New Conjectured Reality: Welschinger signs and the Wronski map

Nonlinear Algebra in Applications

SIAM TX-LA Meeting
University of Louisiana, Lafayette, 4 November 2023

Frank Sottile

Texas A&M University
sottile@tamu.edu

With Tom Brazelton
and Stephen McKean



Nodes and Signs of Rational Plane Curves

An irreducible degree d plane curve C has arithmetic genus $\binom{d-1}{2}$.

$\Rightarrow$ when C is rational ($g = 0$), it necessarily has singularities.

If C is also general, it has $\binom{d-1}{2}$ ordinary double points ( )

Real curves have three types of ordinary double points:



Definition: (Welschinger) The sign of a real rational normal curve C is $w(C) := (-1)^{\#\text{solitary points}}$.

Nodes and Signs of Rational Plane Curves

An irreducible degree d plane curve C has arithmetic genus $\binom{d-1}{2}$.

$\Rightarrow$ when C is rational ($g = 0$), it necessarily has singularities.

If C is also general, it has $\binom{d-1}{2}$ ordinary double points ()

Real curves have three types of ordinary double points:



node — solitary point — conjugate pair of nodes

Definition: (Welschinger) The sign of a real rational normal curve C is $w(C) := (-1)^{\#\text{solitary points}}$.

Welschinger's Lower Bound



c. 1990, Kontsevich gave a formula for the number N_d of rational curves through $3d-1$ general points in $\mathbb{P}^2$.

Welschinger c. 2002: *If each of the* $3d-1$ *points are real, then*

$$\sum_{C \text{ real}} w(C)$$

is independent of the choice of $3d-1$ *general real points.*

IKS: This sum, W_d is at least $\frac{d!}{3}$ and $\lim_{d\to\infty} \frac{\log W_d}{\log N_d} = 1$.

d	1	2	3	4	5
N_d	1	1	12	620	87304
W_d	1	1	8	240	18264

Parametrized Rational Curves From Grassmannians

The rational normal curve is
$\gamma\colon \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^d = \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}_d[s,t]) = \mathbb{P}(V)$.

A codimension $k{+}1$ plane H in $\mathbb{P}^d$ ($H \in \mathbb{G}$) is the centre of a linear projection
$\pi_H\colon \mathbb{P}^d - H \to \mathbb{P}(V/H) =: \mathbb{P}^k$.
The induced map $\gamma_H\colon \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^k$ is a rational curve of degree d.

Singularities of γ_H correspond to the interaction of H with γ.



Two curves with $d = 4$

E.G. a *flex* (first k derivatives dependent) at $\gamma_H(s)$ corresponds to H meeting the k-plane $F_k(s)$ osculating γ at $s \in \mathbb{P}^1$.

Cusps and other *ramification* of γ_H correspond to Schubert conditions that H satisfies w.r.t osculating flags $F_\bullet(s)$.

(From the 19th c. and used by Eisenbud-Harris in the 1980's.)

The Wronski Map

Given $H \in \mathbb{G}$, we get the rational curve $\gamma_H = (f_0(s,t), \ldots, f_k(s,t))$ (f_i homogeneous of degree d). The *Wronskian* of H is

$$\mathrm{Wr}(H) \;:=\; \det\left(\frac{\partial^a}{\partial s^a}\frac{\partial^b}{\partial t^b} f_i(s,t)\right)_{a+b=k}^{i=0\ldots,k} \;\in\; \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}_N[s,t])\,.$$

Here, $N := (k+1)(d-k) = \dim \mathbb{G}$.

Zeroes of $\mathrm{Wr}(H) \longleftrightarrow$ flexes of γ_H.

This *Wronski map* $\mathbb{G} \ni H \mapsto \mathrm{Wr}(H)$ is the restriction to $\mathbb{G}$ of a linear projection

$$\mathrm{Wr} \;:\; \mathbb{P}(\wedge^{k+1}\mathbb{C}_d[s,t]) \;\longrightarrow\; \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}_N[s,t]) =: \mathbb{P}^N\,.$$

Easy fact: This is a finite map $\mathbb{G} \to \mathbb{P}^N$ of degree

$$\deg \mathbb{G} \;=\; \frac{1!2!\cdots(d-k-1)!\cdot N!}{k!(k+1)!\cdots(d-1)!}\,.$$

Maximally Inflected Curves

Theorem. (MTV) *If $f \in \mathbb{P}^N$ has all roots real, then $\mathrm{Wr}^{-1}(f) \subset \mathbb{G}_{\mathbb{R}}$. If f also has distinct roots, it is a regular value of Wr.*

(If $\mathrm{Wr}(H)$ has all roots real, then H is necessarily real.)

Definition. (Kharlamov-S.) If $\mathrm{Wr}(H)$ has all roots real, then γ_H is *maximally inflected* in that all flexes occur at real points.



Maximally inflected



Not maximally inflected

These curves are beautiful; here are a few quintics.



New Conjectured Reality

Restricting $\mathrm{W}r$ to the big cell of $\mathbb{G}_{\mathbb{R}}$ gives a proper map $\mathrm{W}r\colon \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$ (=monic real polynomials of degree N).

Eremenko and Gabrielov computed its degree for all k and d (formula omitted).

Curious Conjecture: (Brazelton-S.) Fix $k = 2$. When γ_H is maximally inflected with only flexes (e.g. $\mathrm{W}r(H)$ has (all) N real roots), then $\deg_H \mathrm{W}r = (-1)^d w(\gamma_H)$:

Sign of the Wronski map at H = Welschinger sign of curve γ_H.

This is easily proven when $d = 4$, and there is significant evidence for $d = 5, 6$. Computations, even for $d = 6$ are challenging.

Obvious generalizations do not appear to hold.

Even More Reality, Experimentally

When $k = 2$, $d = 5$, and f has all $N = 9$ roots real, then $\#\mathrm{W}r^{-1}(f) = 42$.

Define $S(j)_f := \#\{H \in \mathrm{W}r^{-1}(f) \mid \gamma_H \text{ has j solitary points}\}$.

In each of $\gtrsim 10^6$ examples, we find that $S_5 = (S(j)_f \mid j = 0 \ldots 6) = (0, 0, 0, 12, 18, 9, 3)$.

When $d = 4$, we have $\#\mathrm{W}r^{-1}(f) = 5$, and it is a result of Kharlamov-S. that $S_4 = (0, 0, 3, 2)$ for any f.

$d = 6$, we have $\#\mathrm{W}r^{-1}(f) = 462$, and in each of about 200 challenging examples, we find that

$S_6 = (0, 0, 0, 0, 55, 132, 132, 88, 39, 12, 4)$.

Another Reality Conjecture

Other ramifications may be imposed on plane curves: E.g. in a local parameter, the curve is $s \mapsto (s^{1+b}, s^{2+a})$ with $a \geq b \geq 0$. (A simple flex is $(a, b) = (1, 0)$.)

This ramification has order $a + b$, and the sum of all local ramifications of a curve is $N = 3(d-2)$.

Assigning ramifications to points of $\mathbb{RP}^1 \simeq S^1$ gives a necklace, e.g. (cusp,flex,cusp,flex,cusp,flex)$\neq$(flex,flex,flex,cusp,cusp,cusp).

For example, there are three necklaces with three cusps (κ) and three flexes (ι):



Some Pictoral Data



(1,3,2,0)	(2,3,1,0)	(5,0,0,1)

Another Reality Conjecture

Conjecture. For a given necklace ν of ramification, the vector

$$(\#\text{curves with given ramification and } i \text{ solitary points} \mid i)$$

is independent of the placement of the points of ramification.

This has been tested thousands of times for all ramification when $d = 5$ and many times for $d = 6$.