$\|x_i + x_j\| \geq x^*(x_i + x_j) \geq 2 - \delta \text{ whenever } i, j \geq i_0,$$

and thus $\|x_i - x_j\| < \varepsilon$, which verifies our claim.

If X is uniformly smooth it follows from Theorem 4.2.4 that X^* is uniformly convex. The first part yields that X^* is reflexive, which implies that X is reflexive. \square

Exercises

- 1) Show that for there is a constant $c > 0$ so that for all $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\delta_{\ell_2}(vp) \geq c\varepsilon^2.$$

(Here δ_{ℓ_2} is the modulus of uniform convexity of ℓ_2).

- 2) Prove that for every $\varepsilon > 0$, $C > 1$ and any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is an $N = (n, \varepsilon, C)$ so that the following holds:

If X is an N dimensional space which is C -isomorphic to ℓ_1^N then X has an n -dimensional subspace Y which is $(1 + \varepsilon)$ isomorphic to ℓ_1^n .

Hint: prove first the following: Assume that $\|\cdot\|$ is a norm on $\ell_1^{n^2}$ so that

$$\frac{1}{C}\|x\|_1 \leq \|x\| \leq \|x\| < \text{ for all } x \in \ell_1^{n^2},$$

then there is a $\|\cdot\|$ -normalized block sequence (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) so that :

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{C}} \sum_{i=1}^n |b_i| \leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n b_i x_i \right\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n |b_i|.$$

- 3) Show that $(\oplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \ell_1^n)_{\ell_2}$ does not admit a norm which is uniformly convex.