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## 1. The Derivative

We will briefly review the ordinary derivative of one variable calculus, then try to generalize this to the case of multivariate functions.
1.1. The single variable derivative. Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function and $a \in \mathbb{R}$ be a real number. Recall that $f$ is differentiable at $a$ with derivative $D f(a)$ if

$$
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(a+h)-f(a)}{h}=D f(a) .
$$

This may be rewritten in the following manner:

$$
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(a+h)-f(a)-h D f(a)}{h}=0
$$

Or, replacing $h$ by its absolute value, $|h|$, in the denominator,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(a+h)-f(a)-h D f(a)}{|h|}=0 . \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $D f(a)$ is the unique number (if such a number exists) which satisfies $(*)$.
1.2. Multivariate functions: The case $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Now suppose that $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Then $f$ is an $n$-tuple of functions $\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right)$ where each $f_{j}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. It is reasonable to define the derivative of $f$ at $a \in \mathbb{R}$ to be the $n$-tuple $D f(a)=\left(D f_{1}(a), \ldots, D f_{n}(a)\right)$. This satisfies the analog of $(*)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(a+h)-f(a)-h D f(a)}{|h|}=0 \tag{**}
\end{equation*}
$$

as a limit of vectors in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Here, if $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a vector valued function and $L \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, then $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} g(t)=L$ means that for every $1 \leq j \leq n$ we have the limit of ordinary functions $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} g_{j}(t)=L_{j}$, where $g_{j}$ is the $j^{\text {th }}$ component of $g$, and $L_{j}$ the $j^{\text {th }}$ component of $L$.
1.3. Multivariate functions: The case $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. What should be the derivative of $f$ at $a$ ? We will reason by analogy with ( $* *$ ). In $(* *), f(a)$ is a vector, $|h|$ is the magnitude of $h$, and $h \mapsto h \cdot D f(a)$ defines a linear map is a linear map from $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$.

For $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, we let $\|x\|$ denote the length of the vector $x$, that is, $\left(x_{1}^{2}+\cdots+x_{n}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. We use $\|\cdot\|$ instead of $|\cdot|$ to differentiate between the multivariate and single variable situations.
Definition: Let $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Then the derivative of $f$ at $a$ is the linear map $D f(a): \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(a+h)-f(a)-D f(a)(h)}{\|h\|}=0 .
$$

Here for a function $g: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \lim _{h \rightarrow 0} g(h)=0$ means that $\forall \epsilon>0 \exists \delta>0$ such that if $\|h\|<\delta$, then $|g(h)|<\epsilon$.

## 2. Partial Derivatives

A linear map $M: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ determines a vector $\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that if $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, then $M(x)=m_{1} x_{1}+\cdots+m_{n} x_{n}$. Likewise a vector in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ determines a (unique) linear map. We call the components of this vector the components of the linear map.
2.1. Definition. Let $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The components of the map $D f(a)$ are called the partial derivatives of $f$ at $a$, and the $j^{\text {th }}$ component is written $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{j}}(a)$ or $D_{j} f(a)$.

We show how to compute these partial derivatives. Let $e_{j}$ be the vector with a 1 in the $j^{\text {th }}$ place and 0 's elsewhere $(0, \ldots, 0, \stackrel{j}{1}, 0, \ldots, 0)$.
2.2. Proposition. $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{j}}(a)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f\left(a+t e_{j}\right)-f(a)}{t}$.

In simple terms, we compute $D_{j} f(a)$ by treating the variables $x_{1}, \ldots, \widehat{x_{j}}, \ldots, x_{n}{ }^{1}$ as constants and 'taking the derivative with respect to the $x_{j}$ variable only'.
Proof: We know that

$$
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f\left(a+t e_{j}\right)-f(a)-D f(a)\left(t e_{j}\right)}{\left\|t e_{j}\right\|}=0 .
$$

Now, $D f(a)\left(t e_{j}\right)=t D_{j} f(a)$ and $\left\|t e_{j}\right\|=|t|$, so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f\left(a+t e_{j}\right)-f(a)-t D_{j} f(a)}{|t|}=0 . \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]Let $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $g(t)=f\left(a+t e_{j}\right)$. Then by $(*), D g(0)=D_{j} f(a)$. But

$$
D g(0)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(t)-g(0)}{t}=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f\left(a+t e_{j}\right)-f(a)}{t} .
$$

## 3. The Continuity of Mixed Partial Derivatives

3.1. Theorem. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ and let $f: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a differentiable function such that the mixed partial derivatives $D_{1} D_{2} f$ and $D_{2} D_{1} f$ exists on $\mathcal{D}$, and let $(a, b) \in \mathcal{D}$ be a point where they are both continuous. Then $D_{1} D_{2} f(a, b)=D_{2} D_{1} f(a, b)$.

This is usually expressed as $\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x \partial y}=\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial y \partial x}$.
Proof: Our plan is to show that for all $\epsilon>0,\left|D_{1} D_{2} f(a, b)-D_{2} D_{1} f(a, b)\right|<\epsilon$.
Let $\epsilon>0$. Because both $D_{1} D_{2} f$ and $D_{2} D_{1} f$ are continuous at $(a, b)$, there exists $\delta>0$ such that if $\|(a, b)-(x, y)\|<\delta$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|D_{1} D_{2} f(a, b)-D_{1} D_{2} f(x, y)\right| & <\epsilon / 2 \\
\left|D_{2} D_{1} f(a, b)-D_{2} D_{1} f(x, y)\right| & <\epsilon / 2
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $0<h, k \leq \delta / \sqrt{2}$. Then if $a<c<a+h$, and $b<d<b+k$, we have $\|(a, b)-(c, d)\|<\delta$.

Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
(*) & =f(a+h, b+k)-f(a+h, b)-f(a, b+h)+f(a, b) \\
G(y) & =f(a+h, y)-f(a, y) \\
F(x) & =f(x, b+k)-f(x, b)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
F(a+h)-F(a)=(*)=G(b+k)-G(b)
$$

$F$ is continuous on $[a, a+h]$ and differentiable on $(a, a+h)$ and $G$ is continuous on $[b, b+k]$ and differentiable on $(b, b+k)$. By Lagrange's Theorem (Mean Value Theorem), there exists $c, d^{\prime}$ with $a<c<a+h$ and $b<d^{\prime}<b+k$ such that

$$
h D F(c)=(*)=k D G\left(d^{\prime}\right)
$$

But

$$
\begin{aligned}
D F(c) & =D_{1} f(c, b+k)-D_{1} f(c, b) \\
D G\left(d^{\prime}\right) & =D_{2} f\left(a+h, d^{\prime}\right)-D_{2} f\left(a, d^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying Lagrange's Theorem once again, we see that there exists $c^{\prime}, d$ with $a<c^{\prime}<$ $a+h$ and $b<d<b+k$ such that

$$
D F(c)=k D_{2} D_{1} f(c, d) \text { and } D G\left(d^{\prime}\right)=h D_{1} D_{2} f\left(c^{\prime}, d^{\prime}\right)
$$

Thus

$$
h k D_{2} D_{1} f(c, d)=(*)=k h D_{1} D_{2} f\left(c^{\prime}, d^{\prime}\right)
$$

and so $D_{2} D_{1} f(c, d)=D_{1} D_{2} f\left(c^{\prime}, d^{\prime}\right)$.
We use this to estimate $\left|D_{1} D_{2} f(a, b)-D_{2} D_{1} f(a, b)\right|$.
$\left|D_{1} D_{2} f(a, b)-D_{2} D_{1} f(a, b)\right| \leq$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|D_{1} D_{2} f(a, b)-D_{1} D_{2} f\left(c^{\prime}, d^{\prime}\right)\right|+\left|D_{2} D_{1} f(c, d)-D_{2} D_{1} f(a, b)\right| \\
& <\epsilon / 2+\epsilon / 2=\epsilon .
\end{aligned}
$$

The figure below should help to visualize the situation of the proof.

4. ExErcises

These are to be contemplated.
(1) Multivariate Derivative Give a reasonable definition for the derivative of a function $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$.
(2) Multivariate Chain Rule If $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ and $g: \mathbb{R}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{p}$, we have the composition $g \circ f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{p}$. What is $D(g \circ f)$ ?
(3) Define $f: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows: For $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}-\{(0,0)\}$, set $f(x, y)=\frac{x y\left(x^{2}-y^{2}\right)}{x^{2}+y^{2}}$, and $f(0,0)=0$. Show that $f$ is everywhere continuous, that $D_{1} f, D_{2} f, D_{12} f$, and $D_{21} f$ exist everywhere, but that $D_{12} f(0,0) \neq D_{21} f(0,0)$.

Reconcile this with the Theorem of §3.1.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ We often the use the shorthand $x_{1}, \ldots, \widehat{x_{j}}, \ldots, x_{n}$ to indicate that $x_{j}$ is omitted from the list: $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, \ldots, x_{n}$

