# MATH 409 Advanced Calculus I

# Lecture 23: Convergence of infinite series.

# **Infinite series**

Definition. Given a sequence  $\{a_n\}$  of real numbers, an expression  $a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_n + \cdots$  or  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  is called an **infinite series** with **terms**  $a_n$ . The **partial sum** of order *n* of the series is defined by  $s_n = a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_n$ . If the sequence  $\{s_n\}$  converges to a limit  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , we say that the series **converges** to *s* or that *s* is the **sum** of the series and write  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n = s$ . Otherwise the series **diverges**.

**Theorem (Cauchy Criterion)** An infinite series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  converges if and only if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $m \ge n \ge N$  implies  $|a_n + a_{n+1} + \cdots + a_m| < \varepsilon$ .

*Proof:* Let  $\{s_n\}$  be the sequence of partial sums. Then  $a_n + a_{n+1} + \cdots + a_m = s_m - s_{n-1}$ . Consequently, the condition of the theorem is equivalent to the condition that  $\{s_n\}$  be a Cauchy sequence. As we know, a sequence is convergent if and only if it is a Cauchy sequence.

• 
$$\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2^2} + \dots + \frac{1}{2^n} + \dots = 1.$$

The partial sums  $s_n$  of this series satisfy  $s_n = 1 - 2^{-n}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus  $s_n \to 1$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

• 
$$\frac{1}{1\cdot 2} + \frac{1}{2\cdot 3} + \dots + \frac{1}{n(n+1)} + \dots = 1.$$

Since  $\frac{1}{n(n+1)} = \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n+1}$ , the partial sums  $s_n$  of this series satisfy  $s_n = 1 - \frac{1}{n+1}$ . Thus  $s_n \to 1$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

• 
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n = -1 + 1 - 1 + \dots$$
 diverges.

The partial sums  $s_n$  satisfy  $s_n = -1$  for odd n and  $s_n = 0$  for even n. Hence the sequence  $\{s_n\}$  has no limit.

#### Some properties of infinite series

**Theorem (Divergence Test)** If the terms of an infinite series do not converge to zero, then the series diverges.

**Theorem** If  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n$  are convergent series, then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n + b_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n$$

and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (ra_n) = r \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$$

for any  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Theorem** If  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n$  are convergent series, and  $a_n \leq b_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n.$ 

• The geometric series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n$  converges if and only if |x| < 1, in which case its sum is  $\frac{1}{1-x}$ .

In the case  $|x| \ge 1$ , the series fails the Divergence Test. For any  $x \ne 1$ , the partial sums  $s_n$  of the geometric series satisfy

$$s_n = 1 + x + x^2 + \dots + x^n = \frac{1 - x^{n+1}}{1 - x}$$

In the case |x| < 1, we obtain that  $s_n \to 1/(1-x)$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

#### Series with nonnegative terms

Suppose that a series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  has nonnegative terms,  $a_n \ge 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then the sequence of partial sums  $s_n = a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_n$  is increasing. It follows that  $\{s_n\}$  converges to a finite limit if bounded and diverges to  $+\infty$  otherwise. In the latter case, we write  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n = \infty$ .

**Theorem (Comparison Test)** Suppose that  $a_n, b_n \ge 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $a_n \le b_n$  for large n. Then convergence of the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n$  implies convergence of  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  while  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n = \infty$  implies  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n = \infty$ .

*Proof:* Since changing a finite number of terms does not affect convergence of a series, it is no loss to assume that  $a_n \leq b_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then the partial sums  $s_n = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k$  and  $t_n = \sum_{k=1}^n b_k$  satisfy  $s_n \leq t_n$  for all n. Consequently, if  $s_n \to +\infty$  as  $n \to \infty$ , then also  $t_n \to +\infty$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Conversely, if  $\{t_n\}$  is bounded, then so is  $\{s_n\}$ .

# **Integral test**

**Theorem** Suppose that a function  $f : [1, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$  is positive and decreasing on  $[1, \infty)$ . Then (i) a sequence  $\{y_n\}$  is bounded, where

$$y_n = f(1) + f(2) + \cdots + f(n) - \int_1^n f(x) \, dx, \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots$$

(ii) the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(n)$  is convergent if and only if the function f is improperly integrable on  $[1, \infty)$ .

To prove the theorem, we need the following lemma.

**Lemma** Any monotone function  $g : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$  is integrable on [a, b].

*Idea of the proof:* Any monotone function has only jump discontinuities. Further, any function has at most countably many jump discontinuities. Besides, a monotone function on [a, b] is clearly bounded.

*Proof of the theorem:* The lemma implies that the function f is integrable on every closed interval  $J = [a, b] \subset [1, \infty)$ . Then for any partition P of the interval J the lower Darboux sum L(f, P) and the upper Darboux sum U(f, P) satisfy

$$L(f,P) \leq \int_a^b f(x) dx \leq U(f,P).$$

Let  $P = \{x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_k\}$ , where  $x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_k$ . Then sup  $f([x_{j-1}, x_j]) = f(x_{j-1})$  and  $\inf f([x_{j-1}, x_j]) = f(x_j)$  since fis decreasing. In the case J = [1, n], where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $P = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$  we obtain  $L(f, P) = f(2) + f(3) + \ldots + f(n)$ ,  $U(f, P) = f(1) + f(2) + \cdots + f(n-1)$ . Then the above inequalities imply that  $0 < f(n) \le y_n \le f(1)$ . Thus the sequence  $\{y_n\}$  is bounded.

Since f is positive, the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(n)$  either converges or else it diverges to  $+\infty$ . Likewise the improper integral  $\int_{1}^{\infty} f(x) dx$  either converges or else it diverges to  $+\infty$ . Since the sequence  $\{y_n\}$  is bounded, divergence of the series and the integral imply each other.

•  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^p}$  is convergent for any p > 1 and divergent for any p < 1.

For any  $p \neq 1$  we have  $\int x^{-p} dx = x^{1-p}/(1-p) + C$  on the interval  $[1,\infty)$ . The antiderivative converges to a finite limit at  $+\infty$  in the case p > 1 and diverges to  $+\infty$  in the case p < 1. Hence the function  $f(x) = x^{-p}$  is improperly integrable on  $[1,\infty)$  for p > 1 but not for p < 1. By the Integral Test, the series is convergent for p > 1 and divergent for  $0 \leq p < 1$ . If p < 0 then the Integral Test does not apply since f is not decreasing. In this case, the series is divergent since the terms  $1/n^p$  do not converge to 0 as  $n \to \infty$ .

# • The harmonic series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n}$ diverges.

Indeed,  $\int_{1}^{n} x^{-1} dx = \log n \to +\infty$  as  $n \to \infty$ . By the Integral Test, the series is divergent. Moreover, the sequence  $y_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{-1} - \log n$  is bounded (actually, it is decreasing and hence convergent).

• 
$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n \log^2 n}$$
 converges.

The antiderivative of  $f(x) = (x \log^2 x)^{-1}$  on  $(1, \infty)$  is

$$\int \frac{dx}{x \log^2 x} = \int \frac{d(\log x)}{\log^2 x} = -\frac{1}{\log x} + C.$$

Since the antiderivative converges to a finite limit at  $+\infty$ , the function f is improperly integrable on  $[2,\infty)$ . By the Integral Test, the series converges.

• 
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1+n^2}$$
 converges.

Indeed,  $0 < 1/(1 + n^2) < 1/n^2$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/n^2$  is convergent, it remains to apply the Comparison Test. Alternatively, we can use the Integral Test. Indeed,  $\int \frac{dx}{1 + x^2} = \arctan x + C$  converges to a finite limit at  $+\infty$  so that the function  $f(x) = 1/(1 + x^2)$  is improperly integrable on  $[1, \infty)$ .

• 
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-n^2}$$
 converges.

We have  $0 < e^{-n^2} \le e^{-n}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The geometric series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-n}$  is convergent since  $0 < e^{-1} < 1$ . By the Comparison Test,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-n^2}$  is convergent as well.