- 1. Give the formal definition of a contradiction. Give a simple contradiction involving statement forms.
- 2. Give the formal definition of tautology. Give a simple tautology involving statement forms.
- 3. Consider the following statement:"If it rains on Saturday, I will eat ice cream or read Moby Dick."Please give (a) its converse, (b) its contrapositive, and (c) a useful negation.
- 4. Let P(x) and Q(x) be predicates, with x taking values in some universe U. Are the two statements

 $(\exists x \in U) (P(x) \land Q(x))$ and $((\exists y \in U)P(y)) \land ((\exists z \in U)Q(z))$

logically equivalent? If so, provide a proof. If not, then given an example of predicates P(x) and Q(x) for which they are not logically equivalent, together with a convincing demonstration.

5. Your roommate, who is taking Calculus I with an old-school teacher, has been asked to negate the following mathematical sentence in a useful manner:

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \left(\exists N \in \mathbb{R} \left(\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ if } x > N, \text{ then } |f(x) - \ell| < \epsilon \right) \right).$$

This is about a function f and a real number ℓ , and the other symbols are also real numbers. Please help him do his homework.

Bonus 5 points: What is this statement, besides logical gobbledygook?

- 6. Consider the statement P: "The sum of two even integers is divisible by 4".
 - (a) Write P as a statement of the form: "some quantifier..., if ..., then"
 - (b) Write $\neg P$ in this form.
 - (c) Prove which ever of P or $\neg P$ is true.
- 7. Let *P*, *Q*, and *R* be mathematical statements/propositions. Determine whether or not the two expressions in each pair are logically equivalent. In each case, demonstrate that your answer is correct, either using a truth table to prove equivalence or finding an assignment of truth values to *P*, *Q*, and *R* which shows they are not equivalent.
 - $\begin{array}{ll} \text{(a)} & (P \lor Q) \land R & (P \land R) \lor (Q \land R) \\ \text{(b)} & (P \Rightarrow Q) \Rightarrow R & P \Rightarrow (Q \Rightarrow R) \\ \text{(c)} & P \Rightarrow (Q \lor R) & (P \land \neg Q) \Rightarrow R \end{array}$
- 8. Let P, Q, and R be mathematical statements/propositions. Prove that the statement $P \Rightarrow (Q \lor R)$ is logically equivalent to the statement $(P \land \neg Q) \Rightarrow R$. You may use a truth table.

- 9. Consider the statement: For all integers m and n, if m and n are odd, then mn is odd.
 - (a) Give the converse of this statement.
 - (b) Give the contrapositive of this statement.
 - (c) Give the negation of this statement.
- 10. Let m and n be integers.
 - (a) Prove the statement "If n and m are even, then n+m is even."
 - (b) State the contrapositive of this statement.
 - (c) Prove or disprove the converse to this statement.
- 11. Prove that for all integers n, $n^2 + 3n$ is even.
- 12. Prove that there do not exist integers m and n for which 6m 14n = 7.
- 13. Prove the following statement, using definitions from the course. For all integers m and n, if the product mn is even, then m is even or n is even.
- 14. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and assume that x > 0. Determine whether or not one of the expressions $\frac{x+1}{x+2}$ or $\frac{x}{x+1}$ is always larger. Prove your assertion.
- 15. Consider the statement: For all real numbers x and y, if x and y are irrational, then xy is irrational.
 - (a) Write the converse of this statement.
 - (b) Write the contrapositive of this statement.
 - (c) Write the negation of this statement.
 - (d) Which of the above four statements (the proposition, its converse (a), its contrapositive (b), its negation (c)) are true? (You need not justify your answer.)
- 16. Recall that a real number x is rational if it is a quotient of integers, x = m/n, where $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and otherwise it is irrational.
 - (a) Prove the following statement: For all real numbers a, b, if a + b is irrational, then either a is irrational or b is irrational.
 - (b) Prove that the sum of a rational number and an irrational number is irrational.
 - (c) In the previous question, if the universe for the universal quantifier on a, b is restricted to the rational numbers (instead of the real numbers) is the statement true or false? Give a valid reason for your answer.